

## Semi-Invariant Submanifolds of a Nearly Hyperbolic Cosymplectic Manifold With Semi-Symmetric Semi-Metric Connection

Nikhata Zulekha<sup>1</sup>, Shadab Ahmad Khan<sup>2</sup>, Mobin Ahmad<sup>3</sup> And Toukeer Khan<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2,4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Integral University, Kursi Road Lucknow-226026, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jazan University, Jazan-2069, Saudi Arabia.

**Abstract:** We consider a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold and study semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold admitting semi-symmetric semi-metric connection. We also find the integrability conditions of some distributions on nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection and study parallel distributions on nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection.

**Key Words and Phrases:** Semi-invariant submanifolds, Nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold, Parallel distribution, Integrability condition & Semi-symmetric semi-metric connection.

**2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53D05, 53D25, 53D12.

### I. Introduction

A semi invariant submanifold is the extension of the concept of a CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold to submanifolds of almost contact manifolds. CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold as generalization of invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds was initiated by A. Bejancu in [9]. A. Bejancu[9] also initiated a new class of submanifold of a complex manifold which he called CR-submanifold and obtained some interesting results. The notion of semi invariant submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds was initiated by Bejancu-Papaghuic in [10]. The study of CR-submanifolds of Sasakian manifold was studied by C.J.Hsu in [12]. Semi-invariant submanifolds in almost contact manifold was enriched by several geometers (see, [1], [4], [5], [6], [8], [15]). On the otherhand, Ahmad M. and Ali K., studied semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic in [2]. In this paper, we study semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II, we give a brief introduction of nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold. In section III, Some properties of semi invariant submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection are investigated. We also study parallel horizontal distribution on nearly hyperbolic Kenmotsu manifold with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection.

In section IV, we discuss the integrability conditions of some distributions on nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection.

### II. Preliminaries

Let  $\bar{M}$  be an n-dimensional almost hyperbolic Contact metric manifold with the almost hyperbolic contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , where a tensor  $\phi$  of type (1,1) a vector field  $\xi$ , called structure vector field and  $\eta$ , the dual 1-form of  $\xi$  and the associated Riemannian metric  $g$  satisfying the following

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi \quad (2.1)$$

$$\eta(\xi) = -1, \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta\phi = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (2.4)$$

For any  $X, Y$  tangent to  $\bar{M}$  [16]. In this case

$$g(\phi X, Y) = -g(\phi Y, X). \quad (2.5)$$

An almost hyperbolic contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  on  $\bar{M}$  is called nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold [10] if and only if

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y + (\nabla_Y \phi)X = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $X, Y$  tangent to  $\bar{M}$ , where  $\nabla$  is Riemannian connection  $\bar{M}$ .

Now, we define a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \eta(X)Y + g(X, Y)\xi \quad (2.8)$$

Such that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = 2\eta(X)g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)g(X, Z) - \eta(Z)g(X, Y) - \eta(Z)g(X, Y)$$

Replacing  $Y$  by  $\phi Y$ , in equation (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y &= \nabla_X \phi Y - \eta(X)\phi Y + g(X, \phi Y)\xi \\ (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y) &= (\nabla_X \phi)Y + \phi(\nabla_X Y) - \eta(X)\phi Y + g(X, \phi Y)\xi \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging  $X$  &  $Y$ , we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y X) = (\nabla_Y \phi)X + \phi(\nabla_Y X) - \eta(Y)\phi X + g(Y, \phi X)\xi$$

Adding above two equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y) + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y X) &= (\nabla_X \phi)Y + (\nabla_Y \phi)X + \phi(\nabla_X Y) + \phi(\nabla_Y X) - \\ &\quad \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X + g(Y, \phi X)\xi + g(X, \phi Y)\xi \\ (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y) + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y X - \nabla_Y X) &= (\nabla_X \phi)Y + (\nabla_Y \phi)X - \\ &\quad \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X + g(Y, \phi X)\xi + g(X, \phi Y)\xi \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (2.6) & (2.8) in above, we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

Now replacing  $Y$  by  $\xi$  in (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_X \xi &= \nabla_X \xi - \eta(X)\xi + g(X, \xi)\xi \\ \bar{\nabla}_X \xi &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

An almost hyperbolic contact metric manifold with almost hyperbolic contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called nearly hyperbolic Cosymplectic manifold with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection if it is satisfied (2.9) & (2.10).

