

$$-\operatorname{div}\left[\left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^p}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2p}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{(p-2)}\nabla u\right]+\lambda(|u|^{q_1-2}u+|u|^{q_2-2}u+\dots+|u|^{q_m-2}u)$$

$$EQ + g(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) = f(x), \text{ a.e. in } \Omega,$$

$$-\langle v, \left(1+\frac{|\nabla u|^p}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^{2p}}}\right)|\nabla u|^{(p-2)}\nabla u \rangle \in \beta_x(u(x)), \text{ a.e. in } \Gamma$$

This equation generalized the Capillarity problem considered in [10]. We replaced the nonlinear term $g(x, u(x))$ by the term $g(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))$ which is rather general. In this paper, we will use some perturbation results of the ranges for maximal monotone operators by Pascali and Shurlan [10] to prove that (**Error! Reference source not found.**) has a unique solution in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and later show that this unique solution is the zero of a suitably defined maximal monotone operator.

II. Preliminaries

We now list some basic knowledge we need. Let X be a real Banach space with a strictly convex dual space X^* . Using " \hookrightarrow " and " $w\text{-lim}$ " to denote strong and weak convergence respectively. For any subset G of X , let $\operatorname{int}G$ denote its interior and \bar{G} its closure. Let " $X \hookrightarrow Y$ " denote that space X is embedded compactly in space Y and " $X \hookrightarrow Y$ " denote that space X is embedded continuously in space Y . A mapping, $T: D(T) \subset X \rightarrow X^*$ is said to be hemicontinuous on X if $w\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T(x+ty) = Tx$, for any $x, y \in X$. Let J denote the duality mapping from X into 2^{X^*} , defined by

$$f(x) = f \in X^* : (x, f) = \|x\| \cdot \|f\|, \|f\| = \|x\|, x \in X$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the generalized duality pairing between X and X^* . Let $A: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ be a given multi-valued mapping, A is boundedly-inversely compact if for any pair of bounded subsets G and G' of X , the subset $GA^{-1}(G')$ is relatively compact in X .

The mapping $A: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is said to be accretive if $((v_1 - v_2), J(u_1 - u_2)) \geq 0$, for any $u_i \in D(A)$ and $v_i \in Au_i, i=1,2$.

The accretive mapping A is said to be m -accretive if $R(I + \mu A) = X$, for some $\mu > 0$.

Let $B: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ be a given multi-valued mapping, the graph of B , $G(B)$ is defined by $G(B) = \{[u, w] \mid u \in D(B), w \in Bu\}$. $B: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is said to be monotone [11] if $G(B)$ is a monotone subset of $X \times X^*$ in the sense that

$$(u_1 - u_2, w_1 - w_2) \geq 0, \text{ for any } [u_i, w_i] \in G(B); i=1,2.$$

The monotone operator B is said to be maximal monotone if $G(B)$ is maximal among all monotone subsets of $X \times X^*$ in the sense of inclusion the mapping B is said to be strictly monotone if the equality in (**Error! Reference source not found.**) implies that $u_1 = u_2$. The mapping B is said to be coercive if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} ((x_n, x_n^*) / \|x_n\|) = \infty \text{ for all } [x_n, x_n^*] \in G(B) \text{ such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_n\| = +\infty.$$

Definition 1 The duality mapping $J: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is said to be satisfying condition (I) if there exists a function $\eta: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\|Ju - Jv\| \leq \eta(u - v), \text{ for all } u, v \in X.$$

Definition 2 Let $A: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ be an accretive mapping and $J: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ be a duality mapping. We say that A satisfies condition (*) if, for any $f \in R(A)$ and $a \in D(A)$ and $a \in D(A)$, there exists a constant $C(a, f)$ such that

$$(v - f, J(u - a)) \geq C(a, f), \text{ for any } u \in D(A), v \in Au.$$

Lemma 3 (Li and Guo) Let Ω be a bounded conical domain in \mathbb{R}^N . Then we have the following results;

1. If $mp > N$ then $W^{m,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C_B(\Omega)$; if $mp < N$ and $q = Np/(N-mp)$, then $W^{m,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$; if $mp = N$, and $p > 1$, then for $1 \leq q < +\infty$, $W^{m,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$
2. If $mp > N$ then $W^{m,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C_B(\Omega)$; if $0 < mp \leq N$ and $q_0 = Np/(N-mp)$, then $W^{m,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega), 1 \leq q < q_0$;

Lemma 4 (Pascali and Sburlan[11]) If $B: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is an everywhere defined, monotone and hemicontinuous operator, then B is maximal monotone.

Lemma 5 (Pascali and Sburlan[11]). If $B: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is maximal monotone and coercive, then $R(B) = X^*$

Lemma 6 (Pascali and Sburlan[11]). If $\Phi: X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ is a proper, convex and lower semicontinuous function, then $\partial\Phi$ is maximal monotone from X to X^* .

Lemma 7 [Error! Reference source not found.]. If B_1 and B_2 are two maximal monotone operators in X such that $(\text{int}D(B_1)) \cap D(B_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $B_1 + B_2$ is maximal monotone.

