

Common Fixed Point Theorems of Multivalued Operators in Generalized Metric Spaces

Prof. Hiremath Suresh Shivarudrappa¹, Dr. Kakde Rameshkumar
Vishwambharrao²

Brahmdevdada Mane Institute of Technology, Solapur(Maharashtra), India

Shri Sant Gadage Maharaj Mahavidyalaya, Loha(Maharashtra), India

Abstract: The purpose of this article is to obtain common fixed point theorems of multivalued operators in generalized metric spaces.

Keywords: multivalued mapping, fixed point, common fixed point, generalized metric space.

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 47H04, 47H10, 54H25.

I. Introduction:

The concept of D-metric spaces was initiated by B C Dhage. The study was further enhanced by B E Rhoades, B C Dhage, A M Pathan.

Definition1.1: A non-empty set X together with a function $D: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a D-metric space, denoted $\langle X, D \rangle$ if D satisfies

i) $D(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$ (coincidence)

ii) $D(x, y, z) = D(p\{x, y, z\})$ where p is a permutation of x, y, z (symmetry)

iii)

$D(x, y, z) \leq D(x, y, a) + D(x, a, z) + D(a, y, z)$ for $x, y, z, a \in X$ (tetrahedral inequality)

The non-negative real function D is called a D-metric on X . A D-metric is called generalized metric on X and the pair $\langle X, D \rangle$ is called Generalized metric space.

Generally the usual ordinary metric is called the distance function. D-metric is called diameter function of the points of X .

The common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in metric spaces have been obtained by Alina Sintamarian[1] which improve and generalize a result given by A. Latif and I. Beg in [2]. Here we make use of the following theorem to obtain common fixed point theorems of multivalued operators in generalized metric spaces.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\langle X, D \rangle$ be a metric space and $S, T: X \rightarrow P(X)$ be two multivalued operators. We suppose that at least one of the following condition is satisfied:

(i) there exists $\varphi: R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ a function with the property that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and such that for each $x \in X$, any $u_x \in S(x)$ and for all $y \in X$, there exists $u_y \in T(y)$ so that we have

$$d(u_x, u_y) \leq \varphi(d(x, y))$$

ii) there exists $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5 \in R_+$, with $a_3 + a_4 < 1$ such that for each

$x \in X$, any $u_x \in S(x)$ and for all $y \in X$, there exists $u_y \in T(y)$ so that we have

$$d(u_x, u_y) \leq a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, u_x) + a_3 d(y, u_y) + a_4 d(x, u_y) + a_5 d(y, u_x)$$

iii) there exists $a \in R_+$, with $a < 1$ such that for each

$x \in X$, any $u_x \in S(x)$ and for all $y \in X$, there exists $u_y \in T(y)$ so that we have

$$d(u_x, u_y) \leq a \max\{d(x, y), d(x, u_x), d(y, u_y), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, u_y) + d(y, u_x)]\}$$

iv) there exists $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ a function with the property that $\varphi(0,0,t,t,0) < t$, for all $t > 0$ and such that for each $x \in X$, any $u_x \in S(x)$ and for all $y \in X$, there exists $u_y \in T(y)$ so that we have $d(u_x, u_y) \leq \varphi(d(x, y), d(x, u_x), d(y, u_y), d(x, u_y), d(y, u_x))$

Then $F_S \subseteq F_T$.

Definition 1.2 Let X be a non empty set. By $P(X)$ we shall understand the set of all non empty subsets of X . A correspondence $T: X \rightarrow P(X)$ is called a multivalued mapping on X .

Definition 1.3 A fixed point of multivalued mapping $T: X \rightarrow P(X)$ is a point $x \in X$ such that $x \in T(x)$.

We denote by F_T the set of the fixed points of T .

Let $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of multivalued operators with nonempty values that is

$T_n: X \rightarrow P(X)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We denote by $ComFP(T)$ the set of the common fixed points of the multivalued operators T_n , for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

That is $ComFP(T) = \{x \in X \mid x \in T_n(x), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_{T_n}$

Main Result

Theorem 2.2 Let $\langle X, D \rangle$ be a generalized metric space and $S, T: X \rightarrow P(X)$ be two multivalued mappings. We suppose that at least one of the following condition is satisfied.

