

Management Control Systems in Banking Sector of Nepal

Jitendra Prasad Upadhyay (Ph D)

Associate Professor, Tribhuvan University

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this study is to examine whether the mechanisms of MCS have been adequately developed and applied in the Nepalese banking sectors or not.

Design/Methods/Approach – Descriptive and analytical research designs have been used for the study. Primary data has been collected through the questionnaires using convenience and judgmental sampling from the Nepalese commercial banks. Questionnaires have been developed in five scales while mean, standard deviation, coefficient of variation, correlation and factor analysis have been used as tools. Cronbach's alpha test has been done to test the reliability of the data.

Findings – All the commercial banks have adequately developed and applied the mechanisms of MCS. Similarly, of them have considered the mechanisms of MCS to be equally important.

Research limitations and implications - Only eight mechanisms of MCS, i.e. TQM, TBM, ABC, BSC, BM, RE, SVA and CIP have been used. There are other mechanisms of MCS also which other researchers can use in the future.

Key words: Management Control Systems

Date of Submission: 06-01-2021

Date of Acceptance: 21-01-2021

I. Background

A management control system (MCS) is a logical integration of techniques to gather and use information to make planning and control decisions, to motivate employee behavior, and to evaluate performance. It refers to the design, installation and operation of management planning and control systems. MCS is the formal, information based, routine and procedure managers use to maintain or alter patterns in organizational activities (Simons, 1995). Conventionally, the term "Management Control Systems" refers to the deployment of various techniques in hierarchical organizations in order to monitor and measure employee performance against certain management targets. In this sense, conventional MCS that focuses on improving operational effectiveness is no longer sufficient to create sustainable competitive advantages. MCS must be expanded to managerial practices that cultivate employee cooperation and creativity in the discovery and exploitation of new business opportunities (Cusumano, 1997). MCS embodies the techniques & mechanisms which companies employ to pursue strategies to accomplish goals successfully. MCS integrates, motivates, assists decision making, communicates objectives, provides feedback etc.

Management Controls fall into two general categories (Simon, 1995): the first category involves output controls in which specific outcomes, e.g. division profit and budget variances are measured, monitored, compared against expectations, and corrective action taken when appropriate. This category also includes administrative controls or action controls that involve formal rules, standard procedures and manuals, and monitoring compliance there with. The second category includes behavior control, personnel control and social control. This category involves such controls as shared values and norms, along with group interaction to maintain them, selection and placement of personnel with desired skills and attitudes, work design and allocation and observation of the work behavior of personnel.

A well designed MCS aids and coordinates the process of making decisions and motivates individuals throughout the organization to act. It also facilitates forecasting revenue and cost-driver levels, budgeting, measuring, and evaluating performance (Kaplan & Atkinson, 2005). Anthony (1997) explained four steps in the MCS process in sequence as they are found in practice. They are programming, budgeting, execution and evaluation. Similarly, Jawahar Lal (2003) described three steps of MCS they are strategy formulation, management control and task control

Thus, MCS is the formal, information-based routine and procedure managers use to maintain or alter patterns in organizational activities. It facilitates the accomplishment of an organization's strategic objectives. It defines the decision space of individuals within an organization in order to affect their behavior (Simons, 1995). It can be defined as a system that comprises a combination of control mechanisms designed and implemented by management to increase the probability that organizational actors will behave in ways consistent with the objectives set for the organization. It is a process for motivating and inspiring people to perform organizational

activities that will help to achieve the organizational goals. It is also a process for detecting and correcting unintentional performance errors and intentional irregularities, such as theft or misuse of resources.

Mechanisms of Management Control System

The different mechanisms of MCS are Total Quality Management, Time Based Management, Activity Based Costing, Balance Score Card, Bench Marking, Re-engineering, Shareholder Value Analysis and Continuous Improvement Process.

Total Quality Management is defined as a philosophy of management that is driven by continued improvement and responding to customer needs and expectations. Different elements of TQM are “Customer Satisfaction, Continuous Improvement, Standardized Product Quality, Employee Involvement and Decision Making and Top Management Commitment”.

Time Based Management is the management philosophy which places the highest value to time as a scarce resource. This philosophy enlightens managers to properly divide their time and optimally utilize & manage it. Different elements of TBM are “Time Resource, Time Saving Pattern, Time Management Technique, Category Activities and Productivity Consciousness”.

The **Activity-Based Costing** is a costing system which focuses on activities performed to produce products. ABC is that costing in which costs are first traced to activities and then to products. Different elements of ABC are “Activity Costing, Major Activities, Cost to Cost Pool, Cost Activity and Cost Driver”.

The **Balanced Scorecard** translates an organization’s mission and strategy into a comprehensive set of performance measures that provides the framework for a strategies measurement and management system. Different elements of BSC are “Financial Perspective, Customer Perspective, Internal Business Process and Learning and Growth”.

Re-Engineering refers to a radical redesign of all or part of a company’s work processes to improve productivity and financial performance. Different elements of RE are “Degree of Re-design, Traditional Approach, Organization Restructuring, Organization Effectiveness and Efficiency and Re-engineering Incentives”.

Bench Marking is the continuous process of measuring one’s own product, services and activities against the best level of performance. These best levels of performance may be found either inside one’s own organization or in other competing organizations or in organizations having similar processes. Different elements of BM are “Performance Benchmarking, Improvement Effort, Management Commitment and Benchmarking Types”.

Shareholder Value Analysis calculates the value of a company by looking at the returns it gives to shareholders, and is based on the view that the objective of company directors is to maximise the wealth of the company's shareholders. Different elements of SVA are “Estimating Shareholder Value, Wealth & Profit and Use of Shareholder Value.

