

On Jordan (σ, τ) -Higher Homomorphisms of ΓM -Module

Fawaz Raad Jarullah

Department of Mathematics, college of Education, Al-Mustansirya University, Iraq

Abstract: Let M be a Γ -ring and X be a left ΓM -module, in this paper proved that every Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a Γ -ring M into a prime left ΓM - module X is either (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism or (σ, τ) -higher anti homomorphism.

Mathematic Subject Classification: 16N60, 16W25, 16U80.

Keywords: Γ -ring, left ΓM -module, homomorphism, Jordan homomorphism

I. Introduction

Let M and Γ be two additive abelian groups, suppose that there is a mapping from $M \times \Gamma \times M \longrightarrow M$ (the image of (a, α, b) being denoted by $a\alpha b$, $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$) satisfying for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

(i) $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$

$a(\alpha + \beta)c = a\alpha c + a\beta c$

$a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$

(ii) $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$.

Then M is called Γ -ring . This definition is due to Barnes [1].

Let M be a Γ -ring and X be an additive abelian group. X is a left ΓM -module if there exists a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times X \longrightarrow X$ (sending $(m, \alpha, x) \longrightarrow m\alpha x$), such that

(i) $(m_1 + m_2)\alpha x = m_1\alpha x + m_2\alpha x$

(ii) $m\alpha(x_1 + x_2) = m\alpha x_1 + m\alpha x_2$

(iii) $(m_1\alpha m_2)\beta x = m_1\alpha(m_2\beta x)$

For all $m, m_1, m_2 \in M$ and $x, x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, [4].

A Γ -ring M is commutative if $a\alpha b = b\alpha a$, [6] .

X is prime if $a\Gamma X \Gamma b = (0)$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$,for all $x \in X$ and X is semiprime if $a\Gamma X \Gamma a = (0)$ implies $a = 0$,for all $x \in X$.

X is called a 2-torsion free if $2x=0$ implies $x=0$ for all $x \in X$ [4].

Let X be a 2-torsion free semiprime ΓM - module X and suppose that $a, b \in \Gamma M$ - module X if $a\Gamma X \Gamma b + b\Gamma X \Gamma a = 0$ for all $x \in X$, then $a\Gamma X \Gamma b = b\Gamma X \Gamma a = 0$.

Let M be Γ -ring , a mapping $*: M \longrightarrow X$ is called an involution if for all $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$

(i) $a^{**} = a$.

(ii) $(a + b)^* = a^* + b^*$

(iii) $(a\alpha b)^* = b^*\alpha a^*$, [5].

Let θ be an additive mapping of a ring R into a ring R' , θ is called a homomorphism if $\theta(a+b) = \theta(a) + \theta(b)$.

And θ is called a Jordan homomorphism if for all $a, b \in R$

$$\theta(a b + b a) = \theta(a)\theta(b) + \theta(b)\theta(a) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in R , [2].$$

Let θ be an additive mapping of a Γ -ring M into a Γ -ring M' , θ is called homomorphism if

$$\theta(a\alpha b) = \theta(a)\alpha\theta(b) , \text{ for all } a, b \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma . [1].$$

Let θ be an additive mapping of a Γ -ring M into a Γ -ring M' , θ is called Jordan homomorphism if

$$\theta(a\alpha b + b\alpha a) = \theta(a)\alpha\theta(b) + \theta(b)\alpha\theta(a) , \text{ for all } a, b \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma . [7].$$

Let θ be an additive mapping of a ring R into a ring R' and σ, τ be two endomorphism of R . θ is called

(σ, τ) -homomorphism if

$$\theta(ab) = \theta(\sigma(a))\theta(\tau(b)) , \text{ for all } a, b \in R .$$

And θ is called Jordan (σ, τ) -homomorphism if

$$\theta(ab + ba) = \theta(\sigma(a))\theta(\tau(b)) + \theta(\sigma(b))\theta(\tau(a)) , \text{ for all } a, b \in R , [3].$$

Let θ be an additive mapping of a Γ -ring M into a Γ -ring M' and σ, τ be two endomorphism of M . θ is called (σ, τ) -homomorphism if

$$\theta(a\alpha b) = \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(b)) , \text{ for all } a, b \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma .$$

