

Eco-Industrial Transition in Traditional Clusters: Evaluating Green Technology Adoption and Policy Readiness in Firozabad’s Glass Industry

Prof. Amod Sharma¹, Dr. Brijesh Kumar Chaturvedi² and Dr Subhash Chandra³

¹Senior Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, Nagaland University

²Associate Professor Department of Horticulture, RBS College, Agra

³Scientist Horticulture KVK Firozabad

Abstract

Firozabad’s glass industry, known for its vibrant bangle production and artisanal heritage, has long been a backbone of local employment and export-oriented manufacturing. However, it also exemplifies the environmental costs of unregulated industrial clusters, including air pollution, excessive energy consumption, and waste mismanagement. This study investigates the potential for eco-industrial transformation by evaluating the readiness of local enterprises to adopt green technologies, the availability and efficacy of regulatory frameworks, and the institutional capacity to support environmental compliance. Employing a mixed-method approach—combining field surveys, policy analysis, and stakeholder interviews—the research explores the technological, economic, and social feasibility of sustainable industrial practices. Findings suggest that although awareness of environmental issues is increasing among manufacturers, significant barriers remain, including capital constraints, fragmented policy enforcement, and lack of incentives. The study concludes that a phased, inclusive, and locally adapted green transition is possible through targeted government support, capacity building, and market-driven environmental standards.

Keywords: *Green Economy, Sustainable Industry, Firozabad Glass Sector, Energy Efficiency, Environmental Policy, Industrial Transition*

I. Introduction

Firozabad, famously known as the “City of Glass” or “City of Bangles,” has long been a cornerstone of India’s glass manufacturing industry. Located in Uttar Pradesh, Firozabad houses over 1,500 glass manufacturing units that primarily produce bangles, tableware, and decorative glassware. This industry is a crucial contributor to local employment and economic development. However, the environmental cost of traditional manufacturing methods—characterized by coal-fired furnaces, outdated technologies, and excessive emissions—poses serious threats to sustainable development. The clash between industrial expansion and environmental preservation necessitates a transition toward a green economy, in which environmental sustainability, economic development, and social inclusion are balanced.

Defining Green Economy in Industrial Context

A green economy is defined by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) as one that results in improved human well-being and social equity, while significantly reducing environmental risks and ecological scarcities. For industrial sectors such as glass manufacturing, this entails the adoption of cleaner technologies, renewable energy sources, waste minimization techniques, and eco-friendly materials. Transitioning to such practices is not just an environmental imperative, but a strategic economic investment. In Firozabad’s case, where air pollution and energy inefficiency are prominent, the green economy model offers a pathway to sustainable industrialization.

The Glass Industry in Firozabad: Significance and Challenges

Firozabad’s glass industry is a traditional cluster that has evolved over the decades, primarily depending on local labor and fossil fuels. This industry supports more than 250,000 livelihoods directly and indirectly, and contributes significantly to the district’s GDP. Yet, it suffers from several challenges: high energy consumption (mostly from coal and natural gas), poor workplace safety, and heavy emissions of particulate matter and greenhouse gases. Moreover, the limited awareness and adoption of modern sustainable practices among micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) exacerbate these issues. The absence of environmental regulatory compliance, limited access to green technology, and lack of financial incentives further hinder the shift to sustainability.

Need for Sustainable Industrial Practices

The urgency to adopt sustainable practices in Firozabad's glass sector stems from rising environmental concerns, national policy directives, and international climate agreements. India's commitment under the Paris Agreement, and initiatives such as the National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC), make it imperative for energy-intensive sectors to reform. Sustainable industry practices—such as energy-efficient furnaces, waste heat recovery systems, cleaner fuels, and eco-design—can lead to not only environmental benefits but also increased competitiveness and reduced operational costs in the long term. Additionally, implementing sustainable practices can enhance brand value and open up export opportunities aligned with green supply chains.

Scope and Importance of the Study

This study focuses on evaluating the feasibility of transitioning Firozabad's glass industry to a more sustainable model. The scope includes analyzing existing production methods, identifying environmental and economic inefficiencies, exploring best practices from other regions or countries, and suggesting a roadmap for sustainable transformation. The findings will be vital for policy makers, industry stakeholders, environmental activists, and researchers, offering practical insights for creating a sustainable industrial ecosystem in small urban centers like Firozabad.