Continuous Improvement Process is an ongoing effort to improve product, services or processes. These efforts can seek “incremental” improvement over time or “breakthrough” improvement all at once. Different elements of CIP are “Implementing Continuous Improvement, Involvement of Employee, Customer Satisfaction and Organization Quality and Performance.

Commercial Banks in Nepal

A bank can be defined as the financial intermediary between depositors and entrepreneurs. The intermediation takes place when banks accept deposit from general public, corporate bodies and private organizations and deploy that deposit for profitable purposes in the forms of loans and advances. A bank is also a financial service institution that generates its earnings primarily by means of intermediations. A bank or banker is a dealer in debts of his own and others (Shekhar & Shekhar, 2000).

According, to Nepal Commercial Bank Act 2031 B.S. “A commercial bank refers to such type of bank which deals in money exchange, accepting deposits and advancing loans and other commercial transactions other than some special functions performed by specified bank such as co-operative, agriculture and industrial bank.” At present, there are 27 commercial banks in Nepal.

Purposes of the Study

The main purposes of the study are:

To examine whether the mechanisms of MCS have been adequately developed and applied in the Nepalese banking sector or not

To analyze whether all the elements of MCS mechanisms have been considered to be equally important by all the selected banks or not

To analyze whether all the commercial banks have adopted mechanisms of MCS in equal degree or not

Research Questions

Following research questions have been formulated for the study:

Have the mechanisms of MCS been adequately developed and applied in the Nepalese commercial banks?

Have all the elements of MCS mechanisms equally been considered important for all the selected banks?

Whether or not all the commercial banks have adopted mechanisms of MCS in equal degree?

Rationales of the Study

The rationales of the present study are:

a. The study will be a revelation to the management of the concerned banks regarding how far their banks have been able to utilize the modern concept of MCS.

b. Not only the concerned, but other organizations in different industries can also apply MCS approaches for betterment of their organization.

c. The present research will be important for future researchers by providing insights about how to carry out research on different organizations using the MCS with suitable methodology.

Limitations of the Study

The study has been exposed to the following limitations:

Out of total twenty-seven commercial banks in Nepal only six commercial banks have been considered.

Only eight mechanisms of MCS have been tested, i.e. TQM, TBM, BSC, ABC, BM, RE, CIP AND SVA.

Interviews with top, middle level and lower level employees have been taken.

Methodology Used

This study has followed both descriptive and analytical approach of research. A questionnaire survey has been conducted for getting the answer of research questions. Both primary and secondary data have been analyzed using the analytical statistical tools; the means and the standard deviation, coefficient of variance, correlation and factors have been calculated for analyzing the responses.

There are a total of twenty-seven commercial banks in Nepal, which constitute the population of the study. For this study, only four commercial banks, i.e. Rastriya Banijya Bank Limited, Nepal Bank Limited, Standard Chartered Bank, and Nabil Bank Limited have been selected as sample banks. Selection of sample banks was based on convenience and judgmental basis.

The eight-page questionnaire including 100 questions, were distributed to twenty four top, middle and lower level employees of various departments of each bank.

Respondent's Profile

Gender Wise Respondents

Table 1

Name of the Banks	Male (No.)	%	Female (No.)	%	Total
Standard Chartered Bank Limited	14	14.58	10	10.42	24
Nabil Bank Limited	16	16.67	8	8.33	24
Nepal Bank Limited	14	14.58	10	10.42	24
Rastriya Banijya Bank Limited	14	14.58	10	10.42	24
Total	58	60.41	38	39.59	96

Table 1 depicts the characteristics of the respondents' gender wise. Majority of respondents were males, i.e. 60.41%. But female respondents were also satisfactory in number, i.e. 38 out of 96. The reason behind low number of female respondents is that all banks have high number of male employees.

Age Wise Respondents

Table 2

Name of the Banks	below 30	%	30-40	%	40 - 50	%	above 50	%	Total
Standard Chartered Bank Ltd.	6	6.25	11	11.47	4	4.17	3	3.12	24
Nabil Bank Limited	0	0	12	12.5	8	8.33	4	4.17	24
Nepal Bank Limited	6	6.25	12	12.5	4	4.17	2	2.08	24
Rastriya Banijya Bank	4	4.17	11	11.47	6	6.25	3	3.12	24

Limited									
Total	16	16.67	46	46.94	22	22.92	12	12.39	96

Table 2 presents the characteristics of respondents' age wise. Majority of respondents were found to be between 30 to 40 years group, i.e. 46.94%. Very few respondents fall in the category of above 50 years group, i.e. 12.39%. Respondents in category 40 to 50 years group were higher than below 30 years, i.e. 22.92% is greater than 16.67%.

Reliability Test of Variables (mechanisms)

Table 3

S.N.	Code	Variables	Reliability %
1	TQM	Total Quality Management	79.6
2	TBM	Time Based Management	76.8
3	BSC	Balance Score Card	92
4	ABC	Activity Based Costing	85
5	BM	Benchmarking	90.7
6	RE	Re-Engineering	85.4
7	SVA	Shareholder Value Analysis	90.3
8	CIP	Continuous Improvement Process	89.5
		Overall Reliability	91

Cronbach's Alpha test has been done to test the reliability of data. Each and every variable has been tested and it was found that every variable reliability test was above 67%. Maximum 92% reliability has been seen in variable CIP and 76.8% minimum reliability has been seen in TBM. In other cases, all the variables reliability has been above 79%. This clearly indicates that Cronbach's Alpha test was accepted.

Method of Analysis

Five points Likert scale ranging from the best/most acceptable (rating scale of 5) to the worst/most unacceptable (rating scale of 1) has been extensively used to analyze and interpret the subjective answer of the respondents. It was done on the basis of average i.e. value of MCS 3 indicates that banks have applied these approaches moderately. If the value is more than 3 it is either good or best. Similarly, if the value is less than 3 it is either bad or worst.