### III. Semi-invariant Sub manifold

Let  $M$  be submanifold immersed in  $\bar{M}$ , we assume that the vector  $\xi$  is tangent to  $M$ , denoted by  $\{\xi\}$  the 1-dimensional distribution spanned by  $\xi$  on  $M$ , then  $M$  is called a semi-invariant sub manifold [8] of  $\bar{M}$  if there exist two differentiable distribution  $D$  &  $D^\perp$  on  $M$  satisfying

- (i)  $TM = D \oplus D^\perp \oplus \xi$ , where  $D, D^\perp$  &  $\xi$  are mutually orthogonal to each other.
- (ii) The distribution  $D$  is invariant under  $\phi$  that is  $\phi D_X = D_X$  for each  $X \in M$ ,
- (iii) The distribution  $D^\perp$  is anti-invariant under  $\phi$ , that is  $\phi D_X^\perp \subset T^\perp M$  for each  $X \in M$ ,

Where  $TM$  &  $T^\perp M$  be the Lie algebra of vector fields tangential & normal to  $M$  respectively.

Let Riemannian metric  $g$  and  $\nabla$  be induced Levi-Civita connection on  $M$  then the Gauss formula is given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \tag{3.1}$$

For Weingarten formula putting  $Y = N$  in (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_X N &= \nabla_X N - \eta(X)N + g(X, N)\xi \\ \bar{\nabla}_X N &= -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

For any  $X, Y \in TM$  and  $N \in T^\perp M$ , where  $\nabla^\perp$  is a connection on the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$ ,  $h$  is the second fundamental form &  $A_N$  is the Weingarten map associated with  $N$  as

$$g(A_N X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), N) \tag{3.3}$$

Any vector  $X$  tangent to  $M$  is given as

$$X = PX + QX + \eta(X)\xi \tag{3.4}$$

Where  $PX \in D$  and  $QX \in D^\perp$ .

Similarly, for  $N$  normal to  $M$ , we have

$$\phi N = BN + CN \tag{3.5}$$

Where  $BN$  (resp.  $CN$ ) is tangential component (resp. normal component) of  $\phi N$ .

Using the semi-symmetric non-metric connection the Nijenhuis tensor is expressed as

$$N(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_{\phi X} \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_{\phi Y} \phi)X - \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X \tag{3.6}$$

Now from (2.9) replacing  $X$  by  $\phi X$ , we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\phi X} \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)\phi X = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

From (2.1) again,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^2 X &= X + \eta(X)\xi \\ \phi(\phi X) &= X + \eta(X)\xi \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating conveniently along the vector, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_Y \{\phi(\phi X)\} &= \bar{\nabla}_Y \{X + \eta(X)\xi\} \\ (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)\phi X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X) &= \bar{\nabla}_Y X + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)(X)\xi + \eta(\bar{\nabla}_Y X)\xi + \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_Y \xi \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (2.10) in above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)\phi X + \phi\{(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y X)\} &= \bar{\nabla}_Y X + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)(X)\xi + \eta(\bar{\nabla}_Y X)\xi \\ (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)\phi X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi^2(\bar{\nabla}_Y X) &= \bar{\nabla}_Y X + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)(X)\xi + \eta(\bar{\nabla}_Y X)\xi \\ (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)\phi X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \bar{\nabla}_Y X + \eta(\bar{\nabla}_Y X)\xi &= \bar{\nabla}_Y X + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)(X)\xi + \eta(\bar{\nabla}_Y X)\xi \\ (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)\phi X &= (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)(X)\xi - \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.7) & (3.8), we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\phi X} \phi)Y = -(\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)(X)\xi + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X \tag{3.9}$$