And θ is called Jordan (σ, τ) -homomorphism if

$$\theta(a\alpha b + b\alpha a) = \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(b)) + \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(a)) , \text{ for all } a, b \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma , [3] .$$

Now, in this paper presented the definitions of (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism, Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism, Jordan triple (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism on a left ΓM -module and prove that every Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a Γ -ring M into 2-torsion free ΓM -module X , such that $a\alpha b\beta c = a\beta b\alpha c$, for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, $\sigma^{i^2} = \sigma^i$, $\tau^{i^2} = \tau^i$, $\sigma^i \tau^i = \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}$ and $\sigma^i \tau^i = \tau^i \sigma^i$ then θ is a Jordan triple (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism.

II. Jordan (σ, τ) -Higher Homomorphism of ΓM - Module

Definition (2.1): Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a family of additive mappings of a Γ -ring M into a left ΓM -module X and σ, τ be two endomorphisms of M . θ is called a **(σ, τ) -higher homomorphism** if

$$\phi_n(\alpha ab) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(\alpha))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(b))$$

for all $a, b \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$.

Example (2.2): Let $\theta = (\theta_i)_{i \in N}$ be a (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a ring R into a ring R' .

Let $M = M_{1 \times 2}(R)$ and $\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, n \in Z \right\}$. Then M is a Γ -ring.

Let $\phi = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a family of additive mappings from Γ -ring M into a left ΓM -module X defined by:

$$\phi_n((a \quad b)) = (\theta_n(a) \quad \theta_n(b)), \text{ for all } (a \quad b) \in M.$$

Let σ_1^n, τ_1^n be two endomorphisms of M , such that

$$\sigma_1^n((a \quad b)) = ((\sigma^n(a) \quad \sigma^n(b)), \tau_1^n((a \quad b)) = ((\tau^n(a) \quad \tau^n(b)).$$

Then ϕ_n is a (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism.

Definition (2.3): Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a family of additive mappings of a Γ -ring M into a left ΓM -module X and σ, τ be two endomorphisms of a Γ -ring M . θ is called **Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism** if

$$\phi_n(\alpha ab + b \alpha a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(\alpha))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

for all $a, b \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and for $n \in N$.

Remark(2.4): Clearly every (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism is Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism but the converse is not true in general, as shown by the following example .

Example (2.5): Let S be any Γ -ring with nontrivial involution $*$ and Γ be the set of all integer numbers.

Let $M = S \oplus S$, such that $a \in Z(S)$, $s_1 \alpha a \alpha s_2 = 0$, $s_1 \neq s_2$ and $a^2 = a$, for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$.

Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a family of additive mappings of a Γ -ring M into a left ΓM -module X defined by:

$$\phi_n(s, t) = \begin{cases} ((2-n)\alpha \alpha s, (n-1)t^*) & , n = 1, 2 \\ 0 & , n \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

for all $(s, t) \in M$.

Let σ^n, τ^n be two endomorphisms of M , such that $\sigma^n((s, t)) = (ns, t)$, $\tau^n((s, t)) = (n^2s, t)$. Then θ is Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism but not (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism.

Definition (2.6): Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a family of additive mappings of a Γ -ring M into a left ΓM -module X and σ, τ be two endomorphisms of M . θ is called a **Jordan triple (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism** if

$$\phi_n(\alpha ab \beta a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(\alpha))\alpha\phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

for all $a, b \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$

Definition (2.7): Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a family of additive mappings of a Γ -ring M into a left ΓM -module X and σ, τ be two endomorphisms of M . θ is called a **(σ, τ) -higher anti homomorphism** if

$$\phi_n(\alpha ab) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

for all $a, b \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$.