**Application of MCSs in Nepalese Commercial Banks
Analysis of Total Quality Management**

Table 4

S.N.	Name of the Banks	CS	CI	SPQ	EIDM	TMC	Total	Mean	S.D.	C.V.
1	Standard Chartered Bank Ltd.	3.38	3.44	3.31	3.88	4.25	18.26	3.65	0.4	10.95
2	Nabil Bank Limited	3.08	3.25	3.08	3.22	3.39	16.02	3.20	0.13	4.06
3	Nepal Bank Limited	2.82	2.95	2.75	2.85	2.95	14.32	2.86	0.90	3.02
4	Rastriya Banijya Bank Limited	3.10	3.14	3.25	3.27	3.33	16.09	3.22	0.10	2.96

CS (Customer Satisfaction) consists of customer satisfaction related variables like consideration of customers' wants & expectation, addressing to their complaints & feedback.

In all the banks, except NBL, the value has been above average, i.e. 3. In case of SCB highest value has been found, i.e. 3.38 and in case of NBL, it has been the lowest, i.e. 2.82 compared to others. This indicates that SCB's and RBBL's performance in terms of customers' satisfaction seems to be the best.

CI (Continuous Improvement) involves organizational efforts towards gradual but continuous improvement, i.e. improvement of polices implementation and policies technology etc.

The value of 'CI' has been above average, i.e. 3 in all the banks. Highest value has been observed in case of SCB, i.e. 3.44. This shows that SCB's has good organizational efforts towards gradual but continuous improvement on policies, implementation, polices technology etc.

SPQ (Standardized Product Quality) inquires into organization's effort to maintain the standardized product quality consistently, i.e. job rotation.

In all the banks, the value of 'SPQ' has been above average, i.e. 3. Highest value has been found in case of SCB, i.e. 3.31. This shows that SCB has been best at its organizational efforts to maintain the SPQ consistently.

EIDM (Employee Involvement and Decision Making) entails how far employees are encouraged to participate in decision-making and problem solving. This includes individual or group creativity.

Only in SCB and Nabil the value of 'EIDM' have been above average but in case of other banks it has been below average. In case of NBL it was only 2.85. Highest value has been observed in case of SCB, i.e. 3.88. This shows that SCB has given more opportunity to its employees to participate in decision-making and problem solving.

TMC (Top Management Commitment) has been the most important part of the TQM, since without the commitment of top-level management, TQM is merely a dream.

In all the banks, the value of 'TMC' has been above average, i.e. 3 except in case NBL which was below average i.e. 2.95. In this context, SCB excels all the banks, i.e. 4.25. This shows that SCB has the highest degree of TMC.

From the Table 4, it has been clear that the mean TQM in all the banks has been above average, i.e. 3 except in case of NBL, which were only 2.86. This clearly indicates that all the banks have been found adhering to the principle of TQM. Highest value has been observed in case of SCB, i.e. 3.65. This means SCB was the best in practicing TQM principles.

In all the cases, the value of standard deviation has been below 1 and coefficient of variation has been 2.96% to 10.95%, which indicates that the average was more representative across the banks and across the different components of TQM.

Analysis of Time Based Management

Table 5

S.N.	Name of the Banks	TR	TS	TMT	CA1	PC	Total	Mean	S. D.	C.V
1	Standard Chartered Bank Ltd.	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.13	21.88	4.38	0.39	8.91
2	Nabil Bank Limited	3.83	3.42	3.75	4.33	3.89	19.22	3.84	0.33	8.58
3	Nepal Bank Limited	3.45	3.55	3.88	3.72	3.45	18.05	3.61	0.19	5.18
4	Rastriya Banijya Bank Limited	3.55	3.95	3.85	3.62	3.55	18.52	3.70	0.18	4.98

TR (Time Resource) analyzes whether time has been accepted as the most important resource in the organization or not.

In all the banks, the value has been above average, i.e. 3. In case of SCB, it has been (i.e. 5.00) the highest and in case of NBL, it has been the lowest, i.e. 3.45. This indicates that in SCB was more committed in accepting time as the most important resource in the organization.

TS (Time Saving Pattern) is concerned with an attempt that has been made to explore the time saving pattern in the banks.

The value has been above average, i.e. 3 in all the banks. In Nabil, it was the lowest 3.42. This shows that NBL has relatively worst time saving pattern of all.

TMT (Time Management Technique) has been made to explore the different techniques used by the employees to manage time. In fact, this examines the validity of the time utilization.

In all the banks, the value of 'TMT' has been above average, i.e. 3. In case of SCB, it has been the highest, i.e. 4 and in case of Nabil, it has been the lowest, i.e. 3.75. This shows that employees of all the banks have used different techniques for managing time effectively.

CA1 (Category Activities) includes the activities prioritized by the employees. This provides a glimpse of how activities are dealt with by the employees.

All the banks have recorded the value above average, i.e. 3. Nabil has scored the highest, i.e. 4.33 compare to others. This shows that in terms of 'CA1', employees of all the banks have given high priority to the different activities.

PC (Productivity Consciousness) explains the degree of consciousness and commitment of employees over productivity.

In all the banks, the value of 'PC' has been above average, i.e. 3. Highest value has been observed in case of SCB, i.e. 4.13 and the lowest value has been observed in case of NBL, i.e. 3.45. Comparatively, SCB's employees have high degree of consciousness & commitment over productivity.

From the Table 5, it is observed that mean TBM in all the banks has been above average, i.e. 3. This indicates that all the banks used the principles of TBM. In case of SCB, the value has been 4.38 and in case of

NBL it has been 3.61, the highest and the lowest respectively. In other words, all the sample banks' TBM performance has been satisfactory and equal.