Interchanging  $X$  &  $Y$ , we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\phi Y} \phi)X = -(\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)(Y)\xi + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y \tag{3.10}$$

Using equation (3.9), (3.10) in (3.6), we have

$$N(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)(Y)\xi - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)(X)\xi + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X - \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X$$

$$N(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)(Y)\xi - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \eta)(X)\xi - 2\phi(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + 2\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X$$

$$N(X, Y) = 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi + 4\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X - 2\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X - 2\phi(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y$$

$$N(X, Y) = 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi + 4\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X - 2\phi\{(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y\}$$

Using equation (2.9), we have

$$N(X, Y) = 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi + 4\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X \tag{3.11}$$

As we know,

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X - \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y X)$$

Using Gauss formula, we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) - \phi(\nabla_Y X + h(Y, X))$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) - \phi(\nabla_Y X) - \phi h(Y, X)$$

$$\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \phi(\nabla_Y \phi X) + \phi h(Y, \phi X) - \phi^2(\nabla_Y X) - \phi^2 h(Y, X)$$

$$\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \phi(\nabla_Y \phi X) + \phi h(Y, \phi X) - \nabla_Y X - \eta(\nabla_Y X)\xi - h(Y, X) - \eta(h(Y, X))\xi$$

$$\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \phi(\nabla_Y \phi X) + \phi h(Y, \phi X) - \nabla_Y X - \eta(\nabla_Y X)\xi - h(Y, X) \tag{3.12}$$

Using equation (3.12) in (3.11), we have

$$N(X, Y) = 4\phi(\nabla_Y \phi X) + 4\phi h(Y, \phi X) - 4(\nabla_Y X) - 4\eta(\nabla_Y X)\xi - 4h(Y, X) + 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi \tag{3.13}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $M$  be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, then

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y].$$

for each  $X, Y \in D$ .

**Proof.** By Gauss formulas (3.1), we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)$$

Replacing by  $\phi Y$ , we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y = \nabla_X \phi Y + h(X, \phi Y)$$

Similarly,

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X)$$

From above two equations, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) \tag{3.14}$$

Also, by covariant differentiation, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y)$$

Similarly,

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y X)$$

From above two equations, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi[X, Y] \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.14) and (3.15), we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi[X, Y] = \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X)$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y] \tag{3.16}$$

Adding (2.9) and (3.16), we obtain

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y].$$

for each  $X, Y \in D$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $M$  be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \nabla_Y \phi X - \nabla_X \phi Y + h(Y, \phi X) - h(X, \phi Y) + \phi[X, Y]$$

for each  $X, Y \in D$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $M$  be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = A_{\phi X} Y - A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X - \phi[X, Y]$$

for all  $X, Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Proof.** Using Weingarten formula (3.2), we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y = -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y$$

Interchanging  $X$  &  $Y$ , we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = -A_{\phi X} Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X$$

From above two equations, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = A_{\phi X} Y - A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi X \tag{3.17}$$

Comparing equation (3.15) & (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset)X + \emptyset[X, Y] &= A_{\emptyset X}Y - A_{\emptyset Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset X \\ (\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset)X &= A_{\emptyset X}Y - A_{\emptyset Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset X - \emptyset[X, Y] \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Adding (2.9) & (3.18), we have

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y = A_{\emptyset X}Y - A_{\emptyset Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset X - \emptyset[X, Y]$$

for all  $X, Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $M$  be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, then

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset)X = A_{\emptyset Y}X - A_{\emptyset X}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset X - \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y + \emptyset[X, Y]$$

for all  $X, Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $M$  be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, then

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y = -A_{\emptyset Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y - \nabla_Y \emptyset X - h(Y, \emptyset X) - \emptyset[X, Y]$$

for all  $X \in D$  and  $Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Proof.** By Gauss formulas (3.1), we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset X = \nabla_Y \emptyset X + h(Y, \emptyset X)$$