Lemma 8 (Calvert and Gupta[1]). Let $X = L^p(\Omega)$ and Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N . For $2 \leq p < +\infty$, the duality mapping $J_p: L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p'}(\Omega)$ defined by $J_p u = |u|^{p-1} \text{sgn } u \|u\|_p^{2-p}$, for $u \in L^p(\Omega)$, satisfies condition (2); for $2N/(N+1) < p \leq 2$ and $N \geq 1$, the duality mapping $J_p: L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p'}(\Omega)$ defined by $J_p u = |u|^{p-1} \text{sgn } u$, for $u \in L^p(\Omega)$, satisfies condition (2), where $(1/p) + (1/p') = 1$

III. Main Result

3.1 Notations and Assumptions of (Error! Reference source not found.)

We assume, in this paper, that $2N/(N+1) < p < +\infty, 1 \leq q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m < +\infty$ if $p \geq N$, and $1 \leq q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m \leq Np/(N-p)$ if $p < N$, where $N \geq 1$. We use $\|\cdot\|_p, \|\cdot\|_{q_1}, \|\cdot\|_{q_2}, \dots, \|\cdot\|_{q_m}$, and $\|\cdot\|_{1,p,\Omega}$ to denote the norms in $L^p(\Omega), L^{q_1}(\Omega), L^{q_2}(\Omega), \dots, L^{q_m}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ respectively. Let $(1/p) + (1/p') = 1, (1/q_1) + (1/q_1') = 1, (1/q_2) + (1/q_2') = 1, \dots, (1/q_m) + (1/q_m') = 1$

In (Error! Reference source not found.), Ω is a bounded conical domain of a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N with its boundary $\Gamma \in C^1$, (c.f.[4]).

Let $|\cdot|$ denote the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the Euclidean inner-product and ν the exterior normal derivative of Γ . λ is a nonnegative constant.

Lemma 1 Define the mapping $B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m}: W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W^{1,p}(\Omega))^*$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle v, B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m} u \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{1 + |\nabla u|^{2p}} \right) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \nabla v \right) dx \\
 &+ \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{q_1-2} u(x) v(x) dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{q_2-2} u(x) v(x) dx \\
 &+ \dots + \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{q_m-2} u(x) v(x) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $u, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m} is everywhere defined, strictly monotone, hemicontinuous and coercive.

The proof of the above lemma will be done in four steps:

[Sorry. Ignored \begin{proof} . . . \end{proof}]

Definition 2 Define a mapping $A_p: L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow 2^{L^p(\Omega)}$ as follows:

$D(A_p) = \{u \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \text{there exist an } f \in B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m}^{u+\partial\Phi_p}(u)\}$

EQ for $u \in D(A_p)$, let $A_p u = \{f \in L^p(\Omega), \text{ such that } f \in B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m}^{u+\partial\Phi_p}(u)\}$

Definition 3 : The mapping

$A_p : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow 2^{L^p(\Omega)}$ is m -accretive.

[Sorry. Ignored \begin{proof} . . . \end{proof}]

Then χ_n is monotone, Lipschitz with $\chi_n(0)=0$ and χ'_n is continuous except at finitely many points on \mathbb{R} . so

$$(\chi_n(u_1 - u_2), \partial\Phi_p(u_1) - \partial\Phi_p(u_2)) \geq 0.$$

Then, for $u_i \in D(A_p)$ and $v_i \in A_p u_i, i=1,2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_1 - v_2, J_p(u_1 - u_2)) = \\ & (|u_1 - u_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(u_1 - u_2), B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m} u_1 - B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m} u_2) \\ & \quad + (|u_1 - u_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(u_1 - u_2), \partial\Phi_p(u_1) - \partial\Phi_p(u_2)) \\ & = \\ & (|u_1 - u_2|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(u_1 - u_2), B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m} u_1 - B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m} u_2) \\ & \quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\chi_n(u_1 - u_2), \partial\Phi_p(u_1) - \partial\Phi_p(u_2)) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 $R(1 + \mu A_p) = L^p(\Omega)$, for every $\mu > 0$.

We first define the mapping $I_p : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow (W^{1,p}(\Omega))^*$ by $I_p u = u$ and $(v, I_p u) = (v, u) - (v, u)v(\Omega)$ for $u, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$ denotes the inner product of $L^p(\Omega)$. Then I_p is maximal monotone [7].

Secondly, for any $\mu > 0$, let the mapping $T_\mu : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow 2^{(W^{1,p}(\Omega))^*}$ be defined by

$$T_\mu u = I_p u + \mu B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m}^{u\mu\partial\Phi_p}(u)$$

, for $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then similar to that in [7], by lemmas 2.4, 2.6, 2.7 and 2.5 we see that T_μ is maximal

monotone and coercive, so that $R(T_\mu) = (W^{1,p}(\Omega))^*$, for any $\mu > 0$

Therefore, for any $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, there exists $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, such that

$$f = T_\mu u = u + \mu B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m}^{u\mu\partial\Phi_p}(u) \tag{2}$$

From the definition of A_p , it follows that $R(1 + \mu A_p) = L^p(\Omega)$, for all $\mu > 0$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 4 The mapping $A_p : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow 2^{L^p(\Omega)}$, has a compact resolvent for $2N/(N+1) < p < 2$ and $N \geq 1$.