In all the banks, the value of standard deviation has been below 1 and coefficient of variation has been 4.98% to 8.91%, which indicates that the average has been more representative across the banks and across different components of TBM and hence mean was trustworthy.

Analysis of Balance Scorecard

Table 6

S.N.	Name of the Banks	FP	CP	IBP	LG	Total	Mean	S.D.	C.V
1	Standard Chartered Bank Ltd.	4.38	3.88	4.13	3.71	16.10	4.03	0.29	7.20
2	Nabil Bank Limited	4.25	3.83	3.42	3.11	14.61	3.65	0.5	13.69
3	Nepal Bank Limited	2.23	2.43	2.75	2.92	10.33	2.58	0.31	12.03
4	Rastriya Banijya Bank Limited	3.15	3.17	3.37	3.86	13.65	3.41	0.31	9.13

FP (Financial Perspective) analyzes the role played by the banks to increase shareholders' wealth.

In all the banks, the value has been above average, i.e. 3 except in NBL. In case of SCB it was the highest, i.e. 4.38 and in case of NBL it was lowest, i.e. 2.23. This indicates that all the banks have been more concerned about shareholders' interest.

CP (Customer Perspective) is concerned with an attempt that has been made to increase market shares and clientele satisfaction.

The value of 'CP' in all the banks has been above average, i.e. 3 except in NBL. In case of NBL has been 2.43, whereas in Nabil and SCB it has been 3.83 and 3.88 respectively. This shows that all the banks have been concerned about market shares and customer satisfaction.

IBP (Internal Business Process) is concerned with the activities reducing unnecessary cost in the banks.

This value has been higher than the average in all the banks except in NBL, which is 2.75. Individually SCB outperforms all by scoring 4.13. In case of Nabil it has been 3.42. This shows that all the banks have made efforts to reduce unnecessary expenditure.

LG (Learning and Growth) has been made to explore the different techniques used by the banks to increase the skill of the employees, hiring new employees and minimizing employee turnover.

Only in the two banks, i.e. RBBL and SCB, the value of 'LG' has been above average, i.e. 3. In case of RBBL, it has been the highest, i.e. 3.86. However, in other banks it has been below average. In case of NBL, it was the lowest, i.e. 2.92. This shows that management of all the banks has used different techniques for the employee development.

From the Table 6, it is observed that mean BSC in all the banks has been above average, i.e. 3 except in case of NBL, which has been 2.58. This indicates that all the banks used the principle of BSC. In case of SCB, the value has been 4.03. In terms of BSC, all the banks have performed satisfactorily.

In all the cases, the value of standard deviation has been below 1 and coefficient of variation has been 7.2% to 13.69%; this indicates that the mean of different banks has been representative and trustworthy.

Analysis of Activity Based Costing

Table 7

S.N.	Name of the Banks	AC	MA	CCP	CA	CD	Total	Mean	S.D.	C.V
1	Standard Chartered Bank Ltd.	4.06	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.50	20.95	4.19	0.18	4.30
2	Nabil Bank Limited	3.33	3.50	4.17	4.17	4.00	19.17	3.83	0.39	10.17
3	Nepal Bank Limited	2.14	2.25	2.63	2.80	2.87	12.69	2.54	0.33	12.90
4	Rastriya Banijya Bank Limited	3.16	3.65	3.74	3.45	3.27	17.27	3.45	0.25	7.10

AC (Activity Costing) consists of understanding the knowledge and significance of activity based costing principle in the banks.

In all the banks, the value has been near the average, i.e. 3 except in NBL. In case of SCB, it has been the highest, i.e. 4.06 and in case of NBL, it has been the lowest, i.e. 2.14. This indicates that all the banks have been aware of the concepts and use of activity based costing system.

MA (Major Activities) contains organizational efforts in identifying major activities that take place in the banks.

The value of 'MA' in all the banks has been above average, i.e. 3 except in NBL. Highest value (i.e. 4.13) has been observed in case of SCB and the lowest value (i.e. 2.25) has been observed in case of NBL. This shows that SCB has good organizational efforts to identify the major activities that take place in the banks.

CCP (Cost to Cost Pool) inquires into organization's effort to assign cost to cost pool, i.e. a group of individual costs that is allocated to cost objectives.

In all the banks, the value of 'CCP' has been near the average, i.e. 3 but in case of NBL it has been below average, i.e. 2.63. Highest value has been found in case of Nabil, i.e. 4.17. This shows that Nabil has been the best at its organizational efforts to assign cost to cost pool but NBL's performance in this regard is not satisfactory.

CA (Cost Activity) entails how far organizations accumulate overhead cost for each activity and assign the cost of activities to the product or services.

The value of 'CA' in all the banks has been above average except in case of NBL, which has been below average. Lowest value has been observed in case NBL, i.e. 2.80. In Nabil and SCB it has been 4.17 and 4.13 respectively. This shows that the performance of all the banks in terms of cost activity has been satisfactory except that of NBL.

CD (Cost Driver) involves the activities that determine the cost for each major activity.

In all the banks, the value of 'CD' has been above average, i.e. 3 except in NBL, which is 2.87. In case of SCB and Nabil it has been 4.5 and 4.00 each. This shows that all banks have not effectively determined the cost for major activities.

From the Table 7, it is clear that mean ABC in all the banks has been near the average, i.e. 3. In case of SCB, it has been the highest, i.e. 4.19. This indicates that the performance of all the banks in terms of ABC has been somewhat satisfactory and somewhat unsatisfactory. SCB and Nabil have scored above the average; similarly, NBL have scored slightly below the average. In all the cases, the value of standard deviation has been below 1 and coefficient of variation has been 4.3% to 12.9% which indicates that the average has been more representative across the banks as well as across the different components of ABC.

