

## Regular Weakly Generalized Locally Closed Sets in Ideal Topological Spaces

<sup>1</sup>S.Maragathavalli and <sup>2</sup>C.R.Parvathy

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College, Udumalpet, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, PSGR Krishnammal College for women, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India

**Abstract:** In this paper we have introduced the concept of regular weakly generalized locally closed sets in ideal topological spaces. Properties and characterizations are discussed.

**Keywords:**  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{-lc}$  set,  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}^*$  set,  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}^{**}$  set.

### I. Introduction

A nonempty collection  $I$  of subsets on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a topological ideal [3] if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) If  $A \in I$  and  $B \subset A$  implies  $B \in I$  (heredity)
- (ii) If  $A \in I$  and  $B \in I$ , then  $A \cup B \in I$  (finite additivity)

Local function in topological spaces using ideals was introduced by Kuratowski [3]. Donchev [2] introduced the concept of  $I$ -locally closed sets. After that Navaneetha Krishnan and Sivaraj [4] introduced  $I$ -locally  $*$ -closed sets and  $I_g$ -locally  $*$ -closed sets.

### II. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.:** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is called

- (i)  $I$ -locally  $*$ -closed [4] if there exist an open set  $U$  and a  $*$ -closed set  $F$  such that  $A = U \cap F$ ,
- (ii)  $I_g$ -locally  $*$ -closed [4] if there exist an  $I_g$ -open set  $U$  and a  $*$ -closed set  $F$  such that  $A = U \cap F$ .

**Definition 2.2:** For a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be

- (i)  $A \subseteq \text{GLC}^*(X, \tau)$  [1] if there exist a  $g$ -open set  $U$  and a closed set  $F$  of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A = U \cap F$ ,
- (ii)  $A \subseteq \text{GLC}^{**}(X, \tau)$  [1] if there exist a open set  $U$  and a  $g$ -closed set  $F$  of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A = U \cap F$ .

**Definition 2.3:** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is called a

- (i)  $\text{rpsIlc}$ -set [5] if there exists a  $\text{rpsI}$ -open set  $U$  and a  $\text{rpsI}$ -closed set  $F$  of  $(X, \tau, I)$  such that  $A = U \cap F$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{rpsIlc}^*$ -set [5] if there exists a  $\text{rpsI}$ -open set  $U$  and a closed set  $F$  of  $(X, \tau, I)$  such that  $A = U \cap F$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{rpsIlc}^{**}$ -set [5] if there exists a open set  $U$  and a  $\text{rpsI}$ -closed set  $F$  of  $(X, \tau, I)$  such that  $A = U \cap F$ .

### III. $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{LC}$ SETS AND $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{LC}^*$ SETS

In this section, regular weakly generalized locally closed sets are and introduced.

**Definition 3.1:** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological spaces  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be a regular weakly generalized locally closed ( $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}$ ) set if  $A = U \cap F$  where  $U$  is  $I_{\text{rwg}}$ -open and  $F$  is  $I_{\text{rwg}}$ -closed in  $X$ .

**Definition 3.2:** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}^*$  if there exist an  $I_{\text{rwg}}$ -open set  $U$  and a closed set  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $A = U \cap F$ .

**Definition 3.3:** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological spaces  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}^{**}$  if there exist a open set  $U$  and a  $I_{\text{rwg}}$ -closed set  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $A = U \cap F$ .

The collection of all  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}$ - sets ( resp.  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}^*$  and  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}^{**}$  ) of  $(X, \tau, I)$  is denoted by  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{LC}$  in  $(X, \tau)$  ( resp.  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{LC}^*(X, \tau)$  and  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{LC}^{**}(X, \tau)$  ).

**Theorem 3.4:** For a ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  the following implications hold.

- (i)  $\text{ILC}(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{IRWGLC}^*(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{IRWGLC}(X, \tau)$
- (ii)  $\text{ILC}(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{IRWGLC}^{**}(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{IRWGLC}(X, \tau)$

The reverse implications need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.5:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ ,  $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ , then  $I_g\text{lc}$  closed sets are  $\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$  and the  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}$  sets are  $\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . Hence  $\{a, c\}$  is an  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}$  sets but not  $\text{Ilc}$  set.