[Sorry. Ignored \begin{proof} . . . \end{proof}]

Remark 5 Since $\Phi_p(u + \alpha) = \Phi_p(u)$, for any $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we have $f \in A_p u$ implies that $f = B_{p,q_1,q_2,\dots,q_m}$ in the sense of distributions.

Proposition 6 For $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, if there exists $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that $f \in A_p u$, then u is the unique solution of (1.7).

[Sorry. Ignored \begin{proof} . . . \end{proof}]

Remark 7 If $\beta_x = 0$ for any $x \in \Gamma$ then $\partial\Phi_p(u) = 0$, for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 8 If $\beta_x \equiv 0$ for any $x \in \Gamma$ then $\{f \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} f dx = 0\} \subset R(A_p)$.

[Sorry. Ignored \begin{proof} . . . \end{proof}]

Definition 9 (see[1,7]). For $t \in \mathbb{R}_t, x \in \Gamma$, let $\beta_x^0(t) \in \beta_x(t)$ be the element with least absolute value if $\beta_x(t) \neq \emptyset$ and $\beta_x^0(t) = \pm\infty$, where $t > 0$ or $t < 0$ respectively, in case $\beta_x(t) = \emptyset$. Finally, let $\beta_x(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \beta_x^0(t)$ (in the extended sense) for $x \in \Gamma$. $\beta_x(t)$ define measurable functions on Γ , in view of our assumptions on β_x .

Proposition 10 Let $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \beta_-(x) d\Gamma(x) < \int_{\Omega} f dx < \int_{\Gamma} \beta_+(x) d\Gamma(x)$$

Then $f \in \text{Int}R(A_p)$.

[Sorry. Ignored \begin{proof} . . . \end{proof}]

This completes the proof.

Proposition 11 $A_p + B_1 : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is m -accretive and has a compact resolvent.

[Sorry. Ignored \begin{proof} . . . \end{proof}]

Theorem: Let $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ be such that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \beta_-(x) d\Gamma(x) + \int_{\Omega} g_-(x) dx < \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx < \int_{\Gamma} \beta_+(x) d\Gamma(x) + \int_{\Omega} g_+(x) dx$$

then(1.4) has a unique solution in $L^p(\Omega)$, where $2N/(N+1) < p < +\infty$ and $N \geq 1$

[Sorry. Ignored \begin{proof} . . . \end{proof}]

Remark: Compared to the work done in [1-7], not only the existence of the solution of (1.4) is obtained but also the uniqueness of the solution is obtained. Furthermore, our work extended the work of [12]

References

- [1]. B.D.Calvert and C.P Gupta "Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems in L^p -spaces and sums of ranges of accretive operators," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol.2, no. 1, pp1-26,1978.
- [2]. L. Wei and Z. He;"The applications of sums of ranges of accretive operators to nonlinear equations involving the p -laplacian operator," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol.24, no.2, pp 185-193, 1995.
- [3]. L. Wei; "Existence of solutions of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems," *Mathematics in Practice and Theory*, vol. 31, no.3 pp 360-364, 2001 (chinese)
- [4]. L. Wei and Z. Hen; "The Applications of Theories of accretive operators to non-linear elliptic boundary value problems in L^p spaces" *Nonlinear Analysis:Theory, Methods and Applcations*, vol.46, no. 2, pp 199-211, 2001.
- [5]. L. Wei and H.Y.Zhou ; "Existence of solutions of a family of non-linear boundary value problems in L^2 -spaces", *Applied Mathematics: a journal of Chinese unversities*, vol.20, no. 2, pp 175-182, 2005.
- [6]. L. Wei and H.Y. Zhou; "The existence of solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems involving the p -Laplacian operators in L^s -spaces", *Journal of Systems science and complexity*, vol.18, no. 4, pp 511-521, 2005.
- [7]. L. Wei and R.P. Agrawal ; "existence of solutions to non-linear Neumann boundary value problems with generalized p -Laplacian operator", *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol.56, no.2, pp 530-541, 2008.
- [8]. Z.C.chen and T. Luo; "The eigenvalue problem of p -Laplacian-like equations, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol.46, no. 4, pp 631-638, 2003(Chinese).
- [9]. L.K. Li and Y.T. Guo; *The Theory of Sobolov Spaces*, Shanghai Science and Technology Press, Shanghai, china 1981.
- [10]. L. Wei, L. Duan and H.Zhou; "Study of the existence and uniqueness of solution of generalized capillarity problem", *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 154307, 18 pages.
- [11]. D. Pascali and S. Sburlan; *Nonlinear Mappings Of monotone Type*, Martinus Nijhoff, The Hagne, The Netherlands, 1978.
- [12]. L. Wei, L. Duan and H.Zhou; "Solution of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems and its iterative construction", *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 210325, 14 pages.