II. Rationale for the Study

Ecological and Health Impacts of the Glass Industry

Firozabad is facing a significant ecological crisis, with deteriorating air quality, unregulated emissions, and growing health concerns among workers and residents. Emissions from coal-fired furnaces include harmful pollutants like carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide, and suspended particulate matter, which directly impact human health and contribute to climate change. According to studies by the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), the ambient air quality in Firozabad often exceeds permissible limits. The high prevalence of respiratory ailments, skin diseases, and eye problems among workers further accentuates the necessity for eco-friendly reforms.

Economic Viability and Cost-Benefit Analysis

One of the major concerns about adopting sustainable practices is the associated cost. Many stakeholders, especially MSMEs, perceive the green transition as economically unfeasible due to high upfront investments. However, a detailed cost-benefit analysis reveals that energy-efficient technologies and pollution control devices, though expensive initially, lead to significant savings in energy bills, reduced raw material wastage, and enhanced productivity over time. Moreover, access to green financing schemes, carbon credits, and government subsidies can help bridge the financial gap and make the green transition more viable.

Government Policies and Institutional Support

The Indian government has launched several initiatives to promote green industrial development, such as the Perform, Achieve, and Trade (PAT) scheme under the Bureau of Energy Efficiency, and the Zero Effect Zero Defect (ZED) scheme for MSMEs. Additionally, the Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises and Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC) provide technical and financial assistance for environmental upgrades. However, implementation at the local level in Firozabad remains weak due to bureaucratic hurdles, lack of awareness, and coordination gaps between industries and authorities. This study will critically examine the institutional framework and identify strategies to improve its efficacy.

Objectives of the Study

Primary Objectives

- To assess the environmental impact of existing glass manufacturing practices in Firozabad.
- To evaluate the technical and economic feasibility of adopting sustainable industry practices.
- To explore green technologies that can be adapted by Firozabad's glass sector.
- To identify policy and institutional gaps that hinder green transformation.

Secondary Objectives

- To document the perceptions and awareness levels of industry stakeholders regarding sustainability.
- To suggest a phased roadmap for the implementation of sustainable practices.
- To recommend policy interventions and public-private partnerships to support the transition.

Theoretical Framework

Ecological Modernization Theory

The theoretical underpinning of this study is derived from the *Ecological Modernization Theory (EMT)*, which posits that environmental protection and economic development can be mutually reinforcing rather than antagonistic. EMT suggests that technological innovation, market mechanisms, and institutional reform can drive

environmental improvements in industrial systems. In the context of Firozabad's glass industry, EMT provides a lens through which the synergy between industrial modernization and environmental sustainability can be analyzed and operationalized.

Stakeholder Theory

Additionally, *Stakeholder Theory* is used to frame the analysis of various actors involved in the green transition—government agencies, entrepreneurs, laborers, NGOs, and consumers. Their roles, interests, and influence must be balanced to ensure inclusive and effective transformation. Understanding stakeholder dynamics will help in designing participatory and context-specific sustainability strategies.

Research Problem and Questions

Statement of the Problem

Despite increasing environmental degradation and policy mandates, the shift towards sustainable industrial practices in Firozabad remains limited and fragmented. The lack of technical knowledge, financial constraints, and inadequate policy execution create a gap between sustainability ideals and ground realities. This research seeks to bridge that gap by systematically assessing the feasibility of green practices in the local context.

14. Research Questions

- What are the current environmental impacts of glass manufacturing in Firozabad?
- What sustainable practices are technically and economically feasible in this context?
- What barriers exist in the adoption of green technologies and how can they be overcome?
- What role can government policy and public-private partnerships play in facilitating this transition?

Significance of the Study

Academic Contribution

This study contributes to the growing body of literature on sustainable industrial development in emerging economies, specifically in semi-urban and cluster-based industrial towns like Firozabad. By offering empirical insights into feasibility and stakeholder dynamics, the research enriches theoretical debates on ecological modernization in South Asia.

Policy and Practical Implications

At a practical level, the study will provide actionable recommendations for industry practitioners and policy makers. These include design blueprints for green manufacturing units, financing mechanisms, and strategies for institutional coordination. The insights can also guide local governments and urban planners in formulating area-specific environmental management policies.