Also, by Weingarten formula (3.2), we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset Y = -A_{\emptyset Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y$$

From above two equations, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset X = -A_{\emptyset Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y - \nabla_Y \emptyset X - h(Y, \emptyset X) \tag{3.19}$$

Comparing equation (3.15) and (3.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset)X + \emptyset[X, Y] &= -A_{\emptyset Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y - \nabla_Y \emptyset X - h(Y, \emptyset X) \\ (\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset)X &= -A_{\emptyset Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y - \nabla_Y \emptyset X - h(Y, \emptyset X) - \emptyset[X, Y] \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Adding equation (2.9) & (3.20), we get

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y = -A_{\emptyset Y}X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y - \nabla_Y \emptyset X - h(Y, \emptyset X) - \emptyset[X, Y]$$

for all  $X \in D$  and  $Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $M$  be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, then

$$2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset)X = A_{\emptyset Y}X - \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y + \nabla_Y \emptyset X + h(Y, \emptyset X) + \emptyset[X, Y]$$

for all  $X \in D$  and  $Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $M$  be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, then

$$P(\nabla_X \emptyset PY) + P(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX) - PA_{\emptyset QY}X - PA_{\emptyset QX}Y = \emptyset P(\nabla_X Y) + \emptyset P(\nabla_Y X) \tag{3.21}$$

$$Q(\nabla_X \emptyset PY) + Q(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX) - QA_{\emptyset QY}X - QA_{\emptyset QX}Y = 2Bh(X, Y) \tag{3.22}$$

$$h(X, \emptyset PY) + h(Y, \emptyset PX) + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset QX = \emptyset Q(\nabla_X Y) + \emptyset Q(\nabla_Y X) + 2Ch(X, Y) \tag{3.23}$$

$$\eta(\nabla_X \emptyset PY) + \eta(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX) - \eta(A_{\emptyset QY}X) - \eta(A_{\emptyset QX}Y) = 0 \tag{3.24}$$

for all  $X, Y \in TM$ .

**Proof.** From equation (3.4), we have

$$\emptyset Y = \emptyset PY + \emptyset QY + \eta(Y)\emptyset \xi$$

Using equation (2.3), we have

$$\emptyset Y = \emptyset PY + \emptyset QY$$

Differentiating covariantly with respect to vector, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset Y = \bar{\nabla}_X (\emptyset PY + \emptyset QY)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset Y = \bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset PY + \bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset QY$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y + \emptyset(\bar{\nabla}_X Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset PY + \bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset QY$$

Using equations (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y + \emptyset(\nabla_X Y) + \emptyset h(X, Y) = \nabla_X \emptyset PY + h(X, \emptyset PY) - A_{\emptyset QY}X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset QY \tag{3.25}$$

Interchanging  $X$  &  $Y$ , we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset)X + \emptyset(\nabla_Y X) + \emptyset h(Y, X) = \nabla_Y \emptyset PX + h(Y, \emptyset PX) - A_{\emptyset QX}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset QX \tag{3.26}$$

Adding equations (3.25) & (3.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset)X + \emptyset(\nabla_X Y) + \emptyset(\nabla_Y X) + 2\emptyset h(X, Y) &= \nabla_X \emptyset PY + \nabla_Y \emptyset PX + h(X, \emptyset PY) + \\ &+ h(Y, \emptyset PX) - A_{\emptyset QY}X - A_{\emptyset QX}Y + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset QX \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

By Virtue of (2.9) & (3.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset(\nabla_X Y) + \emptyset(\nabla_Y X) + 2\emptyset h(X, Y) &= \nabla_X \emptyset PY + \nabla_Y \emptyset PX + h(X, \emptyset PY) + h(Y, \emptyset PX) \\ &- A_{\emptyset QY}X - A_{\emptyset QX}Y + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset QX \end{aligned}$$