**Example 3.6:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ ,  $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ , then  $I_g\text{lc}$  closed sets are  $\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$  and the  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}^*$  sets are  $\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ . Hence  $\{a, d\}$  are  $I_{\text{rwg}}\text{lc}^*$  sets but not  $\text{Ilc}$  set.

$I_{rwg} cl(A)$  is the smallest  $I_{rwg}$ -closed set containing  $A$ .

**Theorem 3.7:** Let  $A$  be any subset of  $X$ , then

- (i)  $A$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed in  $X$  if and only if  $A = I_{rwg} cl(A)$
- (ii)  $I_{rwg} cl(A)$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed in  $X$
- (iii)  $x \in I_{rwg} cl(A)$  if and only if  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for every  $I_{rwg}$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$ .

**Proof:** (i) and (ii) are trivially true.

(iii) Suppose that there exists an  $I_{rwg}$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $A \cap U = \emptyset$ . Since  $X - U$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed and  $A \subseteq X - U$ ,  $I_{rwg} cl(A) \subseteq X - U$ . Therefore  $x \notin I_{rwg} cl(A)$ . Conversely suppose that  $x \notin I_{rwg} cl(A)$ . Then  $U = X - I_{rwg} cl(A)$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open set containing  $x$  and  $A \cap U = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.8:** For a subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $A \in I_{rwg} LC(X, \tau)$
- (ii)  $A = U \cap I_{rwg} cl(A)$  for some  $I_{rwg}$ -open set  $U$ .
- (iii)  $I_{rwg} cl(A) - A$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed.
- (iv)  $A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open.

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose  $A \in I_{rwg} LC(X, \tau)$ . Then there exists an  $I_{rwg}$ -open subset  $U$  and  $I_{rwg}$ -closed subset  $F$  such that  $A = U \cap F$ . Since  $A \subseteq U$  and  $A \subseteq I_{rwg} cl(A)$ ,  $A \subseteq U \cap I_{rwg} cl(A)$ . Also by Theorem 3.7,  $I_{rwg} cl(A)$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed in  $X$ . Hence  $I_{rwg} cl(A) \subseteq F$  and  $U \cap I_{rwg} cl(A) \subseteq U \cap F = A$ . Therefore  $A = U \cap I_{rwg} cl(A)$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By Theorem 3.7,  $I_{rwg} cl(A)$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed and hence  $A = U \cap I_{rwg} cl(A) \in I_{rwg} LC(X, \tau)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) Let  $S = I_{rwg} cl(A) - A$ . Then, by assumption  $S$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed which implies  $X - S$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open and  $X - S = X \cap (X - S) = X \cap ((X - (I_{rwg} cl(A) - A)) = A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A)))$ . Thus  $A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $W = A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))$ . Then  $W$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open. This implies that  $X - W$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed and  $X - W = X - (A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))) = I_{rwg} cl(A) \cap X - A = I_{rwg} cl(A) - A$ . Thus  $I_{rwg} cl(A) - A$  is closed.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $U = A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))$ . Then  $U$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open.  $U \cap I_{rwg} cl(A) = (A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))) \cap I_{rwg} cl(A) = (I_{rwg} cl(A) \cap A) \cup (I_{rwg} cl(A) \cap (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))) = A \cup \emptyset = A$ . Therefore,  $A = U \cap I_{rwg} cl(A)$  for some  $I_{rwg}$ -open set  $U$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) Let  $A = U \cap I_{rwg} cl(A)$ , for some  $I_{rwg}$ -open set  $U$ .  $A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A)) = (U \cap I_{rwg} cl(A)) \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A)) = U \cap (I_{rwg} cl(A) \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))) = U \cap X = U$ , is  $I_{rwg}$ -open.

**Theorem 3.9:** For a subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $A \in I_{rwg} LC^*(X, \tau)$
- (ii)  $A = U \cap cl(A)$  for some  $I_{rwg}$ -open set  $U$ .
- (iii)  $cl^*(int(A)) - A$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed.
- (iv)  $A \cup (X - cl^*(int(A)))$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open.

