On Quasi-weak m-power commutative Near - rings and Quasi - weak (m,n) power commutative Near - rings

G.Gopalakrishmoorthy¹, S.Geetha² and S.Anitha³

¹Principal, Sri krishnasamy Arts and Science College, Sattur – 626203, Tamilnadu.

²Dept. of Mathematics, Pannai College of Engineering and Technology, Keelakkandani, Sivagangai - 630561.

³Lecturer,Raja Doraisingam Government Arts College, Sivagangai – 630 561, Tamil Nadu.

Abstract: A right near – ring N is called weak commutative if xyz = xzy for every $x,y,z \in N$ (Definition 9.4 [10]). A right near – ring N is called pseudo commutative (Definition 2.1 [11]) if xyz = zyx for all $x,y,z \in N$. A right near – ring N is called quasi – weak commutative (Definition 2.1 [7]) if xyz = yxz for all $x,y,z \in N$. We call a right near – ring N to be quasi – weak m – power commutative if $x^m y z = y^m x z$ for all $x,y,z \in N$. N is said to be Quasi – weak m, power commutative near – ring if $x^m y^n z = y^m x^n z$ for all $x,y,z \in N$. In this paper we study and establish various results of Quasi – weak m – power commutative near – ring and Quasi – weak m, power commutative near – ring.

I. Introduction

S.Uma,R.Balakrishnan and T.Tamizhchelvam [11] called a near-ring N to be pseudo commutative if xyz = zyx for every $x,y,z \in N.G.$ Gopalakrishnamoorthy and S.Geetha [4] called a ring R to be m power commutative if $x^m y = y^m x$ for all $x,y \in R$ where $m \ge 1$ is a fixed integer. They also called a ring R to be (m,n) power commutative if $x^m y^n = y^m x^n$ for all $x,y \in R$ where $m \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$ are fixed integers. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy and R.Veega [6] called a near – ring N to be pseudo m- power commutative if $x^m y z = z y^m x$ for all $x,y,z \in N$ where $m \ge 1$ is a fixed integer. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy, N.Kamaraj and S.Geetha [7] defined a near – ring N to be Quasi – weak commutative if xyz = yxz for all $x,y,z \in N$.

In this paper we define quasi-weak $\,$ m $\,$ power commutative near $\,$ ring and $\,$ quasi $\,$ weak $\,$ (m,n) power commutative near $\,$ ring and establish some results.

II. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper N denotes a right near – ring with at least two elements. For any non-empty set $A \subseteq N$, we denote $A - \{0\}$ by A^* . In this section we present some known definitions and results which are useful in the development of this paper.

2.1 Definition [10]

A near – ring N is called weak-commutative if xyz = xzy for every $x,y,z \in N$.

2.2 Definition

A right near-ring N is said to be distributive near - ring if a.(b+c) = a.b+a.c for all a,b,c \in N.

2.3 Definition [11]

A near – ring N is called pseudo commutative if xyz = zyx for every $x,y,z \in N$.

2.4 Definition

A near – ring N is said to be pseudo anti- commutative if xyz = -zyx for every $x,y,z \in N$.

2.5 Definition [6]

A near – ring N is said to be pseudo m-power commutative if $x^myz = zy^mx$ for all $x,y,z \in N$.

2.6 Definition [6]

A near – ring N is said to be pseudo m- power anti - commutative if $x^myz = -zy^mx$ for all x,y,z \in N.

2.7 Lemma [6]

Let N be a near-ring. If $xyz = \pm zyx$ for all $x,y,z \in N$, then N is either pseudo Commutative or pseudo anti-commutative.

2.8 Lemma [6]

Let N be a near-ring. If $x^m yz = \pm z y^m x$ for all $x,y,z \in N$, then a N is either pseudo m – power Commutative or pseudo m – power anti- commutative.

III. Quasi- weak m- power commutative near - rings

3.1 Definition[7]

A near – ring N is said to be quasi-weak commutative if xyz = yxz for all $x,y,z \in N$.