Analysis of Benchmarking

Table 8

S.N.	Name of the Banks	PB	IE	MC	BT	Total	Mean	S.D.	C.V
1	Standard Chartered Bank Ltd.	4.38	4.44	4.25	4.38	17.45	4.36	0.08	1.83
2	Nabil Bank Limited	4.51	4.18	4.18	3.84	16.71	4.18	0.27	6.46
3	Nepal Bank Limited	2.97	2.55	2.85	2.67	11.04	2.76	0.19	6.67
4	Rastriya Banijya Bank Limited	3.55	3.65	3.37	3.45	14.02	3.51	0.12	3.47

PB (Performance Benchmarking) analyzes the role of the banks in increasing their performance.

In all the banks, the value has been above average, i.e. 3. In case of Nabil it was the highest, i.e.4.51 and in case of NBL it was the lowest, i.e. 2.97. This indicates that Nabil has been more concerned about the performance of the bank.

IE (Improvement Effort) is concerned with steps taken by the banks to increase their performance.

The value of 'IE' in all the banks has been above average, i.e. 3 except in NBL, which was 2.55. It was the highest in case of SCB, i.e. 4.44. This shows that all the banks have recorded satisfactory performance in terms of 'IE'.

MC (Management Commitment) is concerned with the commitment of management in implementing benchmarking approaches.

Performance of all the banks in terms of 'MC' has been satisfactory because all of them have scored 3 or above except in case of NBL. However, Management of SCB and Nabil seem most committed in implementing benchmarking approaches of all, which has been warranted by its highest scored 4.25 and 4.18. In other words, the management of all the banks has been committed to implement the approaches of benchmarking.

BT (Benchmarking Types) has been made to explore the different types of benchmarking used by the banks to increase the performance of the banks.

In all the banks, the value of 'BT' has been above average, i.e. 3 but NBL has been below average, i.e. 2.67. In case of SCB, it has been the highest, i.e. 4.38. This shows that management of all the banks has used different types of benchmarking to increase the performance of the banks.

From the table 8, it has been cleared that mean BM in all the banks has been above average, i.e. 3 except in case of NBL, (i.e. 2.76, which was near about 3). This clearly indicates that all the banks have been found adhering to the principle of BM. Highest value has been observed in case of SCB, i.e. 4.36. In case of Nabil and RBBL the value has been 4.18 and 3.51 respectively.

To sum up, performance of all the banks in terms of benchmarking has been satisfactory. Since standard deviation in all the cases is below 1 and coefficient of variation has been between 1.83% and 6.67%. This clearly indicates that the mean can be safely accepted as representative.

Analysis of Re-engineering

Table 9

S.N.	Name of the Banks	DR	TA	OR	OEE	RI	Total	Mean	S.D.	C.V
1	Standard Chartered Bank Ltd.	4.50	3.88	4.13	4.13	4.13	20.77	4.15	0.22	5.30
2	Nabil Bank Limited	3.67	3.17	3.34	3.51	3.51	17.20	3.44	0.19	5.52
3	Nepal Bank Limited	2.83	2.65	2.75	2.80	2.88	13.95	2.78	0.09	3.15
4	Rastriya Banijya Bank Limited	3.25	3.18	3.27	3.27	3.35	16.32	3.26	0.06	1.86

DR (Degree of Re-design) consists of radical redesign in the banks to improve productivity and performance. In all the banks, the value has been above average except in NBL i.e. 2.83, which was near about 3. In case of SCB, it has been the highest, i.e. 4.5. This indicates that all the banks have made efforts to redesign their organizations to improve productivity and performance.

TA (Traditional Approach) explains the extent to which traditional approaches are questioned in the banks. The value of ‘TA’ in all the banks has been equal to or above average, i.e. 3. Highest value has been observed in case of SCB, i.e. 3.88 and the value of NBL has been 2.65 each. This shows that in all the banks, traditional approaches were questioned.

OR (Organization Restructuring) entails how far organization structure was redesigned into the organization. Except in case of SCB and Nabil all the banks have not performed satisfactorily in terms of OR. Highest value has been found in case of SCB and Nabil, i.e. 4.13 and 3.34 respectively indicating good performance of SCB and Nabil in organizational redesign.

OEE (Organization Effectiveness and Efficiency) explains how far banks are able to take and implement right decisions in most cost effective manner.

In all the banks, the value of ‘OEE’ has been above average except in case of NBL i.e. 2.8 (which was considered near about average). Highest value has been observed in case of SCB, i.e. 4.13. In RBBL and Nabil it has been 3.27 and 3.51 respectively. This shows that SCB has been more efficient and effective over the years.

RI (Re-engineering Incentives) involves the incentives to re-engineering activities.

The value of ‘RI’ in all the banks has been near to or above average, i.e. 3. In case of NBL, it was near to average, i.e. 2.88. In case of SCB and RBBL, it has been 4.13 and 3.35 respectively. This showed that all banks have provided incentives to re-engineering to their employees but SCB leads all of them.

From the Table 9, it has been cleared that mean RE in all the banks have been equal to or above average, i.e. 3 except NBL, which has value of 2.78(near to average). This clearly indicates that all the banks have been found adhering to the principles of RE.

In all the cases the value of standard deviation has been below 1 and coefficient of variation has been between 1.86% to 5.52%, which indicates that the average has been more representative across the banks as well as across the different components of RE.

Analysis of Shareholder Value Analysis

Table 10

S.N.	Name of the Banks	ES	WP	US	Total	Mean	S. D.	C.V
1	Standard Chartered Bank Ltd.	4.25	4.44	3.58	12.27	4.09	0.45	11.00
2	Nabil Bank Limited	3.76	4.60	3.61	11.97	3.99	0.53	13.28
3	Nepal Bank Limited	2.98	2.76	2.65	8.39	2.80	0.17	6.01
4	Rastriya Banijya Bank Limited	3.95	3.86	3.55	11.36	3.79	0.21	5.54

ES (Estimating Shareholder Value) consists of estimating shareholder value of the banks.

