

## On Pairwise Completely Regular Ordered Spaces

A. F. Sayed

Mathematics Department, Al-Lith University College, Umm Al-Qura University  
P.O. Box 112, Al-Lith 21961, Makkah Al Mukarramah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

**Abstract:** In this paper, we introduce the concept of pairwise 0-completely regular filters on a pairwise completely regular ordered bitopological space; we define the category of bitopological ordered  $K$ -spaces, which is isomorphic to that found among both bitopological and ordered spaces.

**Key words & Phrases:** A bitopological ordered space; a bitopological partially ordered space; a pairwise completely regular ordered space; a pairwise 0-completely regular filter; pairwise continuous isotone; a pairwise compact ordered space; pairwise  $G_k$ -set; pairwise  $k$ -compact; pairwise  $k$ -Lindelöf; bitopological  $P$ -spaces

### I. Introduction

In (1963) Kelly, J. C. [2] initiated the study of bitopological spaces. A set equipped with two topologies is called a bitopological space. Since several other authors continued investigating such spaces; among them recently [4]. In (1965) Nachbin, L. [6] initiated the study of topological ordered spaces. A topological ordered space is a triple  $(X, \tau, \leq)$ , where  $\tau$  is a topology on  $X$  and  $\leq$  is a partial order on  $X$ . In (1971) Singal, M. K. and Singal, A. R. [9] introduced the concept of a bitopological ordered space, and they studied some separation axioms for such spaces. Raghavan, T. G. [7, 8] and various other authors have contributed to development and construction some properties of such spaces. In (1976) Choe, T. H. and Hong, Y. H. [1] introduced the concept of 0-completely regular filters on a completely regular ordered space and gave some results with this concept. Kopperman, R. and Lawson, J. D. [5] defined bitopological and topological ordered  $K$ -spaces in order to handle the requirements of domain theory in theoretical computer science. The aim of this paper is to introduce the concept of pairwise 0-completely regular filters on a pairwise completely regular ordered bitopological space; we define the category of bitopological ordered  $K$ -spaces, which is isomorphic to that found among both bitopological and ordered spaces.

### II. Preliminaries and notations

Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set (i.e. a set  $X$  together with a reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive relation  $\leq$ ). For a subset  $A \subseteq X$ , we write:

$$L(A) = \{y \in X: y \leq x \text{ for some } x \in A\}, \text{ and}$$

$$M(A) = \{y \in X: x \leq y \text{ for some } x \in A\}.$$

In particular, if  $A$  is a singleton set, say  $\{x\}$ , then we write  $L(x)$  and  $M(x)$  respectively. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be decreasing (resp. increasing) if  $A = L(A)$  (resp.  $A = M(A)$ ). The complement of a decreasing (resp. an increasing) set is an increasing (resp. a decreasing) set. A mapping  $f: (X, \leq) \rightarrow (X^*, \leq^*)$  from a partially ordered set  $(X, \leq)$  to a partially ordered set  $(X^*, \leq^*)$  is increasing (resp. a decreasing) if  $x \leq y$  in  $X$  implies  $f(x) \leq^* f(y)$  (resp.  $f(y) \leq^* f(x)$ ).  $f$  is called **isotone** if its monotone increasing and therefore order-preserving.  $f$  is called an order isomorphism if it is an increasing bijection such that  $f^{-1}$  is also increasing.

A bitopological ordered space [9] is a quadruple consisting of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , and a partial order  $\leq$  on  $X$ ; it is denoted as  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ . The partial order  $\leq$  is said to be continuous (resp. weakly continuous) [7] if its graph  $G(\leq) = \{(x, y): x \leq y\}$  is closed in the product topology  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$  (resp.  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ ) where  $i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ , or equivalently, if  $L(x)$  and  $M(x)$  are  $\tau_i$ -closed, where  $i, j = 1, 2$  (resp.  $L(x)$  is  $\tau_1$ -closed and  $M(x)$  is  $\tau_2$ -closed), for each  $x \in X$ . If the bitopological space equipped with (weakly) continuous partial order, then the space is (weakly) pairwise Hausdorff. If a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is equipped with a continuous partial order  $\leq$ ; then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  will be called a bitopological partially ordered space. For a subset  $A$  of a bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ ,

$$H_i^l(A) = \bigcap \{F \mid F \text{ is } \tau_i\text{-decreasing closed subset of } X \text{ containing } A\},$$

$$H_i^m(A) = \bigcap \{F \mid F \text{ is } \tau_i\text{-increasing closed subset of } X \text{ containing } A\},$$

Clearly,  $H_i^m(A)$  (resp.  $H_i^l(A)$ ) is the smallest  $\tau_i$ -increasing closed set containing  $A$ . Further  $A$  is  $\tau_i$ -increasing (resp.  $\tau_i$ -decreasing) closed if and only if  $A = H_i^m(A)$  (resp.  $H_i^l(A)$ ).

