

Presentation and Histopathology of Acute Appendicitis

Dr Jitendra Kumar Chaudhary¹, Dr Vivek Bhasker², Dr PCL Das³,

Dr SK Chaurasia⁴, Dr Chandrashekhar Suman⁵

¹Senior resident (general surgery), PMCH, Dhanbad

²Assistant Professor (general surgery), PMCH, Dhanbad

³Associate Professor (general surgery), PMCH, Dhanbad

⁴Professor (general surgery), PMCH, Dhanbad

⁵Tutor (pathology), PMCH, Dhanbad

Abstract:

INTRODUCTION: Acute appendicitis is one of the most common causes of acute abdomen. Acute appendicitis can be managed conservatively and surgery is also required in many cases. Appendectomy can be termed 'Negative' if histopathologic examination (HPE) is normal. In this study we try to find out what is the percentage of negative appendectomy.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: 60 cases of acute appendicitis who underwent appendectomy were included in this research. Demography, presentation, surgery performed and HPE in all cases were recorded and evaluated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: Acute appendicitis and appendectomy is more common in males (56.6%) compared to females (43.4%). Most patients were in 20-30 years of age. HPE showed 61.6% cases were that of Acute appendicitis with periappendicitis, 20% cases showed features of Acute gangrenous appendicitis, 5% cases had Unusual histologic report and 13.3% cases were termed Negative appendectomy.

CONCLUSION: Histopathologic examination should be done in maximum possible number of appendectomy specimen.

Key Words: Acute appendicitis, Histopathologic examination (HPE), Appendectomy, Negative appendectomy

Date of Submission: 08-06-2020

Date of Acceptance: 25-06-2020

I. Introduction

Acute appendicitis is most common cause of acute abdomen in young adults.¹ Luminal obstruction of appendix is the most important step in development of acute appendicitis. This luminal obstruction commonly occurs due to one or more of following causes- lymphoid tissue hyperplasia, fecalith, stricture, foreign body, intestinal parasite and tumours of caecum or appendix and few other rare pathologies.^{1,2,3,4} Following luminal obstruction intraluminal pressure increases inside appendix leading to lymphatic drainage obstruction, mucosal oedema and ulceration. Finally, venous obstruction develops causing ischemia of appendix and bacterial translocation through appendiceal wall. The diagnosis is based mainly on history and clinical examination supported by laboratory, and radiological findings.¹⁵ The diagnostic workup could be improved by using clinical scoring systems (e.g. Alvarado score) and measurement of inflammatory markers like CRP.⁵ Despite all new advances in diagnostic methods, population-based rates of negative appendectomy remain unchanged.⁶ While negative appendectomy can lead to unwanted complications and unnecessary financial burden, delay in diagnosis may cause complications like perforated appendicitis and generalized peritonitis.⁷ This research is done to study the demographic pattern of acute appendicitis, its diagnostic workup, management and HPE findings of operated cases in our institute.

II. Material And Methods

This prospective study was carried out at the Department of general surgery, Patliputra medical college, Dhanbad, Jharkhand, India; over a period of one year (from March 2019 to February 2020). The study population consists of 60 patients of clinically diagnosed acute appendicitis case who underwent appendectomy (laparoscopic /open method).

Exclusion criteria- 1) Paediatric age group 2) Known cases of appendicular tumour 3) Acute appendicitis cases which were managed conservatively

- All subjects were explained about the study and their written consent was taken for their participation in the study.

- Preoperative baseline routine investigations and ultrasonography of abdomen were carried out in all subjects.
- Specimen of excised appendix obtained after surgery in each case was sent for histopathological examination.
- Patient particulars, preoperative investigation findings and histopathological examination findings in each case were recorded in a data collection sheet.
- Statistical analysis was done using IBM SPSS Statistics 23 software.

III. Results

*In our study total 60 patients underwent appendectomy for acute appendicitis. Out of 60; 26(43.4%) were female and 34(56.6%) were male

Sex	Number of patients
Male	34
Female	26

Table 1: gender distribution of study population

*Mean age of patients was 27.55 years with standard deviation of 7.07 years. Cases were divided in 4 age groups. 7(11.6%) patients were younger than 20 years. A maximum of 25(41.6%) patients included in our study were from 20-30 years age group, 19(31.6%) cases were in 30-40 years age group, 6(10%) cases were in 40-50 years age group and 3(5%) cases were older than 50 years.