Using equations (3.4) & (3.5), we have

$$\emptyset P(\nabla_X Y) + \emptyset Q(\nabla_X Y) + \eta(\nabla_X Y)\emptyset \xi + \emptyset P(\nabla_Y X) + \emptyset Q(\nabla_Y X) + \eta(\nabla_Y X)\emptyset \xi$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 +2Bh(X, Y) + 2Ch(X, Y) &= P(\nabla_X \emptyset PY) + Q(\nabla_X \emptyset PY) + \eta(\nabla_X \emptyset PY)\xi + P(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX) \\
 +Q(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX) + \eta(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX)\xi + h(X, \emptyset PY) + h(Y, \emptyset PX) - PA_{\emptyset QY} X - QA_{\emptyset QY} X \\
 -\eta(A_{\emptyset QY} X)\xi - PA_{\emptyset QX} Y - QA_{\emptyset QX} Y - \eta(A_{\emptyset QX} Y)\xi + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset QX
 \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\emptyset P(\nabla_X Y) + \emptyset Q(\nabla_X Y) + \emptyset P(\nabla_Y X) \\
 &+ \emptyset Q(\nabla_Y X) + 2Bh(X, Y) + 2Ch(X, Y) = P(\nabla_X \emptyset PY) + Q(\nabla_X \emptyset PY) + \eta(\nabla_X \emptyset PY)\xi \\
 +P(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX) + Q(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX) + \eta(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX)\xi + h(X, \emptyset PY) + h(Y, \emptyset PX) - PA_{\emptyset QY} X \\
 -QA_{\emptyset QY} X - \eta(A_{\emptyset QY} X)\xi - PA_{\emptyset QX} Y - QA_{\emptyset QX} Y - \eta(A_{\emptyset QX} Y)\xi + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset QX
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing horizontal, vertical and normal components we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\nabla_X \emptyset PY) + P(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX) - PA_{\emptyset QY} X - PA_{\emptyset QX} Y &= \emptyset P(\nabla_X Y) + \emptyset P(\nabla_Y X) \\
 Q(\nabla_X \emptyset PY) + Q(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX) - QA_{\emptyset QY} X - QA_{\emptyset QX} Y &= 2Bh(X, Y) \\
 h(X, \emptyset PY) + h(Y, \emptyset PX) + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset QY + \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset QX &= \emptyset Q(\nabla_X Y) + \emptyset Q(\nabla_Y X) + 2Ch(X, Y) \\
 \eta(\nabla_X \emptyset PY) + \eta(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX) - \eta(A_{\emptyset QY} X) - \eta(A_{\emptyset QX} Y) &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $X, Y \in TM$ .

**Definition 3.8.** The horizontal distribution  $D$  is said to be parallel [10] on  $M$  if  $\nabla_X Y \in D$ , for all  $X, Y \in D$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $M$  be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection. If horizontal distribution  $D$  is parallel, then

$$h(X, \emptyset Y) = h(Y, \emptyset X)$$

for all  $X, Y \in D$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X, Y \in D$ , as  $D$  is parallel distribution, then

$$\nabla_X \emptyset Y \in D \ \& \ \nabla_Y \emptyset X \in D.$$

Then, from (3.22) and (3.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q(\nabla_X \emptyset PY) + Q(\nabla_Y \emptyset PX) - QA_{\emptyset QY} X - QA_{\emptyset QX} Y + h(X, \emptyset PY) + h(Y, \emptyset PX) + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset QY \\
 + \nabla_Y^\perp \emptyset QX = \emptyset Q(\nabla_X Y) + \emptyset Q(\nabla_Y X) + 2Bh(X, Y) + 2Ch(X, Y)
 \end{aligned}$$

As  $Q$  being a projection operator on  $D^\perp$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(X, \emptyset Y) + h(Y, \emptyset X) &= 2Bh(X, Y) + 2Ch(X, Y) \\
 h(X, \emptyset Y) + h(Y, \emptyset X) &= 2\emptyset h(X, Y)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $\emptyset X$  in (3.28), we have

$$h(\emptyset X, \emptyset Y) + h(Y, \emptyset^2 X) = 2\emptyset h(\emptyset X, Y)$$