A map  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \rightarrow (X^*, \tau^*_1, \tau^*_2, \leq^*)$  is pairwise continuous isotone if  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X^*, \tau^*_1, \tau^*_2)$  is pairwise continuous and  $f: (X, \leq) \rightarrow (X^*, \leq^*)$  is isotone.

The category of bitopological ordered spaces and pairwise continuous isotone functions will be denoted by BTOS. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  a bitopological ordered space. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{R}$ ) be a filter in  $X$  consisting of  $\tau_i$ -decreasing (resp.  $\tau_j$ -increasing) closed subsets of  $X$  where  $i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ . A pair  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  of  $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R}$  is called a pairwise filter on  $X$  [7] if  $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$  for any  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $G \in \mathfrak{R}$ .

For given two  $(\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{R}_1) \subseteq (\mathfrak{F}_2, \mathfrak{R}_2)$  ( $\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{R}_1$ ) and  $(\mathfrak{F}_2, \mathfrak{R}_2)$  we defined a relation  $(\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{R}_1) \subseteq (\mathfrak{F}_2, \mathfrak{R}_2)$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_2$ , we can easily remark by Zorn's Lemma that every pairwise filter is contained in a maximal pairwise filter.

### III. Pairwise completely regular ordered spaces

Let  $I$  denote  $[0, 1]$ , considered as a set  $([0, 1], \sigma, \omega)$ ; as a bitopological space, where  $\sigma = \{(a, 1] \mid a \in [0, 1]\} \cup \{[0, 1)\}$ , and  $\omega = \{[0, a) \mid a \in [0, 1]\} \cup \{[0, 1]\}$ .

**Definition 3.1:** A bitopological partially ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  will be called pairwise completely separated provided that whenever  $x \leq y$  in  $X$ , there exists a pairwise continuous isotone  $f: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(x) > f(y)$  where  $I$  is the unit interval with the usual order and bitopology.

The category of bitopological partially ordered spaces and pairwise continuous isotone functions will be denoted by BTPOS.

**Definition 3.2:** A pairwise completely separated bitopological partially ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  is said to be a pairwise completely regular ordered space if for any point  $x \in X$  and for any  $\tau_i$ -open neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  there exists pairwise continuous isotone  $f: X \rightarrow I$  and a pairwise continuous ant-isotone  $g: X \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(x) = 1, g(x) = 0$  and  $V_i^c \subseteq f^{-1}(0) \cup g^{-1}(0)$  where  $V_i^c$  denotes the complement of  $V$  in  $X$  with respect to  $\tau_i, i = 1, 2$ .

The category of pairwise completely regular ordered spaces and pairwise continuous isotone functions will be denoted by PCROS.

**Definition 3.3:** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  be a pairwise completely regular ordered space. a pairwise filter  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  on  $X$  is said to be pairwise 0-completely regular if  $\mathfrak{F}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{R}$ ) has a  $\tau_i$ - (resp.  $\tau_j$ -) open base  $B$ , satisfying that for each  $U \in B$ , there exists many pairwise continuous isotones  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n: X \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  such that  $f_r(U) = 0$  for each  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $U_i^c$  (resp.  $U_j^c$ ) is contained in  $\cup f_r^{-1}(\{-1, 1\})$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ .

By a maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter on  $X$  is meant a pairwise 0-completely regular filter not contained in any other pairwise 0-completely regular filter.

**Remark 3.4:** For every pairwise 0-completely regular filter, there exists by Zorn's Lemma, a maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter containing it. In particular, a pairwise 0-completely regular filter  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  on a pairwise completely regular ordered space  $X$  is a maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter iff for any pair of  $\tau_i$ - (resp.  $\tau_j$ -) open sets  $u$  and  $v$  with  $v \subseteq u$  and finitely many pairwise continuous isotones  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n: X \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  such that  $f_r(v) = 0$  for each  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $u_i^c$  (resp.  $u_j^c$ ) is contained in  $\cup f_r^{-1}(\{-1, 1\})$ , either  $u \in \mathfrak{F}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{R}$ ) or  $u \notin \mathfrak{F}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{R}$ ) and there exists some  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{R}$ ) with  $F \cap v = \emptyset, i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ .

**Theorem 3.5:** A pairwise filter  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  on a pairwise completely regular ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  contains a maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter iff

$f(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  is convergent for each pairwise continuous isotone  $f: X \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ .

**Proof.** Since pairwise filter containing a convergent pairwise filter is a gain convergent, its enough to show that every maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter satisfies the necessary conditions. Let  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  be a maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter on  $X$  and  $f$  a member of pairwise hom  $(X, [-1, 1])$ .

Since  $[-1, 1]$  is pairwise compact,  $\cap \{H_i^l(f(F)) \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}\}$  (resp.  $\cap \{H_j^m(f(F)) \mid F \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ )  $0 \neq \emptyset$ . Using the above remark, it is easy to show that  $\cap \{H_i^l(f(F)) \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}\}$  (resp.  $\cap \{H_j^m(f(F)) \mid F \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ ) is a singleton set and  $f(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  converges to the point.

Conversely, let  $(\mu, \nu)$  be pairwise filter on  $X$  such that for any  $f \in$  of pairwise hom  $(X, [-1, 1])$   $f(\mu, \nu)$  is convergent. Let  $(x_f, y_f) = \lim f(\mu, \nu)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(N_i(x_f)) \subseteq \mu$  (resp.  $f^{-1}(N_j(y_f)) \subseteq \nu$ ), where  $N_i(x_f)$  (resp.  $N_j(y_f)$ ) is the  $\tau_i$ - (resp.  $\tau_j$ -) neighborhood filter of  $x_f$  - (resp.  $y_f$ ). Hence  $\cup \{f^{-1}(N_i(x_f))\}$  (resp.

$\cup \{ f^{-1}(N_j(y_f)) \mid f \in \text{pairwise hom}(X, [-1, 1]) \}$  generates a pairwise filter; let  $\mathfrak{F} = \bigvee f^{-1}(N_i(x_f))$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{R} = \bigvee f^{-1}(N_j(y_f))$ )  $\mid f \in \text{pairwise hom}(X, [-1, 1])$ . It is easy to show that a join of pairwise 0-completely regular filters is a gain pairwise 0-completely regular filter and that  $(f^{-1}(N_i(x_f)), f^{-1}(N_j(y_f)))$  is a pairwise 0-completely regular filter base. Hence  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  is a pairwise 0-completely regular filter. Using the above remark,  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  is a maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter contained in  $(\mu, \nu)$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.6:** For a maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  on a pairwise completely regular ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  and  $f \in \text{pairwise hom}(X, [-1, 1])$ , let  $x_f = \lim f(\mathfrak{F})$  (resp.  $y_f = \lim f(\mathfrak{R})$ ). Then  $\mathfrak{F} = \bigvee f^{-1}(N_i(x_f))$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{R} = \bigvee f^{-1}(N_j(y_f))$ )  $\mid f \in \text{pairwise hom}(X, [-1, 1])$ .

By the definition of pairwise completely regular ordered space and the above theorem, we have,  
**Corollary 3.7:** Every neighborhood pairwise filter of pairwise completely regular ordered space is a maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter.

#### IV. Pairwise compact and k- compact ordered spaces

The following definition of pairwise compactness is due to Kim [3].

**Definition 4.1:** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  be a bitopological ordered space. Let  $\tau(i, V) = \{\emptyset, X, \{U \cup V \mid U \in \tau_i\}\}$  where  $V \in \tau_j, i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ . If  $\tau(i, V)$  is compact for every  $V \in \tau_j$ . then the space is called pairwise compact.

**Definition 4.2:** A pairwise compact bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  equipped with a continuous partial order is called a pairwise compact ordered space.

Note that, if a continuous partial order is replaced by a weakly continuous partial order in the above definition, then we obtain on the definition of a pairwise G- compact space due to Raghavan, T. G. [8].

The proofs of the two following lemmas are similar to which in [7]

**Lemma 4.3:** If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is bitopological space equipped with a continuous partial order, then if  $K$  is  $\tau_i$ - (resp.  $\tau_j$ -) compact then  $L(K)$  (resp.  $M(K)$ ) is  $\tau_j$ - (resp.  $\tau_i$ -) closed,  $i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ .

**Lemma 4.4:** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  be a pairwise compact ordered space. If  $P$  is an increasing  $\tau_i$ - (resp. a decreasing  $\tau_j$ -) set and  $V$  is a  $\tau_i$ - (resp.  $\tau_j$ -) neighborhood of  $P$ , then there exists an increasing  $\tau_i$ - (resp. a decreasing  $\tau_j$ -) open set  $U$  such that  $P \subset U \subset V, i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ .

**Definition 4.5:** Let  $k$  be an infinite cardinal. A pairwise completely regular ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  is called pairwise  $k$ -compact if every maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter on  $X$  with the  $k$ -intersection property is convergent.

**Definition 4.6 [1]:** Let  $k$  be an infinite cardinal, and let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A subset of  $X$  is called a  $G_k$ -set if it is an intersection of fewer than  $k$ -open subsets of  $X$ . A subset of  $X$  is called  $k$ -closed if it is closed with respect to the topology generated by all  $G_k$ -sets of  $X$ .

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space,  $A \subset X$ , we say that  $A$  is a pairwise  $G_k$ -set if  $A$  is  $G_k$ -set with respect to both  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . A subset of  $X$  is called pairwise closed if it is closed with respect to both  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ .

For a pairwise completely regular ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ . Let  $\beta_0 X$  be the set of all maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filters on  $X$ , endowed with the topologies  $\tau_i$  (resp.  $\tau_j$ ) generated by  $\{U^* \mid U^* = \{(\mu, \nu) \in \beta_0 X \mid U \in \mu \text{ (resp. } \nu)\}, U \text{ is an increasing } \tau_i \text{- (resp. a decreasing } \tau_j \text{-) open set, } i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ . And a relation  $\leq^*$  defined as follows:  $(\mu_1, \nu_1) \leq^* (\mu_2, \nu_2)$  in  $\beta_0 X$  if  $\lim f(\mu_1) \leq \lim f(\mu_2)$  and

$\lim f(\nu_1) \leq \lim f(\nu_2)$  for all  $f \in \text{hom}(X, [-1, 1])$ . It is obvious that  $(\beta_0 X, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set and that  $\{U^* \mid U^* \in \beta_0 X \mid U \text{ is a } \tau_i \text{-open set, } i = 1, 2$ .

Let  $\beta_0: X \rightarrow \beta_0 X$  be a map defined by  $\beta_0(x) = N_i(x)$  for  $x \in X, i = 1, 2$ . By the construction of  $\beta_0 X, \beta_0 X$  is precisely the strict extension of  $X$  with all maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filters of  $X$  as the pairwise filter trace. Furthermore for any  $x \in X$  and any  $f \in \text{hom}(X, [-1, 1])$ ,  $\lim f(N_i(x)) = f(x)$  and  $X$  is pairwise completely regular; it follows that  $\beta_0$  is order isomorphism. Consequently the map  $\beta_0: X \rightarrow \beta_0 X$  is a dense embedding.

**Lemma 4.7:** The space  $(\beta_0 X, \leq, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$  is a bitopological partially ordered space.

**Proof.** We wish to prove that the partial order  $\leq$  is continuous in the product  $\tau_1^* \times \tau_2^*, i, j = 1, 2; i \neq j$ . Let  $(\mu_1, \nu_1), (\mu_2, \nu_2)$  are two elements of  $\beta_0 X$  with  $(\mu_1, \nu_1) \not\leq (\mu_2, \nu_2)$  in  $\beta_0 X$ , and we wish to find  $\tau_i$ -increasing (resp.  $\tau_j$ -decreasing) neighborhood of  $(\mu_1, \nu_1)$ , (resp.  $(\mu_2, \nu_2)$ ). Since  $(\mu_1, \nu_1) \not\leq (\mu_2, \nu_2)$  implies to  $\mu_1 \not\leq \mu_2$  and  $\nu_1 \not\leq \nu_2$ , there are  $f \in \text{hom}(X, [-1, 1])$  with  $f(\mu_2) \not\leq \lim f(\mu_1)$  and  $f(\nu_2) \not\leq \lim f(\nu_1)$ .

Let  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  be elements of  $[-1, 1]$  with  $\lim f(\mu_2, \nu_2) < r_1 < r_2 < \lim f(\mu_1, \nu_1)$  and let  $U = f^{-1}([-1, r_1[$  and  $V = f^{-1}(]r_2, 1])$ . Then it is obvious that  $U^*$  (resp.  $V^*$ ) is a  $\tau_i$ - (resp.  $\tau_j$ -) neighborhood of  $(\mu_1, \nu_1)$ , (resp.  $(\mu_2, \nu_2)$ ) and that for any  $(\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{R}_1) \in V^*$  and any  $(\mathfrak{F}_2, \mathfrak{R}_2) \in U^*$ ,  $(\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{R}_1) \not\leq (\mathfrak{F}_2, \mathfrak{R}_2)$ ; i.e.  $U^*$  (resp.  $V^*$ ) is an increasing (resp. decreasing) as a desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8:** A pairwise completely regular ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  is called a pairwise  $k$ -compact iff it is pairwise  $k$ -closed in  $\beta_0 X$ .