**Proof:** The proof is similar to that of above theorem

**Theorem 3.10:** Let  $A$  be a subset of  $(X, \tau, I)$ . If  $A \in I_{rwg} LC^{**}(X, \tau)$  then  $I_{rwg} cl(A) - A$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed and  $A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open.

**Proof:** Let  $A \in I_{rwg} LC^{**}(X, \tau)$ . Then there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $A = U \cap I_{rwg} cl(A)$ .  $A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A)) = (U \cap I_{rwg} cl(A)) \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A)) = U \cap (I_{rwg} cl(A) \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))) = U \cap X = U$ , is open. Since every open set is  $I_{rwg}$ -open,  $A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open. Let  $W = A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))$ . Then  $W$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open implies  $X - W$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed and  $X - W = X - (A \cup (X - I_{rwg} cl(A))) = I_{rwg} cl(A) \cap X - A = I_{rwg} cl(A) - A$ . Thus  $I_{rwg} cl(A) - A$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.11:** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $(X, \tau, I)$ . If  $A \in I_{rwg} LC(X, \tau)$  and  $B$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open, then  $A \cap B \in I_{rwg} LC(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A \in I_{rwg} LC(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A = U \cap F$  where  $U$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open and  $F$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -closed. So  $A \cap B = U \cap F \cap B = U \cap B \cap F$ . This implies that  $A \cap B \in I_{rwg} LC(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.12:** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $(X, \tau, I)$ . If  $A \in I_{rwg} LC^*(X, \tau)$  and  $B \in I_{rwg} LC^*(X, \tau)$  then  $A \cap B \in I_{rwg} LC^*(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A$  and  $B \in I_{rwg} LC^*(X, \tau)$ . Then there exists  $I_{rwg}$ -open sets  $P$  and  $Q$  such that  $A = P \cap cl(A)$  and  $B = Q \cap cl(B)$ . Therefore  $A \cap B = P \cap cl(A) \cap Q \cap cl(B) = P \cap Q \cap cl(A) \cap cl(B)$  where  $P \cap Q$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open and  $cl(A)$  and  $cl(B)$  is closed. This shows that  $A \cap B \in I_{rwg} LC^*(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.13:** If  $A \in I_{rwg} LC^{**}(X, \tau)$  and  $B$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open, then  $A \cap B \in I_{rwg} LC^{**}(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A \in I_{rwg} LC^{**}(X, \tau)$ . Then there exists an open set  $U$  and an  $I_{rwg}$ -closed set  $F$  such that  $A = U \cap F$ . So  $A \cap B = U \cap F \cap B = U \cap B \cap F$ . This proves that  $A \cap B \in I_{rwg} LC^{**}(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.14:** Let  $A$  and  $Z$  be subsets of  $(X, \tau, I)$  and let  $A \subseteq Z$ . If  $Z$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open in  $(X, \tau, I)$  and  $A \in I_{rwg} LC^*(Z, \tau/Z)$ , then  $A \in I_{rwg} LC^*(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $A$  is  $I_{rwg} lc^*$ , then there exist an  $I_{rwg}$ -open set  $U$  of  $(Z, \tau/Z)$  such that  $A = U \cap cl_Z(A)$ . But  $cl_Z(A) = Z \cap cl(A)$ . Therefore,  $A = U \cap Z \cap cl(A)$  where  $U \cap Z$  is  $I_{rwg}$ -open. Thus  $A \in I_{rwg} LC^*(X, \tau)$ .

### References

- [1]. Arochiarani. I, Balachandran. K and Ganster. M, Regular generalized locally closed sets and RGL-continuous functions, Indian J. pure appl. Math. 28(5), 661-669, 1997.
- [2]. Dotchev.J, Ganster. M, Rose.D, Ideal reslivability. Topology and its applications, 93(1):(1999), 1-16
- [3]. Kuratowski.K , Topology, Vol I, Academic Press, New Yprk, 1966.
- [4]. Navaneethakrishnan.M and Sivaraj. D, Generalized locally closed sets in Ideal topological spaces, Bulletin of the Allahabad Mathematical Society, Golden Jubilee year Volume 2008.
- [5]. Sakthi Sathya.B,Murugesan.S, Regular presemi I locally closed sets in ideal topological spaces, Asian Journal of current Engineering and Maths, Oct(2013) 312-315.