Using equation (2.1) in above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(\emptyset X, \emptyset Y) + h(Y, X) + \eta(X)h(Y, \xi) &= 2\emptyset h(\emptyset X, Y) \\
 h(\emptyset X, \emptyset Y) + h(Y, X) &= 2\emptyset h(\emptyset X, Y)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Replacing  $Y$  by  $\emptyset Y$  & using (2.1) in (3.28), we have

$$h(X, Y) + h(\emptyset Y, \emptyset X) = 2\emptyset h(X, \emptyset Y) \tag{3.30}$$

By Virtue of (3.29) and (3.30), we have

$$h(X, \emptyset Y) = h(Y, \emptyset X)$$

for all  $X, Y \in D$ .

**Definition 3.10.** A semi-invariant submanifold is said to be mixed totally geodesic [8] if  $h(X, Y) = 0$ , for all  $X \in D$  and  $Y \in D^\perp$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $M$  be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection. Then  $M$  is a mixed totally geodesic if and only if  $A_N X \in D$  for all  $X \in D$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A_N X \in D$  for all  $X \in D$ .

Now,  $g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y) = 0$ , for  $Y \in D^\perp$ .

Which is equivalent to  $h(X, Y) = 0$ .

Hence  $M$  is totally mixed geodesic.

Conversely, Let  $M$  is totally mixed geodesic.

That is  $h(X, Y) = 0$  for  $X \in D$  and  $Y \in D^\perp$ .

Now,  $g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y)$ .

This implies that  $g(A_N X, Y) = 0$

Consequently, we have

$$A_N X \in D, \text{ for all } Y \in D^\perp$$

#### IV. Integrability Condition of Distribution

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $M$  be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then the distribution  $D\oplus(\xi)$  is integrable if

$$h(X, \emptyset Z) = h(\emptyset X, Z) \tag{4.1}$$

for each  $X, Y, Z \in (D\oplus(\xi))$ .

**Proof.** The torsion tensor  $S(X, Y)$  of an almost hyperbolic contact manifold is given by

$$S(X, Y) = N(X, Y) + 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi$$

Where  $N(X, Y)$  is Neijenhuis tensor

If  $(D\oplus(\xi))$  is integrable, then  $N(X, Y) = 0$ , for any  $X, Y \in (D\oplus(\xi))$

Hence from (3.13), we have

$$4\emptyset(\nabla_Y \emptyset X) + 4\emptyset h(Y, \emptyset X) - 4(\nabla_Y X) - 4\eta(\nabla_Y X)\xi - 4h(Y, X) + 2g(\emptyset X, Y)\xi = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

Comparing normal part both side of (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\emptyset Q(\nabla_Y \emptyset X) - 4h(Y, X) + 4Ch(Y, \emptyset X) &= 0 \\ \emptyset Q(\nabla_Y \emptyset X) - h(Y, X) + Ch(Y, \emptyset X) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

For  $X, Y \in (D\oplus(\xi))$

Replacing  $Y$  by  $\emptyset Z$ , where  $Z \in D$  in (4.3), we have

$$\emptyset Q(\nabla_{\emptyset Z} \emptyset X) - h(\emptyset Z, X) + Ch(\emptyset Z, \emptyset X) = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

Interchanging  $X$  and  $Z$ , we have

$$\emptyset Q(\nabla_{\emptyset X} \emptyset Z) - h(\emptyset X, Z) + Ch(\emptyset X, \emptyset Z) = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

Subtracting (4.4) from (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset Q(\nabla_{\emptyset X} \emptyset Z - \nabla_{\emptyset Z} \emptyset X) - h(\emptyset X, Z) + h(\emptyset Z, X) &= 0 \\ \emptyset Q[\emptyset X, \emptyset Z] - h(\emptyset X, Z) + h(\emptyset Z, X) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Since  $(D\oplus(\xi))$  is integrable, so that  $[\emptyset X, \emptyset Z] \in (D\oplus(\xi))$ , for  $X, Z \in D$

Consequently, (4.6) gives

$$h(\emptyset X, Z) = h(\emptyset Z, X)$$

for each  $X, Y, Z \in (D\oplus(\xi))$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $M$  be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, then

$$A_{\emptyset Y} Z - A_{\emptyset Z} Y = \frac{1}{3} \emptyset P[Y, Z]$$

for each  $Y, Z \in D^\perp$ .