In all the banks, the value has been above average except in NBL, i.e. 2.98 that was near about 3. In case of SCB, it has been the highest, i.e. 4.25. Similarly, RBBL and Nabil have 3.95 and 3.76 respectively. This indicates that all the banks have made efforts to estimate shareholder value.

WP (Wealth and Profit) explains the extent to which banks have set the target of profit and emphasize the objectives of maximizing the wealth of the bank’s shareholders.

The value of ‘WP’ in all the banks has been near to or above average, i.e. 3. Highest value has been observed in case of Nabil and SCB, i.e. 4.6 and 4.44 respectively. Similarly, the lowest value has been observed in NBL, i.e. 2.76. This shows that all the banks have set the target of profit and tried to maximize the wealth of the bank’s shareholders.

US (Use of Shareholder Value) entails how frequently banks have been applied and used shareholder value for taking long-term financial decision and evaluate the performance of the banks.

Except in case of NBL all the banks have performed satisfactorily in terms of ‘US’. Highest value has been found in case of SCB and Nabil, i.e. 3.58 and 3.61 respectively indicating good performance in using shareholder value.

From the Table 10, it has been cleared that mean SVA in all the banks have been equal to or above average, i.e. 3 except NBL, which has value of 2.8(near to average). This clearly indicates that all the banks have applied and used shareholder value for taking decision and evaluating the performance.

In all the cases, the value of standard deviation has been below 1 and coefficient of variation has been 5.54% to 11%, which indicates that the average has been more representative across the banks as well as across the different components of SVA.

Analysis of Continuous Improvement Process

Table 11

S.N.	Name of the Banks	IC	IE1	CS	OQP	Total	Mean	S.D.	C.V
1	Standard Chartered Bank Ltd.	4.81	4.25	4.38	4.50	17.94	4.49	0.24	5.35
2	Nabil Bank Limited	4.08	3.34	3.17	3.67	14.26	3.57	0.4	11.22
3	Nepal Bank Limited	2.45	2.65	2.55	2.67	10.32	2.58	0.10	3.93
4	Rastriya Banijya Bank Limited	3.25	3.37	3.65	3.72	13.99	3.50	0.22	6.40

IC (Implementing Continuous Improvement) is detailed procedure and effort to improve the services and to implement the approved policy.

In all the banks, the value has been above average except in NBL, i.e. 2.45, which was near about 3. In case of SCB, it has been the highest, i.e. 4.81. This indicates that all the banks have made efforts to improve the services and to implement the approved policy

IE1 (Involvement of Employee) explains the involvement of employees in making planning and decision-making.

The value of ‘IE1’ in all the banks has been equal to or above average, i.e. 3 except in NBL, which was 2.65. Highest value has been observed in case of SCB, i.e. 4.25 and the value of RBBL was 3.0. This shows that in all the banks, except in NBL employees have been involved in planning and decision-making procedure effectively.

CS (Customer Satisfaction) entails how far banks focus on improving customer satisfaction through CIP.

Except in case of NBL, all the banks have performed satisfactorily in terms of CS. Highest value has been found in case of SCB and Nabil, i.e. 4.38 and 3.17 respectively indicating good performance of SCB and Nabil in improving customer satisfaction.

OQP (Organization Quality and Performance) explains how far banks are able to identify the area of opportunity and problem and focus their quality and performance.

In all the banks, the value of ‘OQP’ has been above average except in case of NBL, i.e. 2.67, which were considered near about average. Highest value has been observed in case of SCB, i.e. 4.5. In RBBL and Nabil it has been 3.72 and 3.67 respectively. This shows that SCB has been more able to identify the area of opportunity and focus its quality and performance.

From the Table 11, it has been cleared that mean CIP in all the banks have equal to or above average, i.e. 3 except NBL i.e. 2.58(near to average). This clearly indicates that all the banks have been found adhering to the principles of CIP.

In all the cases, the value of standard deviation has been below 1 and coefficient of variation has been 5.35% to 11.22%, which indicated that the average has been more representative across the banks as well as across the different components of CIP.

Management Control Systems in Nepalese Commercial Banks

Table 12

S.N.	Name of the Banks	TQM	TBM	BSC	ABC	BM	RE	SVA	CIP	Total	Mean	S.D.	C.V
1	Standard Chartered Bank Ltd.	3.65	4.38	4.03	4.19	4.36	4.15	4.09	4.49	33.33	4.17	0.26	6.24
2	Nabil Bank Limited	3.20	3.84	3.65	3.83	4.18	3.44	3.99	3.57	29.71	3.71	0.31	8.35
3	Nepal Bank Limited	2.86	3.61	2.58	2.54	2.76	2.78	2.80	2.58	22.51	2.81	0.34	12.10
4	Rastriya Banijya Bank Limited	3.22	3.70	3.41	3.45	3.51	3.26	3.79	3.50	27.84	3.84	0.19	5.46

In all the banks, the value of TQM has been above average, i.e. 3 except in case of NBL it was only 2.86 respectively. SCB leads all the banks in following TQM principles as indicated by its highest score, i.e. 3.65. To sum up, all the banks seem to have used the TQM approach in their organization.

The value of TBM in all the banks has been above average, i.e. 3. In case of SCB, Nabil, and RBBL the scores are 4.38, 3.84 and 3.61 respectively. This shows that all the banks have applied the principles of TBM effectively.

Similarly, the value of BSC has been above average, i.e. 3 in all the banks except in case of NBL, which was 2.58. It has ranged between 2.58 (of NBL) to 4.03 (of SCB). This shows that all the banks have followed the principles of BSC effectively.

