

Common Fixed Point Theorem for Occasionally Converse Commuting Maps in Complex-Valued Metric Space

Rinku Sharma¹, Dinesh²

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi 110007, India

² Department of Mathematics, D.N. College, Hisar 125001, Haryana, India

Abstract: In this paper, we proved a common fixed point theorem for occasionally converse commuting (OCC) self-maps without continuity of maps for non-complete complex-valued metric space.

Keywords: Converse commuting maps, occasionally converse commuting maps, fixed point and complex-valued metric space

I. Introduction

Lii [2] introduced the concept of converse commuting maps and proved some common fixed point results. Liu and Hu [3] used this concept for multi-valued mappings. Later on H.K. Pathak and R.K. Verma [6] introduced occasionally converse commuting maps and proved common fixed point theorems for occasionally converse commuting maps in symmetric spaces.

A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan [1] introduced the concept of complex-valued metric space and obtain a common fixed point theorem for a pair of mappings satisfying contractive type condition.

II. Definitions And Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \preceq on \mathbb{C} as follows:

$z_1 \preceq z_2$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$, $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.

Note that

$$0 \preceq z_1 \preceq z_2 \Rightarrow |z_1| < |z_2|$$

$$z_1 \preceq z_2, z_2 \preceq z_3 \Rightarrow z_1 \preceq z_3.$$

Definition 2.1 ([1]). Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, satisfies:

(i) $0 \preceq d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in X$;

(iii) $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a complex-valued metric on X , and (X, d) is called a complex-valued metric space.

Example 2. Let $X = \mathbb{C}$ be the set of complex numbers. Suppose that the mapping $d : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by

$$d(z_1, z_2) = |x_1 - x_2| + i|y_1 - y_2|, \text{ for all } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$$

$$z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$$

Then (\mathbb{C}, d) is a complex-valued metric space.

Definition 2.3 ([2]). A point $x \in X$ is called a commuting point of $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ if $fgx = gfx$.

Definition 2.4 ([2]). Maps $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are said to be converse commuting if $fgx = gfx$ implies $fx = gx$.

Definition 2.5 ([6]). Two self-maps $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are said to be occasionally converse commuting, if for some x in X $fgx = gfx$ implies $fx = gx$.

Following example shows that, every conversely commuting mapping is (OCC) but the converse need not be true.

Example 2.6. Let $X = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) \geq 0, \text{Re}(z) = 0\}$ and the self-mapping f and g are defined by:

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{n+1}, & \text{if } z = \frac{i}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{n+2}, & \text{if } z = \frac{i}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$fg\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) = f\left(\frac{i}{n+2}\right) = \frac{i}{n+3}, \quad gf\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) = g\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right) = \frac{i}{n+3}.$$

But $f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \neq g\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)$.

III. Main Result

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complex valued-metric space and let f, g, h and k be four self-maps defined on X , such that the pairs (f, k) and (g, h) are occasionally converse commuting maps satisfying:

$$d(fx, gy) \preceq \lambda \max \{d(kx, hy), d(fx, kx), d(gy, hy), d(gy, kx), d(fx, hy)\} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x, y \in X, \lambda \in (0, 1)$, then f, g, h and k have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $\text{OCC}(f, k)$ denote the set of occasionally converse commuting points of f and k . Since the pairs (f, k) is occasionally converse commuting, by definition, there exists some $u \in \text{OCC}(f, k)$; such that $fk u = kfu$ implies $fu = ku$. Hence $d(fu, ku) = 0$.

It follows that

$$ffu = fku = kfu. \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly, the occasionally converse commuting points for the pair (g, h) implies that there exists $v \in \text{OCC}(g, h)$ such that $ghv = hgv$ implies $gv = hv$. Hence $d(gv, hv) = 0$ and so

$$ggu = ghv = hgv. \tag{3.3}$$

First, we prove that $fu = gv$. If not, then using (3.1) for $x=u, y=v$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(fu, gv) &\preceq \lambda \max \{d(ku, hv), d(fu, ku), d(gv, hv), d(gv, ku), d(fu, hv)\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max \{d(fu, gv), 0, 0, d(gv, fu), d(fu, gv)\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max \{d(fu, gv)\} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|d(fu, gv)| \leq \lambda |d(fu, gv)|, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Therefore $fu = gv$.