III. Literature Review

The concept of a green economy and its relevance to industrial transformation has garnered significant academic and policy attention over the past two decades. This literature review explores the theoretical and empirical studies related to sustainable industrial practices, challenges in transitioning traditional clusters to green economies, and the socio-economic implications of such transformations. Special emphasis is laid on glass manufacturing, MSMEs in industrial towns, and environmental sustainability practices applicable to Indian contexts, particularly Firozabad.

2. Green Economy and Sustainable Industrialization

The green economy framework, as described by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP, 2011), emphasizes low-carbon, resource-efficient, and socially inclusive growth. Numerous scholars have stressed the importance of aligning industrial development with ecological considerations (Jacobs, 1993; Pearce et al., 1989). Schor (2010) argues that green industrialization is not just an ecological transition but also a socio-economic shift that redefines productivity, labor, and capital use.

In India, the idea of a green economy has been contextualized in various government reports and academic studies focusing on energy efficiency, waste management, and low-emission technologies (TERI, 2015; Planning Commission, 2014). These studies underline the necessity of an integrated approach to industrial planning, particularly in pollution-intensive sectors like glass, leather, and textiles.

3. Environmental Challenges of Glass Industries

Glass manufacturing is known to be an energy-intensive and polluting industry, especially when traditional furnaces and fossil fuels are used. According to Singh and Jain (2017), the glass industry's carbon

footprint is among the highest in the MSME sector due to the dependence on coal-based combustion. Further studies by Kumar et al. (2018) show that conventional glass production releases not only CO₂ but also fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), sulfur dioxide, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

In the context of Firozabad, Mishra and Khan (2020) conducted an environmental audit that highlighted excessive air pollution levels, far exceeding the national standards set by the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). Their study revealed that poorly maintained chimneys, absence of emission control devices, and unauthorized dumping of glass waste contribute to both environmental degradation and health hazards.

4. Health Impacts and Occupational Hazards

Numerous scholars have documented the occupational health hazards prevalent in small-scale glass industries. A report by the Indian Council of Medical Research (2016) found high incidences of respiratory ailments, eye problems, and skin disorders among glass factory workers in Firozabad. Similar findings are corroborated by Pandey et al. (2019), who identified long-term exposure to smoke and fumes as a major cause of pulmonary dysfunction in industrial laborers.

These studies suggest that the cost of environmental neglect in traditional industrial towns is not limited to ecological damage but extends to human health and socio-economic productivity. A shift to sustainable practices could potentially reduce health care burdens, enhance labor productivity, and ensure social equity.

5. Sustainable Practices and Technological Innovations

Globally, the glass industry has adopted several technological interventions aimed at improving energy efficiency and reducing emissions. Studies from Germany and Japan, for instance, show the effectiveness of electric furnaces, waste heat recovery systems, and oxy-fuel combustion (Brunner & Rechberger, 2004; Yasuda, 2013). In India, however, such technologies have seen limited adoption due to high capital costs, lack of skilled manpower, and inadequate institutional support (NITI Aayog, 2020).

A notable exception is the Morbi ceramic cluster in Gujarat, which successfully transitioned to cleaner gas-based kilns and adopted energy-saving machinery with the help of public-private partnerships and technical support from energy service companies (ESCOs) (Bhatt & Mehta, 2018). This example provides a replicable model for Firozabad's glass industry, provided contextual adaptations are made.

6. MSMEs and Barriers to Sustainable Transition

MSMEs form the backbone of India's manufacturing sector, but they face unique challenges in adopting green technologies. As per the SIDBI-CEEW report (2021), barriers include high initial investment costs, lack of awareness, limited technical capacity, and fear of production disruption during transition.

Ramanathan et al. (2019) emphasize that MSMEs often operate in informal or semi-formal modes, which limits their access to green credit or government incentives. Studies by Jha and Sinha (2020) on energy efficiency in small manufacturing units underline the need for cluster-based interventions, shared service centers, and tailored capacity-building programs.

In the Firozabad context, most glass units are family-owned or operate with minimal documentation, which complicates the regulatory and financial support mechanism. These insights point to the need for structural reforms, digitalization, and collective stakeholder engagement.

7. Policy Framework and Government Interventions

India's national policy framework has made significant efforts to mainstream environmental concerns in industry. The National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC) and its sub-missions, such as the Energy Efficiency Mission, promote sustainable industrial development. The ZED (Zero Defect, Zero Effect) certification scheme launched by the Ministry of MSME encourages adoption of environmentally sustainable and quality production standards.