Lemma (2.8): Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a Jordan triple (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a Γ -ring M into a left

ΓM - module X , then for all $a, b, c \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$

(i) if $\sigma^{i^2} = \sigma^i$, $\tau^{i^2} = \tau^i$, $\sigma^i \tau^i = \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}$ and $\sigma^i \tau^i = \tau^i \sigma^i$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(a \alpha b \beta a + a \beta b \alpha a) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \beta \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(ii)} \quad \phi_n(a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a))\end{aligned}$$

(iii) In particular, if M is commutative and ΓM - module X is a 2-torsion free , then

$$\phi_n(a \alpha b \beta c) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(c))$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(iv)} \quad \phi_n(a \alpha b \alpha c + c \alpha b \alpha a) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a))\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

(i) Replace $a\beta b + b\beta a$ for b in Definition (2.3) , we get :

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(a \alpha(a \beta b + b \beta a) + (a \beta b + b \beta a) \alpha a) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a \beta b + b \beta a)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a \beta b + b \beta a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a) \beta \tau^i(b) + \tau^i(b) \beta \tau^i(a)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a) \beta \sigma^i(b) + \sigma^i(b) \beta \sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^j(a)) \beta \phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(b)) + \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^j(b)) \beta \phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(a)) \right) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^{j^2}(a)) \beta \phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^j(b)) + \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^{j^2}(b)) \beta \phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^j(a)) \right) \alpha \phi_i \tau^i(a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^i(a)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^{i^2}(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^i(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^{i^2}(a)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(a)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i \sigma^i(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i \sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \\ \text{Since } \sigma^{i^2} &= \sigma^i, \tau^{i^2} = \tau^i, \sigma^i \tau^i = \sigma^i \tau^{n-i} \text{ and } \sigma^i \tau^i = \tau^i \sigma^i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \beta \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \beta \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a))\end{aligned}$$

...(1)

On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(a \alpha(a \beta b + b \beta a) + (a \beta b + b \beta a) \alpha a) &= \phi_n(a \alpha a \beta b + a \alpha b \beta a + a \beta b \alpha a + b \beta a \alpha a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \beta \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\ &\quad \phi_n(a \alpha b \beta a + a \beta b \alpha a)\end{aligned}$$

...(2)

Comparing (1) and (2), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(a \alpha b \beta a + a \beta b \alpha a) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \beta \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a))\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Replace $a + c$ for a in Definition (2.6) , we get :

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n((a+c) \alpha b \beta (a+c)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a+c)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a+c)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a) + \sigma^i(c)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a) + \tau^i(c))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\
 &\quad \dots (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_n((a+c)\alpha b \beta (a+c)) &= \phi_n(a\alpha b \beta a + a\alpha b \beta c + c\alpha b \beta a + c\alpha b \beta c) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + \\
 &\quad \phi_n(a\alpha b \beta c + c\alpha b \beta a) \\
 &\quad \dots (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (1) and (2), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_n(a\alpha b \beta c + c\alpha b \beta a) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a))
 \end{aligned}$$

(iii) By (ii) and since M be a commutative and ΓM - module X is a 2-torsion free

$$\phi_n(a\alpha b \beta c + a\alpha b \beta c) = 2\phi_n(a\alpha b \beta c) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(c))$$

(iv) Replace β for α in (ii), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_n(a\alpha b \alpha c + c\alpha b \alpha a) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a))
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition (2.9): Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a Γ -ring M into a left ΓM - module X , then for all $a, b \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$, we define

$$G_n(a, b)_\alpha = \phi_n(a\alpha b) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(b))$$

Lemma (2.10): Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a Γ -ring M into a left ΓM - module X , then for all $a, b, c \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$:

- (i) $G_n(a, b)_\alpha = -G_n(b, a)_\alpha$
- (ii) $G_n(a + b, c)_\alpha = G_n(a, c)_\alpha + G_n(b, c)_\alpha$
- (iii) $G_n(a, b + c)_\alpha = G_n(a, b)_\alpha + G_n(a, c)_\alpha$
- (iv) $G_n(a, b)_{\alpha + \beta} = G_n(a, b)_\alpha + G_n(a, b)_\beta$

Proof:

(i) By Definition (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_n(a\alpha b + b\alpha a) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \\
 \phi_n(a\alpha b) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) &= -(\phi_n(b\alpha a) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a)))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$G_n(a, b)_\alpha = -G_n(b, a)_\alpha$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii)} \quad G_n(a + b, c)_\alpha &= \phi_n((a + b)\alpha c) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a + b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\
 &= \phi_n(a\alpha c + b\alpha c) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\
 &= \phi_n(a\alpha c) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + \phi_n(b\alpha c) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\
 &= G_n(a, c)_\alpha + G_n(b, c)_\alpha \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad G_n(a, b + c)_\alpha &= \phi_n(a\alpha(b + c)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(b + c)) \\
 &= \phi_n(a\alpha b + a\alpha c) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(c))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \phi_n(aab) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + \phi_n(aac) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\
 &= G_n(a,b)_\alpha + G_n(a,c)_\alpha \\
 \text{(iv)} \quad &G_n(a,b)_{\alpha+\beta} = \phi_n(a(\alpha+\beta)b) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))(\alpha+\beta)\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\
 &= \phi_n(a\alpha b) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + \phi_n(a\beta b) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\
 &= G_n(a,b)_\alpha + G_n(a,b)_\beta
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark (2.11): Note that $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ is a (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a Γ -ring M into a left ΓM - module X if and only if $G_n(a,b)_\alpha = 0$ for all $a, b \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$.

Lemma (2.12): Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a Γ -ring M into a left ΓM - module X , such that $\sigma^{n^2} = \sigma^n$, $\tau^n \sigma^n = \sigma^n$, $\sigma^i \tau^{n-i} = \tau^i \sigma^i$, $\sigma^2 = \sigma$, $\tau^2 = \tau$ and $\sigma^i \tau^i = \tau^i \sigma^i$ for all $i \in N$,

then for all $a, b, m \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad &G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha + \\
 &G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_\alpha = 0 \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad &G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha + \\
 &G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))_\alpha \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_\alpha = 0 \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad &G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\beta \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\beta + \\
 &G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))_\beta \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_\beta = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

(i) We prove by using the induction, if $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Let } w &= aab\beta m\beta ba + baa\beta m\beta aa, \text{ since } \theta \text{ is a Jordan } (\sigma, \tau) \text{-homomorphism} \\
 \theta(w) &= \theta(a\alpha(b\beta m\beta b)\alpha a + b\alpha(a\beta m\beta a)\alpha b) \\
 &= \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\sigma(b\beta m\beta b))\alpha\theta(\tau(a)) + \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\sigma(a\beta m\beta a))\alpha\theta(\tau(b)) \\
 &= \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\sigma(\sigma(b)))\beta\theta(\sigma(\sigma(m)))\beta\theta(\tau(\sigma(b)))\alpha\theta(\tau(a)) + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\sigma(\sigma(a)))\beta\theta(\sigma(\sigma(m)))\beta\theta(\tau(\sigma(a)))\alpha\theta(\tau(b)) \quad \dots(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta(w) &= \theta((aab)\beta m\beta (baa) + (baa)\beta m\beta (aab)) \\
 &= \theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta\theta(\tau(baa)) + \theta(\sigma(baa))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta\theta(\tau(aab)) \\
 &= \theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta(\theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\tau^2(b)) + \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\tau^2(a)) - \\
 &\quad \theta(\tau(aab))) + (-\theta(\sigma(aab)) + \theta(\sigma^2(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(b)) + \theta(\sigma^2(b))\alpha \\
 &\quad \theta(\tau(a)))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta\theta(\tau(aab)) \\
 &= -\theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta(\theta(\tau(aab)) - \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\tau^2(b))) - \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta(\theta(\tau(aab)) - \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\tau^2(a))) + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma^2(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(b))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta\theta(\tau(aab)) + \theta(\sigma^2(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(b))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta\theta(\tau(aab)) \quad \dots(2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Compare (1), (2) and since $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= -\theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta G(\tau(a), \tau(b))_\alpha - \theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta G(\tau(b), \tau(a))_\alpha + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma^2(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(b))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta\theta(\tau(aab)) + \theta(\sigma^2(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(a))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta \\
 &\quad \theta(\tau(aab)) - \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\sigma^2(b))\beta\theta(\sigma^2(m))\beta\theta(\sigma^2(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(a)) - \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\sigma^2(a))\beta\theta(\sigma^2(m))\beta\theta(\sigma^2(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(b))
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma^2 = \sigma$ and $\tau^2 = \tau$

