

On Weak m-power Commutative Near – rings and weak (m,n) power Commutative Near –rings

G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy¹, S.Geetha² and S.Anitha³

¹Sri krishnasamy Arts and Science College, Sattur Tamilnadu.

²Dept. of Mathematics, Pannai College of Engineering and Technology, Keelakkandani, Sivagangai

³Dept. of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Government Arts College, Sivagangai

Abstract: A right near – ring N is called weak commutative if $xyz = xzy$ for every $x, y, z \in N$ (Definition 9.4 [10]). A right near – ring N is called pseudo commutative (Definition 2.1 [11]) if $xyz = zyx$ for all $x, y, z \in N$. A right near – ring N is called quasi – weak commutative (Definition 2.1 [7]) if $xyz = yxz$ for all $x, y, z \in N$. We call a right near – ring N to be weak m – power commutative if $xy^m z = xz^m y$ for all $x, y, z \in N$. N is said to be weak (m, n) power commutative near – ring if $xy^m z^n = xz^m y^n$ for all $x, y, z \in N$. In this paper we study and establish various results of weak m – power commutative near – ring and weak (m, n) power commutative near – ring.

I. Introduction

S.Uma, R.Balakrishnan and T.Tamizhchelvam [11] called a near- ring N to be pseudo commutative if $xyz = zyx$ for every $x, y, z \in N$. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy and S.Geetha [4] called a ring R to be m power commutative if $x^m y = y^m x$ for all $x, y \in R$ where $m \geq 1$ is a fixed integer. They also called a ring R to be (m, n) power commutative if $x^m y^n = y^m x^n$ for all $x, y \in R$ where $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ are fixed integers. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy and R.Veega [6] called a near – ring N to be pseudo m - power commutative if $x^m y z = z y^m x$ for all $x, y, z \in N$ where $m \geq 1$ is a fixed integer. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy, N.Kamaraj and S.Geetha [7] defined a near – ring N to be Quasi – weak commutative if $xyz = yxz$ for all $x, y, z \in N$. In this paper we define weak m – power commutative near – ring and weak (m, n) power commutative near – ring and establish some results.

II. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper N denotes a right near – ring with atleast two elements. For any non-empty set $A \subseteq N$, we denote $A - \{0\}$ by A^* . In this section we present some known definitions and results which are useful in the development of this paper.

2.1 Definition [10]:

A near – ring N is called weak-commutative if $xyz = xzy$ for every $x, y, z \in N$.

2.2 Definition:

A right near- ring N is called weak anti-commutative if $xyz = -xzy$ for every $x, y, z \in N$.

III. Weak m- power commutative near - rings

3.1 Definition:

Let N be a near – ring. N is said to be weak m - power commutative if $xy^m z = xz^m y$ for all $x, y, z \in N$, where $m \geq 1$ is a fixed integer.

3.2 Definition:

Let N be a near – ring. N is said to be weak m - power anti-commutative if $xy^m z = -xz^m y$ for all $x, y, z \in N$, where $m \geq 1$ is a fixed integer.

3.3 Lemma :

Let N be a distributive near – ring. If $xyz = \pm xzy$ for all $x, y, z \in N$ then N is either Weak Commutative or Weak anti – Commutative.

Proof:

For each $a \in N$, let

$$C_a = \{ x \in N / xaz = xza \ \forall z \in N \}$$

$$A_a = \{ x \in N / xaz = -xza \ \forall z \in N \}$$

By the hypothesis of the lemma,

$$N = C_a \cup A_a$$

We note that if $x, y \in C_a$, then $x - y \in C_a$.

$$\text{For } x, y \in C_a \text{ implies } xaz = +xza \ \forall z \in N \quad \rightarrow (1)$$

$$\text{and } yaz = +yza \ \forall z \in N \quad \rightarrow (2)$$

$$(1) - (2) \text{ gives}$$

$$(x - y)az = (x - y)za \quad \forall z \in N$$

which implies $(x - y) \in C_a$.