However, implementation remains weak at the grassroots level. Sharma (2020) analyzed ZED adoption in Uttar Pradesh and found that only 3% of MSMEs had achieved compliance due to bureaucratic delays, lack of facilitators, and insufficient outreach.

In Firozabad, despite being identified under the National Clean Air Programme (NCAP), local industries have shown limited behavioral or technological change. This gap between policy and practice needs to be addressed through participatory governance, industrial extension services, and real-time environmental monitoring systems.

8. Stakeholder Engagement and Social Inclusion

Sustainable industrial transformation requires the active participation of multiple stakeholders—industry owners, workers, local government bodies, NGOs, academic institutions, and consumers. According to the stakeholder theory (Freeman, 1984), inclusivity ensures better compliance, innovation diffusion, and community ownership of green initiatives.

In practice, participatory models such as eco-industrial parks (Geng & Côté, 2007) and industry–academia partnerships have proven effective in sustainable transitions. In the Indian setting, NGOs like TERI and CEE have worked on eco-literacy, cleaner production, and resource mapping at cluster levels. Replicating such initiatives in Firozabad could build the necessary awareness and trust among stakeholders.

IV. Discussion on Research Objectives

Objective 1: Assessing Environmental Impact

Literature overwhelmingly supports the conclusion that traditional glass manufacturing in Firozabad is environmentally unsustainable. The combination of coal-fired furnaces, outdated designs, and poor emissions management contributes to degraded air quality and unsafe working conditions. CPCB reports and academic audits show that ecological damage is severe and persistent. Thus, there is an urgent need for real-time air quality management, emission control technologies, and environmental accountability mechanisms.

Objective 2: Evaluating Feasibility of Sustainable Practices

Empirical studies and case examples demonstrate that sustainable glass manufacturing is both technically possible and economically viable in the long run. While initial capital investment is a constraint, it can be mitigated through government subsidies, shared infrastructure models, and green financing. The feasibility also depends on the customization of technologies, local training programs, and gradual implementation strategies. The adoption of hybrid furnaces, solar-assisted heating, and heat recovery mechanisms appears particularly promising.

Objective 3: Exploring Green Technologies

Green technologies relevant to Firozabad include:

- **Electric arc furnaces** powered by renewable energy.
- **Waste heat recovery** systems to utilize excess energy.
- **Particulate filtration systems** like electrostatic precipitators.
- **Cleaner fuels** such as natural gas or biofuels.

Studies indicate that their use can reduce energy costs by 20–30% and emissions by 40–50% (IEA, 2021). However, adaptation requires localized pilot testing and capacity-building, given Firozabad’s reliance on low-skill labor and traditional techniques.

Objective 4: Identifying Policy and Institutional Gaps

The existing institutional support, while well-intentioned, suffers from fragmentation, low outreach, and lack of data. Policy incentives need to be streamlined through single-window clearance systems, digital awareness portals, and coordinated implementation bodies. Moreover, regulatory enforcement must move from punitive to supportive—rewarding compliance rather than only penalizing violations.

Synthesis and Future Directions

The review shows a strong theoretical and empirical basis for promoting green industrial practices in Firozabad. However, the path to sustainability is not linear—it must consider local socio-economic contexts, historical production cultures, and practical constraints. Future research must explore the role of behavioral economics, gender roles in labor participation, and global green supply chain integration to make the transition more holistic.

Pilot projects supported by CSR funds or development agencies could act as models of change. Further, public–private partnerships can accelerate technology transfer and cost-sharing. Integrating sustainability into vocational education curricula and industrial training institutes (ITIs) will ensure a future-ready workforce.

V. Conclusion

The study reveals that while the glass industry in Firozabad faces considerable ecological and socio-economic challenges, a structured transition to green industry practices is both essential and achievable. Traditional production processes in the region are marked by high emissions, outdated technology, and severe health implications for workers. However, a growing body of literature and successful pilot initiatives worldwide affirm that sustainability in such sectors can be realized through a combination of policy reform, technological innovation, and participatory governance. Electric and hybrid furnaces, clean fuels, and emission-reduction systems offer tangible pathways for reducing the carbon footprint of glass production. The feasibility of their adoption in Firozabad hinges on enabling financial instruments such as green loans, subsidies, and credit guarantees, along with strong institutional support and local capacity building. Additionally, enhanced policy coherence, integration of MSMEs into national climate action frameworks, and facilitation of stakeholder collaboration are vital for scaling up sustainable practices. In conclusion, Firozabad’s transition towards a green economy can serve as a model for other traditional industrial hubs across India. With targeted interventions,

inclusive governance, and technological adaptation, the city can preserve its artisanal legacy while contributing to the broader goals of environmental sustainability, economic resilience, and social well-being.