In all the banks, the value of ABC has been near to average, i.e. 3. In case of SCB, it was the highest, i.e. 4.19 and in case of NBL, it was the lowest, i.e. 2.54. This is situation of dilemma since some banks have scored above 3 and some have scored below 3 (slightly below). This shows that management of some banks has effectively implemented the approach of ABC.

The value of BM in all the banks has been above average, i.e. 3 except in case of NBL, which was only 2.76. In case of SCB it has been the highest i.e. 4.36. This indicates that all the banks have used the approach of BM in their organizations.

The value of RE has been above average, i.e. 3 in all the banks except in case of NBL, i.e. 2.78. In case of Nabil and RBBL, it was 3.44 and 3.26 respectively. In SCB, it was 4.15. This shows that all the banks seem to have followed the principle of RE effectively.

In all the banks, the value of SVA has been above average, i.e. 3 except in case of NBL, which was only 2.8. SCB leads all the banks in following SVA principles as indicated by its highest score, i.e. 4.09. To sum up, all the banks seem to have used the SVA approach in their organization.

Similarly, in all the banks, the value of CIP has been above average, i.e. 3 except in case of NBL. In case of SCB and Nabil, the scores were 4.49 and 3.57 respectively. This shows that all the banks have applied the principles of CIP effectively.

From the Table 12, it has been observed that different mechanisms of MCSs have been followed by all the sample banks (the values are above average, i.e. 3 except in case of NBL). However, SCB leads the other banks in this regard.

In all the cases, the value of standard deviation has been below 1 and coefficient of variation has been 5.46% to 12.1%. This clearly indicates the representative nature of the mean calculated. In other words, mean is dependable.

Correlation Matrix of Management Control System

Table 13

R	TQM	TBM	BSC	ABC	BM	RE	SVA	CIP
TQM	1							
TBM	0.915**	1						
BSC	0.828*	0.822*	1					
ABC	0.948**	0.787	0.942**	1				
BM	0.902*	0.857*	0.932**	0.932**	1			
RE	0.980**	0.961**	0.864*	0.913*	0.914*	1		
SVA	0.888*	0.787	0.903*	0.924**	0.951**	0.867*	1	

CIP	0.987**	0.933*	0.912*	0.941**	0.941*	0.975**	0.875*	1
-----	---------	--------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------	---

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

In the Table 13, the positive correlations have been found between all the mechanisms. Correlation of all mechanisms has been found significant at .01 and .05 level except between ABC & TBM and SVA & TBM. All the mechanisms have been found positively significant with TQM, BSC, BM, RE and CIP. From the above data, it can be inferred that those banks that have been extensively following principles of TQM have also been practicing BSC, BM & RE and vice versa. This fact is much dependable which is warranted by the very low level of significance.

Factor Analysis of Management Control System
Table 14

	Factor 1
TQM	0.97
TBM	0.93
BSC	0.95
ABC	0.96
BM	0.97
RE	0.98
SVA	0.94
CIP	0.97
Total	91.25%

Principal components analysis seeks to determine the number and characteristics of the factors or “variable groups” that affect tools of MCS decisions among the survey respondents. Only one factor was identified with eigen value 0.5 that explains 91.25% of the variation in the responses. This indicates that the responses are concerned with the all the mechanisms of MCS in the bank.

II. Findings

- All the commercial banks have adequately developed and applied the mechanisms of MCS.
- All the commercial banks have considered the mechanisms of MCS to be equally important.
- All of the commercial banks seem to have used the mechanisms of MCS adequately.

III. Discussion

Organizational transformation is situated between design and mobilization of management control systems.

All managers, either of profit or non-profit making organizations, use control system of one form or another. As the organization grows in size and complexity, the control system also tends to change from simple to sophisticated (Rotch, 1993). Even the managers of NPOs have been found to have widely used MCS to improve their organizational effectiveness. MCS is a necessary tool for leading an organization efficiently towards its goals (Baraldi, 1998). Noy (1999) used a new approach i.e. cost/benefit aspect of MCS that helps managers decide which control system is suitable to an organization for improving its performance. The study further concludes that every business enterprise must have some sort of control system i.e. formal or informal that can always be improved. Similarly, Kimura, (2000) concluded that MCS should not be limited to operational level but should be expanded to strategic level. Porporato, (2006) concluded that high performers use MCSs more intensively to overcome the uncertainty faced by the joint venture organization.

The present study differs from the Rotch, Baraldi, Noy’s, Cam Tu’s, Kimura and Porporato study mainly on the grounds that it has not used cost and benefit analysis, strategic change and operational level as such but has used several ingredients of MCS to measure and improve organizational performance.

The present research has analyzed profit making organizations in the Nepalese context. In other words, the present study has examined the nature & magnitude of application of mechanisms of MCS in Commercial Banks in Nepal.

Wingren and et al. (2003) analyzed the state of art of MCS in 110 Finnish technology firms. They advocated in favor of analyzing MCS in combination (i.e. TQM, TBM, ABC, BSC, BM, RE, PM, MPR, CIP,

VCA, SVA, EVA and TOC) rather than single MCS. For this purpose, factor analysis and rank correlation were used. It was concluded that it would be better to use the following four basic types of combinations, i.e. (i) VCA, SVA and EVA (ii) CIP, BSC and BM (iii) PM and MPR (iv) ABM, RE, TOC and TBM.

The present research has analyzed MCS in combination but all the samples are Nepalese banks. Regarding variables, only eight variables (i.e. TQM, TBM, ABC, BSC, BM, RE, SVA and CIP) have been analyzed using factor analysis in the present study due to the matter of relevancy of the present subject matter. It is found that all the commercial banks have adequately developed and applied the mechanisms of MCS.