**Proof.** Noting that  $\beta_0 X$  is the strict extension of  $X$  with all pairwise 0-completely regular filters as the pairwise filter trace, the proof is immediate from Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

For an infinite cardinal  $k$ , the category of pairwise  $k$ -compact ordered spaces and pairwise continuous isotones will be denoted by PKCOS.

**Definition 4.9 [1]:** Let  $k$  be an infinite cardinal. A Hausdorff space is said to be  $k$ -Lindelöf if every filter with the  $k$ -intersection property has a cluster point.

**Definition 4.10:** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Let  $\tau(i, V) = \{\emptyset, X, \{U \cup V \mid U \in \tau_i\}\}$  where  $V \in \tau_j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ ;  $i \neq j$ . If  $\tau(i, V)$  is  $k$ -Lindelöf for every  $V \in \tau_j$ , then the space is called pairwise  $k$ -Lindelöf.

The notion of  $P$ -space is well-known. A topological space is called a  $P$ -space if and only if every  $G_\delta$ -set is open. A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a bitopological  $P$ -space [8] if and only if  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are both  $P$ -spaces.

**Definition 4.11:** A bitopological  $P$ -ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  is called pairwise  $k$ -ordered Lindelöf if and only if the  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $k$ -Lindelöf and the partial order  $\leq$  is continuous.

**Proposition 4.12:** A pairwise  $k$ -Lindelöf completely regular ordered space  $X$  is a pairwise  $k$ -compact ordered space.

**Proof.** For any maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  on  $X$  with the  $k$ -intersection property, let  $x$  (resp.  $y$ ) be a cluster point of  $\mathfrak{F}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{R}$ ). Then  $N(x) \vee \mathfrak{F}$  (resp.  $N(y) \vee \mathfrak{R}$ ) exists;  $N(x) = \mathfrak{F}$  (resp.  $N(y) = \mathfrak{R}$ ). Hence  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  is convergent as a desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.13:** If a pairwise filter  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  on a pairwise completely regular ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  contains a maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter with the countable intersection property then  $f(\mathfrak{F})$  (resp.  $f(\mathfrak{R})$ ) is convergent for any pairwise continuous isotone  $f: X \rightarrow R$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to show that for any maximal pairwise 0-completely regular filter  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R})$  with the countable intersection property and a pairwise continuous isotone  $f: X \rightarrow R$ ,  $f(\mathfrak{F})$  (resp.  $f(\mathfrak{R})$ ) is convergent. Since  $f(\mathfrak{F})$  (resp.  $f(\mathfrak{R})$ ) is a filter  $\tau_i$ - (resp.  $\tau_j$ -) base with the countable intersection property and  $R$  is pairwise Lindelöf,  $f(\mathfrak{F})$  (resp.  $f(\mathfrak{R})$ ) has a cluster point. Moreover, by the same argument as that in the proof of theorem 3.4, one can easily show that  $f(\mathfrak{F})$  (resp.  $f(\mathfrak{R})$ ) has only one cluster point and that  $f(\mathfrak{F})$  (resp.  $f(\mathfrak{R})$ ) converges to the point.  $\square$

### References

- [1]. Choe, T. H. and Hong, Y. H., Extensions of completely regular ordered spaces, Pacific J. of Mathematics, 66 (1) (1976), 37-48.
- [2]. Kelley, J. C., Bitopological spaces, Proc. Landon Math. Soc. 13 (1963), 71-89.
- [3]. Kim, Y. W., Pairwise compactness, Publicatione Mathematicae, 15 (1968), 87-90.
- [4]. Kopperman, R. Asymmetry and Duality in topology, Topology and its Applications, 66 (1995)1-39.
- [5]. Kopperman, R. and Lawson, J. D., Bitopological and topological ordered  $K$ -spaces, Topology and its Applications, 146-147 (2005) 385-396.
- [6]. Nachbin, L., Topology and Order, D. Van Nostrand Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1965.
- [7]. Raghavan, T. G., Quasi-ordered bitopological spaces. The Mathematics student XLI (1973), 276-284.
- [8]. Raghavan, T. G., Quasi-ordered bitopological spaces II. Kyungpook Math.J. 20 (1980), 145-158.
- [9]. Singal, M. K. and Singal, A. R., Bitopological ordered spaces. The Mathematics student. XXXIX (1971), 440-447.