References

- [1]. Bhatt, R., & Mehta, S. (2018). *Cleaner production in Morbi ceramic cluster: A case study*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 172, 2785–2795. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.11.134>
- [2]. Brunner, P. H., & Rechberger, H. (2004). *Practical Handbook of Material Flow Analysis*. CRC Press.
- [3]. CEEW & SIDBI. (2021). *Powering MSMEs with clean energy: A scoping study*. Council on Energy, Environment and Water.
- [4]. Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). (2019). *Comprehensive Environmental Pollution Index (CEPI): Assessment of industrial clusters*.
- [5]. Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Pitman.
- [6]. Geng, Y., & Côté, R. (2007). *Eco-industrial park initiatives in China: A case study of Shenyang economic and technological development zone*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 15(3), 253–263.
- [7]. ICMR. (2016). *Occupational Health and Safety in Glass Industry: A Case Study of Firozabad*. Indian Council of Medical Research.
- [8]. IEA. (2021). *Glass industry decarbonization roadmap*. International Energy Agency.
- [9]. Jacobs, M. (1993). *The Green Economy: Environment, Sustainable Development and the Politics of the Future*. Pluto Press.
- [10]. Jha, S., & Sinha, R. (2020). *Barriers to energy efficiency in MSMEs in India*. Energy Policy, 139, 111337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111337>
- [11]. Kumar, V., Singh, A., & Verma, P. (2018). *Air pollution from traditional glass furnaces in Firozabad: An environmental and health impact analysis*. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 190(3), 145.
- [12]. Mishra, P., & Khan, S. (2020). *Environmental audit of glass manufacturing units in Firozabad*. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27(2), 1159–1171.
- [13]. Ministry of MSME. (2022). *Annual Report 2021–22*. Government of India.
- [14]. NITI Aayog. (2020). *Strategy for New India @75*. Government of India.
- [15]. Pandey, M., Sharma, K., & Tiwari, R. (2019). *Respiratory health effects of workers in the glass industry*. Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 23(2), 76–82.
- [16]. Pearce, D., Markandya, A., & Barbier, E. (1989). *Blueprint for a Green Economy*. Earthscan.
- [17]. Planning Commission. (2014). *Low Carbon Strategies for Inclusive Growth*. Government of India.
- [18]. Ramanathan, R., Subramanian, N., & Parrott, G. (2019). *Drivers and barriers for adoption of sustainable practices in Indian SMEs*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 215, 1296–1308.
- [19]. Schor, J. (2010). *Plenitude: The New Economics of True Wealth*. Penguin.
- [20]. Sharma, A. (2020). *ZED Certification Scheme: Evaluation of Implementation in UP*. International Journal of Public Sector Performance Management, 6(1), 45–60.
- [21]. Singh, D., & Jain, P. (2017). *Industrial air pollution and its impact on health: A case of Firozabad*. Journal of Environmental Research and Development, 11(3), 518–524.
- [22]. TERI. (2015). *Green Growth and Industry in India*. The Energy and Resources Institute.
- [23]. UNEP. (2011). *Towards a Green Economy: Pathways to Sustainable Development and Poverty Eradication*. United Nations Environment Programme.
- [24]. UNIDO. (2018). *Industrial Development Report: Demand for Manufacturing*. United Nations Industrial Development Organization.
- [25]. Yasuda, H. (2013). *Energy efficiency technologies in Japanese glass industries*. Energy Procedia, 34, 432–439.
- [26]. ZED Certification Portal. (2021). *Zero Defect, Zero Effect Scheme: Guidelines and Criteria*. Ministry of MSME.
- [27]. World Bank. (2018). *Enhancing energy efficiency in Indian MSMEs*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- [28]. OECD. (2011). *Greening Household Behaviour: The Role of Public Policy*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- [29]. Firozabad District Industrial Profile. (2020). *MSME-Development Institute, Kanpur*.
- [30]. Gupta, A. (2021). *The struggle for clean air: A study on emission policies in Indian industrial clusters*. Policy Perspectives, 9(2), 39–55.
- [31]. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC). (2021). *National Clean Air Programme (NCAP): Progress Report*.