(i) there exists $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ a function with the property that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and such that for each $x \in X$, any $u_x \in S(x)$ and for all $y \in X$, there exists $u_y \in T(y)$ so that we have

$$D(u_x, u_y, u_y) \leq \varphi(D(x, y, y))$$

ii) there exists $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, with $a_3 + a_4 < 1$ such that for each

$x \in X$, any $u_x \in S(x)$ and for all $y \in X$, there exists $u_y \in T(y)$ so that we have

$$D(u_x, u_y, u_y) \leq a_1 D(x, y, y) + a_2 D(x, u_x, u_x) + a_3 D(y, u_y, u_y) + a_4 D(x, u_y, u_y) + a_5 D(y, u_x, u_x)$$

iii) there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$, with $a < 1$ such that for each

$x \in X$, any $u_x \in S(x)$ and for all $y \in X$, there exists $u_y \in T(y)$ so that we have

$$D(u_x, u_y, u_y) \leq a \max\{ D(x, y, y), D(x, u_x, u_x), D(y, u_y, u_y), \frac{1}{2}[D(x, u_y, u_y) + D(y, u_x, u_x)]\}$$

iv) there exists $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ a function with the property that $\varphi(0,0,t,t,0) < t$, for all $t > 0$ and such that for each $x \in X$, any $u_x \in S(x)$ and for all $y \in X$, there exists $u_y \in T(y)$ so that we have

$$D(u_x, u_y, u_y) \leq \varphi(D(x, y, y), D(x, u_x, u_x), D(y, u_y, u_y), D(x, u_y, u_y), D(y, u_x, u_x))$$

Then $F_S \subseteq F_T$.

Proof: We assume that condition (i) is satisfied

Let $x^* \in F_S$. Then $x^* \in S(x^*)$ and it follows that

there exists $u \in T(x^*)$ such that

$$D(x^*, u, u) \leq \varphi(D(x^*, x^*, x^*)) = \varphi(0) = 0$$

This implies that $x^* = u$

Therefore, $x^* \in T(x^*)$ and hence $F_S \subseteq F_T$

Now suppose that the condition (ii) is satisfied.

Let $x^* \in F_S$ so $x^* \in S(x^*)$ and there exists $u \in T(x^*)$ such that

$$D(x^*, u, u) \leq a_1 D(x^*, x^*, x^*) + a_2 D(x^*, x^*, x^*) + a_3 D(x^*, u, u) + a_4 D(x^*, u, u) + a_5 D(x^*, x^*, x^*) \\ = (a_3 + a_4) D(x^*, u, u)$$

This implies $x^* = u$

Therefore $x^* \in T(x^*)$ that is $x^* \in F_T$

For the case when condition (iii) is fulfilled, the demonstration is made similarly with the proof from the second case.

Finally, we assume that the condition (iv) is verified.

Let $x^* \in F_S$ then there exists $u \in T(x^*)$ such that

$$D(x^*, u, u) \leq \varphi(D(x^*, x^*, x^*), D(x^*, x^*, x^*), D(x^*, u, u), D(x^*, u, u), D(x^*, x^*, x^*))$$

Introducing the notation $t = D(x^*, u, u)$ we obtain

$$t \leq \varphi(0, 0, t, t, 0)$$

If we suppose that $t \neq 0$, then we reach the condition $t \leq \varphi(0, 0, t, t, 0) < t$

Thus $t = 0$ which means that $u = x^*$. It follows that $x^* \in T(x^*)$ and so $F_S \subseteq F_T$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

References:

- [1] Alina Sintamarian, *Common Fixed Point Theorems For Multivalued Mappings*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca, Volume 1, 2000, 93-102.
- [2] A. Latif, I. Beg, *Geometric fixed points for single and multivalued mappings*, Demonstratio Math. 30 (4), 1997, 791-800.
- [3] B E Rhoades, *A Fixed Point Theorem For Generalized Metric Spaces*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. Vol 19 No.3 (1996), 457-460.
- [4] Dhage B C, *Generalized Metric Space and Mapping with Fixed Point* Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 84, (1992), 329-336.
- [5] Dhage B C, *Generalized Metric Spaces and Topological Structure I*, An. Stiint. Univ. Al.I. Cuza Iasi. Mat(N.S), 46, (2000), 3-24.
- [6] Dhage B C, *On Generalized Metric Spaces and Topological Structure II*, Pure. Appl. Math. Sci. 40, 1994, 37-41.
- [7] Mustafa. Z and Sims. B, *Some Remarks Concerning D- Metric Spaces*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Valencia (Spain), July (2003), 189-198.
- [8] C. T. Aage, J. N. Salunkhe, *On Some Common Fixed Points for Contractive type Mappings in Cone Metric Spaces*, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 1, 3(2009), 10-15.
- [9] C. T. Aage, J. N. Salunkhe, *Some Fixed Point Theorems in Generalized D*-Metric Spaces*, Applied Sciences Vol.12, 2010, pp. 1-13.
- [10] Seong Hoon Cho and Mi Sun Kim, *Fixed Point Theorems for General Contractive Multivalued Mappings*, J. Appl. Math. & Informatics Vol. 27(2009), No. 1-2, pp. 343-350.