**Proof.** Let  $Y, Z \in D^\perp$  and  $X \in TM$ , from (3.3), we have

$$g(A_N X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), N)$$

As  $N \in T^\perp M$  &  $\in D^\perp \Rightarrow \emptyset Z \in T^\perp M$ , then from above

$$2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) = g(h(Y, X), \emptyset Z) + g(h(X, Y), \emptyset Z) \tag{4.7}$$

Using (3.1) in (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_Y X - \nabla_Y X, \emptyset Z) + g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y, \emptyset Z) \\ 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_Y X, \emptyset Z) + g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \emptyset Z) - g(\nabla_Y X, \emptyset Z) - g(\nabla_X Y, \emptyset Z) \end{aligned}$$

As  $\nabla_X Y$  &  $\nabla_Y X \in TM$ ,  $\emptyset Z \in T^\perp M$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_Y X, \emptyset Z) + g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \emptyset Z) \\ 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= -g(\emptyset \bar{\nabla}_Y X, Z) - g(\emptyset \bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) \\ 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= -g(\emptyset(\bar{\nabla}_Y X) + \emptyset(\bar{\nabla}_X Y), Z) \\ 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= -g(\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset X - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset)X + \bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset Y - (\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y, Z) \\ 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= -g(\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset X + \bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset Y, Z) + g((\bar{\nabla}_Y \emptyset)X + (\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset)Y, Z) \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1) and (2.9) in above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= -g(\nabla_Y \emptyset X + h(Y, \emptyset X) + \nabla_X \emptyset Y + h(X, \emptyset Y), Z) \\ 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= -g(\nabla_Y \emptyset X, Z) - g(\nabla_X \emptyset Y, Z) \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

From (3.2), we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N$$

Replacing  $N$  by  $\emptyset Y$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \emptyset Y = -A_{\emptyset Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y$$

As  $\nabla$  is a Levi-Civita connection, using above, then from (4.8), we have

$$2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) = -g(\nabla_Y \emptyset X, Z) - g(-A_{\emptyset Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y, Z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= -g(\nabla_Y \emptyset X, Z) + g(A_{\emptyset Y} X, Z) - g(\nabla_X^\perp \emptyset Y, Z) \\ 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= -g(\nabla_Y \emptyset X, Z) + g(A_{\emptyset Y} X, Z) \\ 2g(A_{\emptyset Z} Y, X) &= -g(\emptyset \nabla_Y Z, X) + g(A_{\emptyset Y} Z, X) \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Transvecting X from both sides from (4.9), we obtain

$$2A_{\phi Z}Y = -\phi \nabla_Y Z + A_{\phi Y}Z \tag{4.10}$$

Interchanging Y & Z, we have

$$2A_{\phi Y}Z = -\phi \nabla_Z Y + A_{\phi Z}Y \tag{4.11}$$

Subtracting (4.10) from (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y) &= \phi(\nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Z Y) + (A_{\phi Z}Y - A_{\phi Y}Z) \\ 3(A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y) &= \phi[Y, Z] \\ (A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y) &= \frac{1}{3}\phi[Y, Z] \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the tangential part both side in above equation, we have

$$(A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y) = \frac{1}{3}\phi P[Y, Z]$$

Where  $[Y, Z]$  is Lie Bracket.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let M be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $\bar{M}$  with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, then the distribution is integrable if and only if

$$A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y = 0 \tag{4.12}$$

for all  $Y, Z \in D^\perp$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that the distribution  $D^\perp$  is integrable, that is  $[Y, Z] \in D^\perp$

For any  $Y, Z \in D^\perp$ , therefore  $P[Y, Z] = 0$ .