3.2 Definition[7]

A near – ring N is said to be quasi-weak anti - commutative if xyz = -yxz for all $x,y,z \in N$.

3.3 Definition

Let N be a near – ring. N is said to be quasi-weak m – power commutative if x^m yz = y^m xz for all x,y,z \in N , where $m \ge 1$ is a fixed integer.

3.4 Definition

Let N be a near – ring. N is said to be quasi-weak m – power anti - commutative if x^m y $z = -y^m$ x z for all $x,y,z \in N$, where $m \ge 1$ is a fixed integer.

3.5 Lemma

Let N be a distributive near – ring.If $xyz = \pm yxz$ for all $x,y,z \in N$ then N is either quasi – weak commutative or quasi – weak anti - commutative.

Proof:

For each $a \in N$, let

$$C_a = \{ x \in N / xaz = axz \forall z \in N \}$$

$$A_a = \{ x \in N / xaz = -axz \forall z \in N \}$$

By the hypothesis of the lemma,

$$N = C_a \cup A_a$$

We note that if $x,y \in C_a$, then $x - y \in C_a$.

For
$$x, y \in C_a$$
 implies $xaz = +axz \ \forall \ z \in N$ \rightarrow (1)
and $yaz = +ayz \ \forall \ z \in N$ \rightarrow (2)

$$(1) - (2)$$
 gives

$$(x-y)az = a(x-y)z \forall z \in N$$

which implies $(x - y) \in C_a$

Similarly, if $x, y \in A_a$, then $x - y \in A_a$.

We claim that either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

Suppose $N \neq C_a$ and $N \neq A_a$, then there are elements $b \in C_a$ - A_a and

$$d \in A_a - C_a$$
.

Now
$$b+d \in N = C_a \cup A_a$$

If $b+d\in C_a$ then d=(b+d) - $b\in C_a$, a contradiction.

If $b+d \in A_a$ then $b=(b+d)-d \in A_a$, again a contradiction.

Hence either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

Let
$$A = \{ a \in N / C_a = N \}$$

and
$$B = \{ a \in N / A_a = N \}$$

Clearly $N = A \cup B$.

We note that that if $x, y \in A$, then $x - y \in A$.

For if
$$x, y \in A \implies C_x = N$$
 and $C_y = N$.

This implies axz = xaz and ayz = yaz for all $a,z \in N$,

So a (x - y)z = (x - y) az for all a, $z \in N$, which proves that $x - y \in A$.

Similarly, if $x, y \in B$, then $x - y \in B$.

We claim that either N = A or N = B.

Suppose $N \neq A$ and $N \neq B$, there are elements $u \in A - B$ and $v \in B - A$.

Now,
$$u + v \in N = A \cup B$$
.

If $u + v \in A$, then $v = (u + v) - u \in A$, a contradiction.

If $u + v \in B$, then $u = (u + v) - v \in B$, again a contradiction.

Hence either N = A or N = B.

This proves that N is either quasi – weak commutative or quasi – weak anti – commutative.

3.6 **Lemma** :

Let N be a near – ring (not necessarily associative) satisfying (x - y) $^m = x^m - y^m$ for all $x, y \in N$, where $m \ge 1$ is a fixed integer. If x^m y $z = \pm y^m$ x z for all x, y, z $\in N$, then N is either quasi – weak m – power commutative or quasi – weak m – power anti – commutative.

Proof:

For each a \in N, let

$$C_a \ = \ \{ \ x \in N \ / \ x^m \ a \ z \ = \ a^m \ x \ z \ \ \forall \ z \in N \ \}$$

$$A_a \ = \ \{ \ x \in N \ / \ x^m \ a \ z \ = \ - \ a^m \ x \ z \ \ \forall \ z \in N \ \}$$

By the hypothesis of the lemma,

$$N = C_a \cup A_a$$

We note that, if $x, y \in C_a$ then $x - y \in C_a$

For
$$x, y \in C_a$$
 implies $x^m a z = a^m x z \forall z \in N$ \longrightarrow (1)
and $y^m a z = a^m y z \forall z \in N$ \longrightarrow (2)

Equation (1) - (2) gives,

$$(x^{m} - y^{m}) a z = a^{m} (x - y) z \quad \forall z \in N.$$

$$\Rightarrow (x - y)^{m} a z = a^{m} (x - y) z \quad \forall z \in N.$$

$$\Rightarrow (x - y) \in C_{a}.$$

Similarly $x, y \in A_a$ implies $x - y \in A_a$.

