

Ideal of Prime Γ -Rings with Right Reverse Derivations

Salah Mehdi Salih and Marwa Riyadh Salih

Al-Mustansiryia University College of Education

Department of Mathematics

Abstract: In this paper some results concerning to right reverse derivation on prime Γ -rings are presented if M be a prime Γ -ring with non-zero right reverse derivation d and U be the ideal of M , then M is commutative.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16A70, 16N60, 16W25

Keywords: prime Γ -ring, derivation, reverse derivation.

I. Introduction:

The concepts of a Γ -ring was first by Nodusawa [5] in 1964. Now a day his Γ -ring is called a Γ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa this Γ -ring is generalized by W.E.Barnes [1] in a broad sense that served now- a day to call Γ -ring

Let M and Γ be additive abelian groups, if there exists a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M: (x, \alpha, y) \rightarrow x\alpha y$ which satisfies the following conditions, for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$:

$$1. (a + b) \alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$$

$$a(\alpha + \beta) b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$$

$$\alpha(b + c) = \alpha b + \alpha c$$

$$2. (\alpha\beta)c = \alpha(\beta c)$$

Then M is called a Γ -ring. [1]

We writ $[x, y]_\alpha$ for $x\alpha y - y\alpha x$. Recall that a Γ -ring M is called prime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = 0$ implies $a=0$ or $b=0$ and it is called semiprime if $a\Gamma M\Gamma a = 0$ implies $a=0$. A Γ -ring M is called commutative if $[x, y]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Bresar and Vakman [2] have introduced the notion of a reverse derivation, the reverse derivation on semi prime rings have been studied by Samman and Alyamani [6] and K.KDey, A.IC.Paul, I.S.Rakhimov [3] have introduced the concepts of reverse derivation on Γ -ring as an additive mapping d from M into M is called reverse derivation if $d(x\alpha y) = d(y)\alpha x + y\alpha d(x)$, for all $x, y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and we consider an assumption (*) by $x\alpha y\beta z = x\alpha y\beta z$ for all $x, y, z \in U$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, where U is ideal of Γ -ring.

Taking the above as assumption (*) the basic commutate identities reduce to $[x\beta y, z]_\alpha = x\beta[y, z]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha\beta y$ and $[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = y\beta[x, z]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha\beta z$ for all $x, y, z \in U$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ which are used extensively in our results

C.J.S.Reddy and K.Hemavathi [4] studied the right reverse derivation on prim ring and we extend in this paper the results mentioned above to prime Γ -rings case

II. The Main Results:

In this section we introduce the main results of this paper we begin with the following theorem:

Theorem(1): Let M be a prime Γ -ring, U a non zero ideal of M and d be a right reverse derivation of M , if U is non-commutative such that (*) for all $x, y, z \in U$ And $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then $d=0$.

Proof:

Since d is right reverse derivation and since (*) then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } d(x\alpha x\beta y) &= d(y)\beta x\alpha x + d(x)\alpha x\beta y + d(x)\alpha x\beta y \\ &= d(y)\beta x\alpha x + d(x)\beta x\alpha y + d(x)\alpha x\beta y \dots\dots(1) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} d(x\alpha x\beta y) &= d(x\alpha(x\beta y)) \\ &= d(x\beta y)\alpha x + d(x)\alpha x\beta y \\ &= d(y)\beta x\alpha x + d(x)\beta y\alpha x + d(x)\alpha x\beta y \dots\dots(2) \end{aligned}$$

Compare (1) and (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x)\beta y\alpha x &= d(x)\beta x\alpha y \\ \Rightarrow d(x)\beta y\alpha x - d(x)\beta x\alpha y &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(x)\beta(y\alpha x - x\alpha y) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(x)\beta[y, x]_\alpha &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \dots\dots(3) \end{aligned}$$

We replace y by $y\beta z$ in equation (3) and using (3) we get :

$$\begin{aligned} d(x)\beta[y\beta z, x]_{\alpha} &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \\ \Rightarrow d(x)\beta y\beta[z, x]_{\alpha} + d(x)\beta[y, x]_{\alpha}\beta z &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(x)\beta y\beta[z, x]_{\alpha} &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \dots(4) \end{aligned}$$

By writing y by $y\alpha m, m \in M$ in equation (4) we obtain

$$\Rightarrow d(x)\beta y\alpha m\beta[z, x]_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, m \in M$$

If we interchange m and y , then we get

$$\Rightarrow d(x)\beta m\alpha y\beta[z, x]_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in U, m \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$$

By primness property, either $d(x) = 0$ (or) $[z, x]_{\alpha} = 0$

Since U is non-commutative, then $d = 0$.

Theorem(2): Let M be a prime Γ -ring, U is ideal of M and d be a non-zero right reverse derivation of M . if $[d(y), d(x)]_{\alpha} = [y, x]_{\alpha}$ such that (*) for all $x, y, z \in U$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then $[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} = 0$ and hence M is commutative.