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= -\theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta G(\tau(a), \tau(b))_\alpha - \theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta G(\tau(b), \tau(a))_\alpha + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(b))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta\theta(\tau(aab)) + \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(a))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta \\
 &\quad \theta(\tau(aab)) - \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(b))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta\theta(\tau(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(a)) - \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(a))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta\theta(\tau(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(b)) \\
 0 &= -\theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta G(\tau(a), \tau(b))_\alpha - \theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta G(\tau(b), \tau(a))_\alpha + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(b))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta(\theta(\tau(aab)) - \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(a))) + \\
 &\quad \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(a))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta(\theta(\tau(aab)) - \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(b))) \\
 0 &= -\theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta G(\tau(a), \tau(b))_\alpha - \theta(\sigma(aab))\beta\theta(\sigma(m))\beta G(\tau(b), \tau(a))_\alpha +
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(\sigma(b)))\beta\theta(\sigma\tau(m))\beta G(\tau(b), \tau(a))_\alpha + \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(\sigma(a)))\beta \\ & \theta(\sigma\tau(m))\beta G(\tau(a), \tau(b))_\alpha \\ 0 = & -(\theta(\sigma(a\sigma b)) - \theta(\sigma(b))\alpha\theta(\tau(\sigma(a))))\beta\theta(\sigma\tau(m))\beta G(\tau(a), \tau(b))_\alpha - \\ & (\theta(\sigma(a\sigma b)) - \theta(\sigma(a))\alpha\theta(\tau(\sigma(b))))\beta\theta(\sigma\tau(m))\beta G(\tau(b), \tau(a))_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have:

$$G(\sigma(a), \sigma(b))_\alpha\beta\theta(\sigma\tau(m))\beta G(\tau(b), \tau(a))_\alpha + G(\sigma(b), \sigma(a))_\alpha\beta\theta(\sigma\tau(m))\beta G(\tau(a), \tau(b))_\alpha = 0$$

Now, we can assume that:

$$\begin{aligned} & G_s(\sigma^s(a), \sigma^s(b))_\alpha\beta\phi_s(\sigma^s(m))\beta G_s(\tau^s(b), \tau^s(a))_\alpha + \\ & G_s(\sigma^s(b), \sigma^s(a))_\alpha\beta\phi_s(\sigma^s(m))\beta G_s(\tau^s(a), \tau^s(b))_\alpha = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, m \in M$, and $s, n \in N$, $s < n$.