We claim that either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

Suppose $N \neq C_a$ and $N \neq A_a$, there are elements $b \in C_a$ - A_a and $d \in A_a$ - C_a .

Now, $b + d \in N = C_a \cup A_a$.

If $b + d \in C_a$ then $d = (b + d) - b \in C_a$, a contradiction.

Similarly, if $b + d \in A_a$, then $b = (b + d) - d \in A_a$, again a contradiction.

Hence either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} Let & A \, = \, \{ & a \, \epsilon \, N \, / \, C_a \, = \, N \, \} \\ and & B \, = \, \{ & a \, \epsilon \, N \, / \, A_a \, = \, N \, \} \end{array}$$

Clearly $N = A \cup B$.

We note that if $x, y \in A$ implies $x - y \in A$.

For if $x, y \in A$ implies $C_x = N$ and $C_y = N$.

This implies $a^m x z = x^m a z$ and $a^m y z = y^m a z$ for all $a, z \in N$.

So, $a^m(x-y)z = (x^m - y^m)$ a z for all a,z $\in N$,

(i.e.,) $a^m (x - y) z = (x - y)^m a z$ for all $a,z \in N$, which proves that $x - y \in A$.

Similarly $x, y \in B$ implies $x - y \in B$.

We claim that either N = A or N = B.

Suppose N \neq A and N \neq B, there are elements $u \in A - B$ and $v \in B - A$.

Now, $u + v \in N = A \cup B$.

If $u + v \in A$, then $v = (u + v) - u \in A$, a contradiction.

If $u + v \in B$, then $u = (u + v) - v \in B$, again a contradiction.

Hence either N = A or N = B.

This proves that N is either quasi-weak m – power commutative or quasi- weak m – power anti – commutative.

3.7 Note:

When m = 1, we get Lemma 3.5.

3.8 Definition:

Let N be a near-ring and $m \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$ be fixed integers. N is said to be quasi- weak (m,n)

Power commutative, if $x^m y^n z = y^m x^n z$ for all $x, y, z \in N$.

3.9 Definition:

Let N be a near-ring and $m \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$ be fixed integers.N is said to be quasi-weak (m,n)

Power anti - commutative, if $x^m y^n z = -y^m x^n z$ for all $x, y, z \in N$.

3.10 Lemma:

Let N be a near – ring (not necessarily associative) satisfying (x-y) $^k = x^k - y^k$ for k = m,n where $m \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$ are fixed integers. If $x^m y^n z = \pm y^m x^n z$ for all $x,y,z \in N$, then N is either

 $quasi-\ weak\ (m.n)-\ power\ Commutative\ or\ quasi-\ weak\ (m,n)-\ power\ anti-commutative.$

Proof:

For each a \in N, let

$$C_a = \{ x \in N / x^m a^n z = a^m x^n z \forall z \in N \}$$

$$A_a = \{ x \in N / x^m a^n z = -a^m x^n z \forall z \in N \}$$

By the hypothesis of the lemma,

$$N = C_a \cup A_a$$

We note that, if $x,y \in C_a$ then $x - y \in C_a$

For
$$x, y \in C_a$$
 implies $x^m a^n z = a^m x^n z \quad \forall z \in N$ \longrightarrow (1)

and
$$y^m a^n z = a^m y^n z \forall z \in N$$
 \longrightarrow (2)

Equation (1) - (2) gives,

Similarly $x, y \in A_a$ implies $x - y \in A_a$.