IV. Conclusion

The paper deduces that all the organizations, either of profit or non-profit making, use some kind of MCS. The success or failure of every modern organization largely depends upon the fact that how effectively it has adopted and applied MCS. Hence, MCSs are an integral part of every organization. There are various management control systems, which determine the success or failure of the organization. As the organization grows in size and complexity, the control system also tends to change from simple to sophisticated.

The objectives of effective MCS are to improve operational effectiveness, efficiency, employee creativity, company competitiveness by means of triggering feedback and corrective actions so that managers can adjust to changes in the environment.

From the study, it is found that all the commercial banks have adequately developed and applied the mechanisms of MCS. In terms of TQM, TBM, ABC, BSC, BM, RE, SVA and CIP all the banks have performed satisfactorily. In other words, MCS has been satisfactorily followed by all the sample banks.

Implications

Based on the analysis and findings of the study, the following implication has been recommended.

It can be suggested that organizations in general and banks in particular shall benefit in terms of improved their performance when they truly understand the significance of MCS and when they develop and apply MCS in their organizations.

The authorities of the banks are suggested to pursue researches to measure the effectiveness of MCS mechanisms studies from time to time. In this context, the present research may be of great help and may provide guidelines to the concerned authority.

This research has opened a door to further researches in the same subject for validity testing of the findings of the present research.

In this study, only eight mechanisms of MCS, i.e. TQM, TBM, ABC, BSC, BM, RE, SVA and CIP have been used. There are other mechanisms of MCS also, i.e. Production Management (PM), Material Requirement Planning (MPR), Value Chain Analysis (VCA), and Theory of Constraints (TOC) which can be used by other researchers in the future.

Only commercial banks have been analyzed, so, it is recommended that other various types of organizations such as service organizations, manufacturing & non manufacturing organizations, profit making & nonprofit making organizations have to be analyzed.

References

- [1]. Anthony, R. & Govindarajan, V. (1998), *Management Control System* Mc-Graw Hill.
- [2]. Anthony, N. (1997), *Management Control in Non-Profit Organization*. Irwin, Homewood, Illinois.
- [3]. Banking and Financial Statistic of Nepal Rastra Bank, 2019
- [4]. Baraldi, S. (1998). *Management Control Systems in NPOs, Italian Surveys*. Blackwell Publishers Ltd.: 1998.
- [5]. Bhattacharyya, K. & Camillus, C. (1975). *Implementation Problems of Management Control Systems*.
- [6]. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- [7]. Cusumano, M. (1997), "How Microsoft makes large teams work small teams", *Sloan Management Review*, Fall.
- [8]. <http://bfr.nrb.org.np/list> Banks n Non Banks. Php, September, 08, 2019
- [9]. Janakala, S. (2005). *The Use of Management Control Systems (MCS) Information in the Small Business Sector and the Relationship between MCS, Strategy and Performance*. Kemi-Tornio Polytechnique-Department of Business and Administration.
- [10]. Jawahar Lal. (2003). *Advanced Management Accounting, Text and Cases*. S. Chand & Company Ltd., New Delhi.
- [11]. Kaplan, S. & Atkinson, A. (2005). *Advanced Management Accounting*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- [12]. Kimura, S. & Mourdoukutas, P. (2000). *Effective integration of management control systems for competing in global industries*. *European Business Review*, Volume 12 Number 1, pp. 41- 45.
- [13]. Lere, C. & Portz, K. (2005). *Management control systems in a Global Economy*. Pearson Education, Asia.
- [14]. Levine, Krehbiel & Berenson. (2004). *Business Statistics, A first course*. Pearson Education, Asia.
- [15]. Lord, R. (1996). *Strategic Management Accounting: the Emperor's New Clothes?*, *Management Accounting Research*.
- [16]. Morse, E. (1965). *Pendulum of Management Control*. *Harvard Business Review*, May – June, No. 65310.
- [17]. Mouritsen, J. (2005). *Beyond Accounting change: design and mobilization of management control systems*.
- [18]. Department of Operation Management, Copenhagen School, Denmark.
- [19]. Noy, R. (1999). *There are profits in your management control systems*. *Managerial Auditing Journal* 14/7 (1999) 363-367.
- [20]. Porporato, M. (2006). *Impact of Management Control Systems' Intensity of Use of Joint Venture's Performance: An Empirical Assessment*. AAA 2007 Management Accounting Section.
- [21].
- [22].

- [23]. Rijal, S. (2006). The Application of Management Control System in Nepalese Commercial Banks. *The Journal of Nepalese Business Studies* Vol. III No. 1
- [24]. Robbins, S. & Coulter, M. (2000). *Management*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- [25]. Rotch, W. (1993). *Management Control Systems: one view of Components and Their Interdependence*.
- [26]. *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 4, pp. 191-203.
- [27]. Satyanarayan, Y. (2008). *Management Control Systems in Competitive Environment*. Icfai University Press.
- [28]. Shekhar, C. & Shekhar, L. (2000). *Banking Theory and Practice*. Vikash Publication Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- [29]. Simons, R. (1995). "Control in an age of empowerment," *Harvard Business Review*, March-April.
- [30]. Sisaya, S. (2006). Management Control System and Organizational Development. *New directions for managing work teams. Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 51-61
- [31]. Vancil, F. (1973). What kind of management control do you need? *Harvard Business Review*, March- April, Number 73213.
- [32]. Wingren, T., Laitinen, K. and Nixon, A. (2005). *Modern management control systems in Finnish Technology Companies: Search for MCS combinations*. University of Vaasa, Levon Institute.
- [33].

Jitendra Prasad Upadhyay. "Management Control Systems in Banking Sector of Nepal." *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)*, 23(01), 2021, pp. 52-64.