Age groups	Number of patients
<20 years	7
20-30 years	25
30-40 Years	19
40-50 Years	6
>50 Years	3

Table 2: Age distribution of study population

*Presenting complaint: Pain Abdomen was present in all the 60 cases. Second most common complaint was Fever, found in 24(40%) cases. Anorexia was seen in 19(31.6%) cases and Nausea and Vomiting was present in 17(28.3%) cases

Presenting complaint	Number of patients
Pain abdomen	60
Fever	24
Anorexia	19
Nausea and vomiting	17

Table 3: Presenting complaints of study population

*Histopathological findings: All 60 cases were treated with open appendectomy and specimen was sent for HPE. Out of 60 patients, 8(13.3%) reports were normal and did not show features of any pathology. 3(5%) cases showed unusual pathology other than appendicitis. 1 of the unusual cases was that of carcinoid tumour located at the tip of appendix and 2 showed granulomatous inflammation. Rest 49(81.6%) reports were showing features of acute appendicitis. Out of these 49 cases, 37(61.6%) were cases of acute appendicitis with periappendicitis and 12(20%) were cases of acute gangrenous appendicitis.

Histopathological finding	Number of patients
Normal /Negative appendectomy	8
Acute appendicitis with periappendicitis	37
Acute gangrenous appendicitis	12
Unusual finding	3

Table 4: HPE report of appendix specimen excised

IV. Discussion

Acute appendicitis is one of the most common surgical emergency and appendectomy is one of the most common surgical procedures performed worldwide.^{9,10,11} In study done by A J Omotoso et al¹², appendectomy was more common in females compared to males whereas in study by Medha P. Kulkarni et al¹³, the incidence of appendicitis and appendectomy was higher in males (55.27%) compared to females (44.73%). In our study also rate of appendectomy is higher in males (56.6%) compared to females (43.3%). Similar to study done by Bahar AMN et al¹⁴ and many other studies; in our study also, young adults particularly those in age group 20-30 years, are most common to undergo appendectomy.^{12,14} Abdominal pain, loss of appetite, nausea

and vomiting most are the most common symptoms of acute appendicitis in article by D J Humes et al.¹⁵ In our study also similar findings were seen and abdominal pain was most common symptom present in 100% cases. In our study negative appendectomy (normal HPE report) was seen in 8(13.3%) patients. In study Dr Shubhendu Bharadwaj et al²¹ negative appendectomy rate was 3.2%; in study by Arif Emre et al⁴ it was 6% and in study by Bahar AMN et al¹⁴ it was 37.5%. Whether or not all appendix specimen should be sent for HPE is debatable issue. While Matthyssens et al¹⁷ suggest HPE only when macroscopic abnormality is seen intraoperatively; Conclusion in study by Mandakini M Patel et al¹⁹ and in study by Mohamed Abd Al-Fatah²⁰ is different. They conclude that Intraoperative diagnosis of pathologic appendix by surgeon is unreliable, hence all appendix specimen should be sent for HPE.

V. Conclusion

Acute appendicitis is more common in young adults. In this study rate of appendicitis needing appendectomy is higher in males compared to females. Most common presenting complaint in acute appendicitis patients is pain abdomen followed by fever, anorexia, nausea and vomiting. HPE in every appendectomy is not done in our setup. This is a cost-efficient approach to go for HPE selectively, but small percentage of cases can have unusual findings which can only be diagnosed by HPE. Hence, in our opinion, if sufficient manpower is present in a setup then maximum possible number of specimens should be sent for HPE.

References:

- [1]. Jurgen Mulsow. The vermiform appendix. Bailey & Love's Short Practice of Surgery 27th Edition. CRC Press, 2018. Chapter 72, page 1299
- [2]. Zdichavsky M, G'ogele H, Blank G, Kraulich M, Meile T, von Feilitzsch M. Histological characterization of appendectomy specimens with intraoperative appearance of vascular injection. *Surg Endosc* 2013;27(3):849–853
- [3]. Duzgun AP, Moran M, Uzun S, Ozmen MM, Ozer VM, Seckin S. Unusual findings in appendectomy specimens: evaluation of 2458 cases and review of the literature. *Indian J Surg* 2004; 66(4):221–226
- [4]. Arif Emre, Sami Akbulut, Zehra Bozdog, Mehmet Yilmaz, Murat Kanlioz, Rabia Emre, Nurhan Sahin. Routine Histopathologic Examination of Appendectomy Specimens: Retrospective Analysis of 1255 Patients. *Int Surg* 2013; 98:354–362
- [5]. Salomone Di Saverio. Diagnosis and treatment of acute appendicitis: 2020 update of the WSES Jerusalem guidelines. *World Journal of Emergency Surgery* (2020) 15:27
- [6]. Pittman-Waller VA, Myers JG, Stewart RM, Dent DL, Page CP, Gray GA, et al. Appendicitis: why so complicated? Analysis of 5755 consecutive appendectomies. *Am Surg.* 2000; 66: 548-554.
- [7]. Fitz RH. Perforating inflammation of the vermiform appendix with special reference to its early diagnosis and treatment *Am J Med Sci* 1886; 92:32-46.
- [8]. Sørdeide K. The research conundrum of acute appendicitis. *British [2] Journal of Surgery.* 2015;102(10):1151-52.
- [9]. Marudanayagam R, Williams GT, Rees BI: Review of the pathological results of 2660 appendectomy specimens. *J Gastro* 2006,41(8):745-9.
- [10]. Swank HA, Eshuis EJ, Ubbink DT, Bemelman WA. Is routine histopathological examination of appendectomy specimens useful? A systematic review of the literature. *Colorectal Dis* 2011;13(11):1214–1221
- [11]. Shepherd NA, Warren BF, Williams GT (Eds). *Morson and Dawson's Gastrointestinal Pathology*. 5th edition. Wiley-Blackwell Science 2013.
- [12]. A J Omotoso, M A Nnoli, IE Bassey, A O Akintomide, O E Ngim, I A Ekanem. Histopathological analysis of appendectomy specimens in Calabar, south –southern Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of VLSI and Signal Processing (IOSR-JVSP)* Volume 2, Issue 6 (Jul. – Aug. 2013), PP 42-46
- [13]. Dr. Medha P. Kulkarni, Dr. (Mrs.) Kalpana Ranjitsingh Sulhyan, Dr. Shaikhali M. Barodawala, Dr. Deepika Hanumanprasad Yadav. Histopathological Study of Lesions of the Appendix. *International Journal of Health Sciences & Research*, Vol.7; Issue: 4; April 2017
- [14]. Bahar AMN, Farghaly ARAS, Ahmed MT, Ktob MBM, Sherif MFM. Normal versus Pathological Appendix in Clinically Suspected Acute Appendicitis “Randomized Controlled Trials”. *Clin Surg.* 2016; 1: 1026.
- [15]. D J Humes, J Simpson. Acute appendicitis. *BMJ.* 2006 Sep 9; 333(7567): 530–534.
- [16]. Andersson R. Meta-analysis of the clinical and laboratory diagnosis of appendicitis. *Br J Surg* 2004;91: 28-37.
- [17]. Matthyssens LE, Ziol M, Barrat C, Champault GG: Routine Surgical Pathology in General Surgery. *Br J Surg* 2006;93:362-368
- [18]. Rabindranath D, Khan AA, Ansari H, Senthil P. Unusual incidental findings of routine histopathological examination of appendectomy specimens- a 2-year retrospective analysis with review of the literature. *Int J of Allied Med Sci and Clin Res* 2016; 4(1):90-98.
- [19]. Mandakini M Patel, Rhuta J Shah. Impact of histopathological examination of appendix in context to clinical management of patients. *Annals of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine*, Vol. 4, Issue 6, November-December, 2017
- [20]. Mohamed Abd Al-Fatah. Importance of histopathological evaluation of appendectomy specimens. *Al-Azhar Assiut Med J* 15:97–103
- [21]. Dr Shubhendu Bharadwaj, Dr Ira Bharadwaj, Dr Swaroop N Shashidhar, Dr Dharmaraya Ingale, Dr Baviskar PK. Histopathological spectrum of appendicitis with clinical correlation in a tertiary care hospital in Rural Ahmednagar, India. *Indian Journal of Basic and Applied Medical Research*; June 2018: Vol.-7, Issue- 3, P. 221-224

Dr Jitendra Kumar Chaudhary, et. al. “Presentation and Histopathology of Acute Appendicitis.”
IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), 19(6), 2020, pp. 29-31.