Consequently, from (4.11) we have

$$A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y = 0$$

Conversely, let (4.12) holds. Then by virtue of (4.11), we have

$$\phi P[Y, Z] = 0$$

For all  $Y, Z \in D^\perp$ . Since  $\text{rank } \phi = 2n$

Therefore, either  $P[Y, Z] = 0$  or  $P[Y, Z] = k\xi$ .

But  $P[Y, Z] = k\xi$  is not possible as P being a projection operator on D.

So,  $P[Y, Z] = 0$ , this implies that  $[Y, Z] \in D^\perp$ , for all  $Y, Z \in D^\perp$ .

Hence  $D^\perp$  is integrable.

### References:

- [1]. Ahmad. M., Semi-invariant submanifolds of nearly Kenmotsu manifold with semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, *Mathematicki Vesnik* 62 (2010),189-198.
- [2]. Ahmad M. and Ali K, Semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold, *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Mathematics and Decision Sciences Journal of Mathematics*, Volume 13, Issue 4,Version 1.0 (2013), PP 73-81
- [3]. Ahmad, M and Ali. K., CR- submanifolds of nearly hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold, *IOSR journal of Mathematics (iosr- jm)*, vol-6 issue 3, 2013 page 74-77.
- [4]. Ahmad, M. and Jun, J.B., On semi-invariant submanifold of nearly Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection, *Journal of the Chungcheong Math. Soc.* Vol. 23, no. 2, June (2010), 257-266.
- [5]. Ahmad, M., Jun, J. B. and Siddiqi, M. D., Some properties of semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly trans-Sasakian manifold admitting a quarter symmetric non-metric connection, *JCCMS*, vol. 25, No. 1 (2012), 73-90.
- [6]. Ahmad, M. and Siddiqi, M.D., On nearly Sasakian manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, *Int. J. Math. Analysis*, Vol. 4 (2010), 35, 1725-1732.
- [7]. Ahmad, M. and Siddiqi, M.D., Semi-invariant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifold immersed in a generalized almost r-contact structure admitting quarter-symmetric non-metric connection, *Journ. Math. Comput. Sci.* 2 (2012), No. 4, 982-998.
- [8]. Ahmad, M. Rahman, S. and Siddiqui, M.D., Semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Sasakian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection, *Bull. Allahabad Math. Soc.*, vol. 25 (1), 2010, 23-33.
- [9]. Bejancu, A., CR- submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold. I, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 69(1978), 135-142
- [10]. Bejancu, A. and Papaghuic N., Semi-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, *An. St. Univ. Al. I. Cuza, Iasi* 27 (1981), 163-170.
- [11]. Blair, D.E., *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [12]. C.J.Hsu, CR- submanifolds of a Sasakian manifolds, *I.Math.Research Centre Reports, Symposium Summer*, (1983), 117-140
- [13]. Das, Lovejoy S., Ahmad, M. and Haseeb, A., Semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Sasakian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection, *Journal of Applied Analysis*, vol. 17 (2011), no. 1, 119-130.
- [14]. Joshi, N.K. and Dube, K.K., Semi-invariant submanifolds of an almost r-contact hyperbolic metric manifold, *Demonstratio Math.* 36 (2001), 135.143.
- [15]. Matsumoto, K., On contact CR-submanifolds of Sasakian manifold, *Intern. J. Math. Sci.*, 6 (1983), 313-326.
- [16]. Shahid, M.H., On semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Sasakian manifold, *Indian J. Pure and Applied Math.* 95 (10) (1993), 571-580.
- [17]. Upadhyay, M. D. and Dube, K.K., Almost contact hyperbolic  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  structure, *Acta. Math. Acad. Scient. Hung. Tomus* 28 (1976), 1-4.
- [18]. Yano, K. and Kon, M., Contact -CR submanifolds, *Kodai Math. J.* 5 (1982), 238-252.