We claim that either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

Suppose $N \neq C_a$ and $N \neq A_a$, there are elements $b \in C_a - A_a$ and $d \in A_a - C_a$.

Now, $b + d \in N = C_a \cup A_a$.

If $b + d \in C_a$ then $d = (b + d) - b \in C_a$, a contradiction.

Similarly, if $b + d \in A_a$, then $b = (b + d) - d \in A_a$, again a contradiction.

Hence either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

 $A = \{ a \in N / C_a = N \}$

and $B = \{ a \in N / A_a = N \}$

Clearly $N = A \cup B$.

We note that if $x, y \in A$ implies $x - y \in A$.

For if $x, y \in A$ implies $C_x = N$ and $C_y = N$. This implies $a^m x^n z = x^m a^n z$ and $a^m y^n z = y^m a^n z$ for all $a, z \in N$. $\implies a^m (x^n - y^n) z = (x^m - y^m) a^n z$ for all $a, z \in N$.

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $a^{m}(x^{n}-y^{n})z = (x^{m}-y^{m})a^{n}z$ for all $a,z \in N$.

So, $a^m (x - y)^n z = (x - y)^m a^n z$ for all $a, z \in N$, which proves that $x - y \in A$.

Similarly $x, y \in B$ implies $x - y \in B$.

We claim that either N = A or N = B.

Suppose N \neq A and N \neq B, there are elements $u \in A - B$ and $v \in B - A$.

Now, $u + v \in N = A \cup B$.

If $u + v \in A$, then $v = (u + v) - u \in A$, a contradiction.

If $u + v \in B$, then $u = (u + v) - v \in B$, again a contradiction.

Hence either N = A or N = B.

This proves that N is either quasi-weak (m,n) – power commutative or quasi-weak (m,n) – power anti - commutative.

3.11 Note:

When m = n = 1, we get Lemma 3.5.

When n = 1, we get Lemma 3.6.

References

- H.E.Bell, Ouasi Centres, Ouasi Commutators, and Ring Commutativity, Acta Maths, Hungary 4(1-2)(1983), 127-136. [1].
- [2]. L.O.Chung and Jiang Luh, Scalar Central elements in an algebra over a Principal ideal domain, Acta Sci. Maths 41, (1979), 289 – 293.
- [3]. .G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy and R.Veega, On Quasi - Periodic, Generalised Quasi-Periodic Algebras, Jour. of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Vol 23, No 2 (2010).
- G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy and S.Geetha,On (m,n) Power Commutativity of rings and Scalar (m,n) Power Commutativity of [4]. Algebras, Jour. of Mathermatical Sciences, Vol 24(3), 2013, 97-110.
- G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy and R.Veega,On Scalar Power Central Elements in an Algrbra over a Principal ideal domain,Jour. of [5]. Mathematical Sciences, Vol 24(3), 2013, 111-128.
- G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy and R.Veega,On pseudo m Power Commutative near rings and Pseudo (m,n) PowerCommutative [6]. near - rings, International Journal of Mathematical Research and Science, Vol (4), 2013,71 - 80.
- G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy, Kamaraj and S.Geetha, On quasi weak Commutative Near rings, International Journal of Mathamatics [7]. Research, Vol 5(5), 2013, 431-440.
- G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy, S.Geetha and S.Anitha, On Quasi weak Commutative Boolean like Near Rings, Malaya Journal of [8]. Matematik, accepted.
- [9]. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy, S.Geetha and S.Anitha, On Quasi Weak Commutative Near - Rings II, Malaya Journal of Matematik .accepted.
- [10]. PilzGiinter, Near – rings, North Holland, Amerterdam, 1983.
- S.Uma, R.Balakrishnan and T.Tamizh Chelvam, Pseudo Commutative Near- rings, Scientia Magna, Vol (2010), No 2,75-85. [11].

On Quasi-weak m-p	power commutativ	e Near - rings ar	nd Quasi - weak	(m,n) power co	ommutativ