$$w = aab\beta m\beta baa + boa\beta m\beta aab$$

Since θ is a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism, then

$$\phi_n(w) = \phi_n(a\alpha(b\beta m\beta b)\alpha a + b\alpha(a\beta m\beta a)\alpha b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b\beta m\beta b))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(a\beta m\beta a))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(\sigma^i\tau^{n-j}(b)))\beta\phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-j}(m)))\beta\phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(b))) \right) \alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(\sigma^i\tau^{n-j}(a)))\beta\phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-j}(m)))\beta\phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(a))) \right) \alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b)))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m)))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b)))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(a)))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m)))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(a)))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b)))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m)))\beta \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(b)))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^j(a)) + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(a)))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m)))\beta \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(a)))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^j(b)) \\ & = \phi_n(\sigma^n(a))\alpha\phi_n(\sigma^n(\sigma^n(b)))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(\sigma^n(m)))\beta \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(b)))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^j(a)) + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b)))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m)))\beta \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(b)))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^j(a)) + \\ & \phi_n(\sigma^n(b))\alpha\phi_n(\sigma^n(\sigma^n(a)))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(\sigma^n(m)))\beta \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(a)))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^j(b)) + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\phi_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(a)))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m)))\beta \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(a)))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^j(b)) \\ & \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(w) & = \phi_n((a\alpha b)\beta m\beta(b\alpha a) + (b\alpha a)\beta m\beta(a\alpha b)) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a\alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(b\alpha a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b\alpha a))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(a\alpha b)) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a\alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(a))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(b)) + \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(b))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(a)) \right) - \phi_i(\tau^i(a\alpha b)) + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^{j^2}(a))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^j\sigma^j(b)) + \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^{j^2}(b))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^j\sigma^j(a)) - \right) \phi_i(\sigma^i(a\alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(a\alpha b)) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a\alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(a))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a\alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta \\ & \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(b))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(a)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a\alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(a\alpha b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(a))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(b))\beta \\ & \phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(a\alpha b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(b))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(a))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(a\alpha b)) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a\alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(a\alpha b)) \\ & = - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a\alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta(\phi_i(\tau^i(a\alpha b))) - \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(a))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(b)) - \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a\alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta(\phi_i(\tau^i(a\alpha b))) - \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j\tau^j(b))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(a))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(b)) \\ & \beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(a\alpha b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(b))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(a))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(a\alpha b)) \\ & = -\phi_n(\sigma^n(a\alpha b))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a\alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b))_\alpha - \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_n(\sigma^n(a \alpha b))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_a - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a \alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b))_a + \\ & \phi_n(\sigma^{n^2}(a))\alpha\phi_n(\tau^n\sigma^n(b))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta\phi_n(\tau^n(a \alpha b)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(a))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(a \alpha b)) + \\ & \phi_n(\sigma^{n^2}(b))\alpha\phi_n(\tau^n\sigma^n(a))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta\phi_n(\tau^n(a \alpha b)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(b))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(a))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta\phi_i(\tau^i(a \alpha b)) \dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

Compare (1), (2) and since $\sigma^{n^2} = \sigma^n$, $\tau^n\sigma^n = \sigma^n$, $\sigma^i\tau^{n-i} = \tau^i\sigma^i$, $\sigma^i\tau^i = \tau^i\sigma^i$

$$\begin{aligned} O &= -\phi_n(\sigma^n(a \alpha b))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_a - \phi_n(\sigma^n(a \alpha b))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_a + \\ & \phi_n(\sigma^n(a))\alpha\phi_n(\sigma^n(b))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta(\phi_n(\tau^n(a \alpha b)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\tau^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b)))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(a))) + \\ & \phi_n(\sigma^n(b))\alpha\phi_n(\sigma^n(a))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta(\phi_n(\tau^n(a \alpha b)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\tau^i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(a)))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i(b))) - \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a \alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b))_a - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a \alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta \\ & G_i(\tau^i(b), \tau^i(a))_a + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta(\phi_i(\tau^i(a \alpha b)) - \\ & \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(b)))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^j(a))) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(a))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta \\ & (\phi_i(\tau^i(a \alpha b)) - \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\tau^j(\sigma^j\tau^{n-j}(a)))\alpha\phi_j(\tau^j(b))) \end{aligned}$$

$$O = -\phi_n(\sigma^n(a \alpha b))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_a - \phi_n(\sigma^n(a \alpha b))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_a + \\ \phi_n(\sigma^n(a))\alpha\phi_n(\sigma^n(b))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_a$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_n(\sigma^n(b))\alpha\phi_n(\sigma^n(a))\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_a - \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a \alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b))_a - \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a \alpha b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta G_i(\tau^i(b), \tau^i(a))_a + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(b))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta G_i(\tau^i(b), \tau^i(a))_a + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\phi_i(\tau^i\sigma^i(a))\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b))_a \\ O &= -G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))_a\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_a - \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_a\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_a - \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} G_i(\sigma^i(b), \sigma^i(a))_a\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b))_a - \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} G_i(\sigma^i(a), \sigma^i(b))_a\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta G_i(\tau^i(b), \tau^i(a))_a \\ O &= -(G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))_a\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_a + \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_a\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_a) - \\ & (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} G_i(\sigma^i(b), \sigma^i(a))_a\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta G_i(\tau^i(a), \tau^i(b))_a + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} G_i(\sigma^i(a), \sigma^i(b))_a\beta\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(m))\beta G_i(\tau^i(b), \tau^i(a))_a) \end{aligned}$$

By our hypothesis, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_a\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_a + \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))_a\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_a = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Replace β by α in (i) proceeding in the same way as in the proof of (i) by the similar arguments, we get (ii).