Now, we claim that $ffu = fu$. If not, then considering (3.1) for $x = gu, y = v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(ffu, gv) &\preceq \lambda \max \{d(kfu, hv), d(ffu, kfu), d(gv, hv), d(gv, kfu), d(ffu, hv)\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max \{d(ffu, gv), d(ffu, kfu), d(gv, hv), d(gv, kfu), d(ffu, hv)\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max \{d(ffu, gv), 0, 0, d(fu, ffu), d(ffu, fu)\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max \{d(ffu, fu)\} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|d(ffu, fu)| \leq \lambda |d(ffu, fu)|, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Therefore $ffu = fu$. Similarly $ggv = gv$. Since $fu = gv$, we have

$$fu = gv = ffu = fku = kfu = ggv = hgv = ghv. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore $fu = w$ (say), is a common fixed point of f, g, h and k . For uniqueness, let $w' \neq w$ be another common fixed point of f, g, h and k , then by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fw, gw') &\preceq \lambda \max \{d(kw, hw'), d(fw, kw), d(gw', hw'), d(gw', kw), d(fw, hw')\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max \{d(w, w'), d(w, w), d(w', w'), d(w', w), d(w, w')\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max \{d(w, w'), 0, 0, d(w, w'), d(w, w')\} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|d(w, w')| \leq \lambda |d(w, w')|, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Therefore, $w = fu$ is a unique common fixed point of f, g, h and k .

Corollary 3.2. Let (X, d) be a complex-valued metric space and let f, k be self-maps on X such that the pair (f, k) is occasionally converse commuting maps satisfying

$$d(fx, fy) \preceq \lambda \max \{d(kx, ky), d(fx, kx), d(fy, ky), d(fy, ky), d(fx, ky)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then (f, k) have a unique common fixed point in X .

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \text{Im}(z) < 1, \text{Re}(z) = 0\}$.

Let $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the metric, defined by

$$d(z_1, z_2) = |x_1 - x_2| + i|y_1 - y_2|, \text{ for all } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$$

$$z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$$

Define the maps f, g, h and $k : X \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{n+4}, & \text{if } z = \frac{i}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{n+3}, & \text{if } z = \frac{i}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{n+2}, & \text{if } z = \frac{i}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad k(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{n+1}, & \text{if } z = \frac{i}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

There exists $u \in X - \left\{ \frac{i}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ such that $fku = kfu$ implies that $fu = ku$. Hence (f, k) is (OCC). Similarly,

(g, h) is (OCC). The set of (OCC) of f and k , and g and h are given by

$$\text{OCC}(f, k) = \text{OCC}(g, h) = u \in X - \left\{ \frac{i}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

All the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied.

$fu = ku = gv = hv = 0$ is the unique common fixed point of f, g, h and k .

References

- [1] A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex-valued metric spaces, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, 32 (3) (2011), 243-253.
- [2] Z. Lii, On common fixed point theorem for converse commuting self maps on metric spaces, Acta Anal. Funct. Appl., 4(3) (2002), 226-228.
- [3] Q. Liu and X. Hu, some new common fixed point theorems for converse commuting multivalued maps in symmetric spaces with applications, Nonlinear Analysis Forum, 10(1) (2005), 97-107.
- [4] R. Chugh, Sumitra and M. Alamgir Khan, Common fixed point theorems for converse commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces, Int. Math. Forum, 37(6) (2011), 1183-1190.
- [5] H.K. Pathak and R.K. Verma, Integral type contractive condition for converse commuting mappings, Int. J. Math. Anal., 3(24) (2009), 1183-1190.
- [6] H.K. Pathak and R.K. Verma, Common fixed point theorems for occasionally converse commuting mappings in symmetric spaces, Kathmandu Univ. J. Sci., Eng. and Tech., 3(1) (2011), 56-62.
- [7] M. Abbas and B.E. Rhoades, Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying a generalized contractive condition, Mathematical Communications, 13 (2008), 295-301.