Proof:

Given that $[d(y), d(x)]_{\alpha} = [y, x]_{\alpha}$ for all $x, y \in U, \alpha \in \Gamma$

By taking $y\beta x$ instead of y in the hypothesis, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [y\beta x, x]_{\alpha} &= [d(y\beta x), d(x)]_{\alpha} \\ \Rightarrow y\beta[x, x]_{\alpha} + [y, x]_{\alpha}x &= [d(x)\beta y + d(y)\beta x, d(x)]_{\alpha} \\ \Rightarrow [y, x]_{\alpha}\beta x &= (d(x)\beta y + d(y)\beta x)\alpha d(x) - d(x)\alpha(d(x)\beta y + d(y)\beta x) \\ \Rightarrow [y, x]_{\alpha}\beta x &= d(x)\beta y\alpha d(x) + d(y)\beta x\alpha d(x) - d(x)\alpha d(x)\beta y - d(x)\alpha d(y)\beta x \end{aligned}$$

Adding and subtracting $d(y)\beta d(x)\alpha x$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow [y, x]_{\alpha}\beta x &= d(x)\beta y\alpha d(x) + d(y)\beta x\alpha d(x) - d(x)\alpha d(x)\beta y - d(x)\alpha d(y)\beta x + d(y)\beta d(x)\alpha x - d(y)\beta d(x)\alpha x \\ \Rightarrow [y, x]_{\alpha}\beta x &= d(x)\beta y\alpha d(x) + d(y)\beta x\alpha d(x) - d(x)\beta d(x)\alpha y - d(x)\alpha d(y)\beta x + d(y)\alpha d(x)\beta x - d(y)\beta d(x)\alpha x \\ \Rightarrow [y, x]_{\alpha} &= d(x)\beta y\alpha d(x) - d(x)\beta d(x)\alpha y + d(y)\beta x\alpha d(x) - d(y)\beta x\alpha d(x) + d(y)\alpha d(x)\beta x - d(x)\alpha d(y)\beta x \\ \Rightarrow [y, x]_{\alpha}\beta x &= d(x)\beta[y, d(x)]_{\alpha} + d(y)\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} + [d(y), d(x)]_{\alpha}\beta x \\ \Rightarrow d(x)\beta[y, d(x)]_{\alpha} + d(y)\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} &= 0 \dots(5) \end{aligned}$$

Replace y by $c\alpha y$ where $c \in Z(M)$ and using equation (5) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow d(x)\beta[y, x]_{\alpha} + d(c\alpha y)\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(x)\beta(c\alpha[y, d(x)]_{\alpha} + [c, d(x)]_{\alpha}\alpha y) + (d(y)\alpha c + d(c)\alpha y)\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow c\alpha d(x)\beta[y, d(x)]_{\alpha} + [d(x)\beta c, d(x)]_{\alpha}\alpha y + c\alpha d(y)\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ + d(c)\alpha y\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow -c\alpha d(y)\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} + d(x)\beta c, d(x)]_{\alpha}\alpha y + c\alpha d(y)\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} + d(c)\alpha y\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(c)\alpha y\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \neq d(c) \in Z(M)$ and U is ideal of M , then we have $[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} = 0$ for all $x \in U$

By using the similar procedure as in theorem (1) then we get either $d(x)=0$ (or) $[z, x]_{\alpha} = 0$

Since d is non-zero, then $[z, x]_{\alpha} = 0$

Hence M is commutative.

Theorem (3): Let M be a prime Γ -ring, U is ideal of M and d be a non-zero right reverse derivation of M . if $[d(y), d(x)]_{\alpha} = 0$ for all $x, y \in U, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative.

Proof:

Given that $[d(y), d(x)]_{\alpha} = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$

By taking $y\beta x$ instead of y in the hypothesis, then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow [d(y\beta x), d(x)]_{\alpha} &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \\ \Rightarrow [d(x)\beta y + d(y)\beta x, d(x)]_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow [d(x)\beta y, d(x)]_{\alpha} + [d(y)\beta x, d(x)]_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(x)\beta[y, d(x)]_{\alpha} + [d(x), d(x)]_{\alpha}\beta y + d(y)\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} + [d(y), d(x)]_{\alpha}\beta x &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(x)\beta[y, d(x)]_{\alpha} + d(y)\beta[x, d(x)]_{\alpha} &= 0 \dots\dots\dots (6) \end{aligned}$$

The proof is now completed by using equation (5) of theorem (2).

Hence M is commutative.

References

- [1] W.E.Barnes, 1966, "on the gamma rings of Nobusawa", *pacific J. math* 18, 411-422.
- [2] M.Bresar and J.Vukman, on some additive mapping in rings with involution, *equation math*, 38(1989), 178-185.
- [3] K.K.Dey, A.C.Paul, I.S.Rakhimove "Semiprim Gamma Rings With Orthogonal Reverse Derivations", *International Journal Of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol.83, No.2, 2013, 233-245.
- [4] C.J.S.Reddy and K.Hemavathi, "right reverse derivation on prime rings", *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Tec*, vol.2, Issue 3, 2014, 141-144.
- [5] N.Nobusawa, 1964, "on a generalization of the ring theory", *Osaka J. Math.* 1, 81-89.
- [6] M.Samman and N.Alyamani, "Derivation and reverse derivations in semiprim rings", *International Mathematical forum* 2, No.39, (2007), 1895-1902.