(iii) Interchanging α and β in (i), we get (iii).

Lemma (2.13): Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a Γ -ring M into a 2-torsion free prime left ΓM - module X , then for all $a, b, m \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

- (i) $G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_a\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_a =$
 $G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))_a\beta\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\beta G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_a = 0$
- (ii) $G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_a\alpha\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\alpha G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_a =$
 $G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))_a\alpha\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\alpha G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_a = 0$
- (iii) $G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\beta\alpha\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\alpha G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\beta =$
 $G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))_\beta\alpha\phi_n(\sigma^n(m))\alpha G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_\beta = 0$

Proof:

(i) By Lemma (2.12) (i), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha + \\ G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_\alpha = 0 \end{aligned}$$

And by Lemma (Let X be a 2-torsion free semiprime left ΓM - module X and suppose that $a, b \in \Gamma M$ - module X if $a\Gamma X\Gamma b + b\Gamma X\Gamma a = 0$ for all $x \in X$, then $a\Gamma X\Gamma b = b\Gamma X\Gamma a = 0$), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha = \\ G_n(\sigma^n(b), \sigma^n(a))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b))_\alpha = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Replace β for α in (i), we get (ii).

(iii) Interchanging α and β in (i), we get (iii).

Theorem (2.14): Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a Γ -ring M into a prime left ΓM - module X , then for all $a, b, c, d, m \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$

(i) $G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0$

(ii) $G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0$

(iii) $G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\beta = 0$

Proof:

(i) Replacing $a+c$ for a in Lemma (2.13) (i), we get:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a+c), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a+c))_\alpha = 0$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c))_\alpha +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(c), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(c), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0$$

By Lemma (2.13)(i), we get:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c))_\alpha +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(c), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha = 0$$

Therefore, we get:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0$$

$$= -G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(c), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha = 0$$

Hence, by the primeness of left ΓM - module X :

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots(1)$$

Now, replacing $b+d$ for b in Lemma (2.13) (i), we get:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b+d))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b+d), \tau^n(a))_\alpha = 0$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a))_\alpha +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(d))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(d))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a))_\alpha = 0$$

By Lemma (2.13)(i), we get:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a))_\alpha +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(d))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha = 0$$

Therefore, we get:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a))_\alpha = 0$$

$$= -G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(d))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha = 0$$

Since left ΓM - module X is prime , then :

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a))_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots(2)$$

Thus , $G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b+d), \tau^n(a+c))_\alpha = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(a))_\alpha + \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(b), \tau^n(c))_\alpha + \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(a))_\alpha + \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0 \end{aligned}$$

By (1), (2) and Lemma (2.13)(i), we get:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0.$$

(ii) Replace β for α in (i), we get (ii).

(iii) Replacing $\alpha + \beta$ for α in (ii), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_{\alpha+\beta} \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_{\alpha+\beta} = 0 \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha + \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\beta + \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\beta \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha + \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\beta \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\beta = 0 \end{aligned}$$

By (i) and (ii), we get:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\beta +$$

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\beta \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\beta \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\beta = 0 \\ & = - G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\beta \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha \\ & G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\beta \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since left ΓM - module X is prime , then:

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \alpha \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \alpha G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\beta = 0.$$

III. The Main Result

Theorem(3.1): Every Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a Γ -ring M into a prime left ΓM - module X is either (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism or (σ, τ) -higher anti homomorphism.

Proof: Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism of a Γ -ring M into a prime left ΓM - module X , then by Lemma (2.14)(i):

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha \beta \phi_n(\sigma^n(m)) \beta G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0$$

Since left ΓM - module X is prime , therefore either $G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha = 0$ or

$$G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } a, b, c, d \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ and } n \in N.$$

If $G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha \neq 0$, for all $c, d \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$ then

$$G_n(\sigma^n(a), \sigma^n(b))_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } a, b \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ and } n \in N, \text{ hence, we get}$$

θ is a (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism .

But if $G_n(\tau^n(d), \tau^n(c))_\alpha = 0$, for all $c, d \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$,then

θ is a (σ, τ) -higher anti homomorphism.

Proposition (3.2): Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in N}$ be a Jordan (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism from a Γ -ring M into a 2-torsion free left ΓM - module X , such that $a\phi b\phi c = a\phi b\phi c$, for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \sigma^{i^2} = \sigma^i, \tau^{i^2} = \tau^i, \sigma^i \tau^i = \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}$ and $\sigma^i \tau^i = \tau^i \sigma^i$, then θ is a Jordan triple

(σ, τ) -higher homomorphism.

Proof: Replace b by $a\beta b + b\beta a$ in Definition (2.3) , we get :

$$\phi_n(a\alpha(a\beta b + b\beta a) + (a\beta b + b\beta a)\alpha a)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a\beta b + b\beta a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a\beta b + b\beta a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a)\beta \tau^i(b) + \tau^i(b)\beta \tau^i(a)) +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)\beta \sigma^i(b) + \sigma^i(b)\beta \sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^j(a)) \beta \phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(b)) + \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^j(b)) \beta \phi_j(\tau^{j^2}(a)) \right) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^{j^2}(a)) \beta \phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^j(b)) + \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^{j^2}(b)) \beta \phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^j(a)) \right) \alpha \phi_i \tau^i(a) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^i(a)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^{i^2}(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^i(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^{i^2}(a)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(a)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i \sigma^i(b)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^{i^2}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a))
 \end{aligned}$$

Since X is left ΓM - module , $\sigma^{i^2} = \sigma^i$, $\tau^{i^2} = \tau^i$, $\sigma^i \tau^i = \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}$ and $\sigma^i \tau^i = \tau^i \sigma^i$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \beta \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a))
 \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1)$$

On the other hand:

$$\phi_n(a\alpha(a\beta b + b\beta a) + (a\beta b + b\beta a)\alpha a) = \phi_n(a\alpha a\beta b + a\alpha b\beta a + a\beta b\alpha a + b\beta a\alpha a)$$

Since $a\alpha b\beta c = a\beta b\alpha c$, for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \phi_n(a\alpha a\beta b + b\beta a\alpha a) + 2\phi_n(a\alpha b\beta a) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \beta \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\
 &\quad 2\phi_n(a\alpha b\beta a) \quad \dots(2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Compare (1) and (2), we get:

$$2\phi_n(a\alpha b\beta a) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \beta \phi_i(\tau^i(a)).$$

Since X is a 2-torsion free left ΓM - module , we obtain that θ is a Jordan triple (σ, τ) -higher homomorphism .

References

- [1] W.E.Barnes, "On The Γ -Rings of Nobusawa", Pacific J.Math., Vol.18(3), pp.411-422 , (1966) .
- [2] N. Jacobson and C.E. Rickart , " Jordan Homomorphisms of Rings", Trans. Amer.Math. Soc., Vol.69, pp.479-502,(1950) .
- [3] F.R.Jarullah, "On (σ, τ) - Homomorphisms on prime Γ -Rings " , M.Sc.Thesis, Department of Mathematics, college of Education, Al-Mustansirya University,(2015) .
- [4] A.C.Paul and A.K.Halder, "Jordan Left Derivations of Two Torsion Free ΓM -modules", Journal of Physical Sciences, Vol.13, pp.13-19, (2009).
- [5] S.M. Salih, "On Prime Γ -Rings with Derivations", Ph.D.Thesis, Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Al-Mustansirya University, (2010).
- [6] M.Sapanci and Nakajima, "Jordan Derivations on Completely Prime Gamma Rings", Math. Japonica, Vol.46 (1), pp.47-51, (1997).
- [7] R.C. Shaheen, "On Higher Homomorphisms of Completely Prime Gamma Rings", Journal of Al-Qadisiyah For Pure Science, Vol.13(2), pp.1-9,(2008) .