Similarly, if $x, y \in A_a$, then $x - y \in A_a$.

We claim that either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

Suppose $N \neq C_a$ and $N \neq A_a$, then there are elements $b \in C_a - A_a$ and $d \in A_a - C_a$.

Now $b + d \in N = C_a \cup A_a$.

If $b + d \in C_a$ then $d = (b + d) - b \in C_a$, a contradiction.

If $b + d \in A_a$ then $b = (b + d) - d \in A_a$, again a contradiction.

Hence either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

Let $A = \{ a \in N / C_a = N \}$

and $B = \{ a \in N / A_a = N \}$

Clearly $N = A \cup B$.

We note that that if $x, y \in A$, then $x - y \in A$.

For if $x, y \in A \Rightarrow C_x = N$ and $C_y = N$.

This implies $xza = xaz$ and $zya = yaz$ for all $a, z \in N$,

So $(x - y)za = (x - y)az$ for all $a, z \in N$, which proves that $x - y \in A$.

Similarly, if $x, y \in B$, then $x - y \in B$.

We claim that either $N = A$ or $N = B$.

Suppose $N \neq A$ and $N \neq B$, there are elements $u \in A - B$ and $v \in B - A$.

Now, $u + v \in N = A \cup B$.

If $u + v \in A$, then $v = (u + v) - u \in A$, a contradiction.

If $u + v \in B$, then $u = (u + v) - v \in B$, again a contradiction.

Hence either $N = A$ or $N = B$.

This proves that N is either weak commutative or weak anti – commutative.

3.4 Lemma:

Let N be a near – ring (not necessarily associative). If $x y^m z = \pm x z^m y$ for all $x, y, z \in N$, then N is either weak m – power commutative or weak m – power anti – commutative.

Proof:

For each $a \in N$, let

$$C_a = \{ x \in N / xa^m z = xz^m a \quad \forall z \in N \}$$

$$A_a = \{ x \in N / xa^m z = -xz^m a \quad \forall z \in N \}$$

By the hypothesis of the lemma,

$$N = C_a \cup A_a$$

We note that, if $x, y \in C_a$ then $x - y \in C_a$

$$\text{For } x, y \in C_a \text{ implies } xa^m z = xz^m a \quad \forall z \in N \quad \rightarrow (1)$$

$$\text{and } ya^m z = yz^m a \quad \forall z \in N \quad \rightarrow (2)$$

Equation (1) – (2) gives,

$$(x - y)a^m z = (x - y)z^m a \quad \forall z \in N.$$

$$\Rightarrow (x - y) \in C_a.$$

Similarly $x, y \in A_a$ implies $x - y \in A_a$.

We claim that either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

Suppose $N \neq C_a$ and $N \neq A_a$, there are elements $b \in C_a - A_a$ and $d \in A_a - C_a$.

Now, $b + d \in N = C_a \cup A_a$.

If $b + d \in C_a$ then $d = (b + d) - b \in C_a$, a contradiction.

Similarly, if $b + d \in A_a$, then $b = (b + d) - d \in A_a$, again a contradiction.

Hence either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

Let $A = \{ a \in N / C_a = N \}$

and $B = \{ a \in N / A_a = N \}$

Clearly $N = A \cup B$.

We note that if $x, y \in A$ implies $x - y \in A$.

For if $x, y \in A$ implies $C_x = N$ and $C_y = N$.

This implies $xz^m a = xa^m z$ and $yz^m a = ya^m z$ for all $a, z \in N$.

So, $(x - y)z^m a = (x - y)a^m z$ for all $a, z \in N$, which proves that $x - y \in A$.

Similarly $x, y \in B$ implies $x - y \in B$.

We claim that either $N = A$ or $N = B$.

Suppose $N \neq A$ and $N \neq B$, there are elements $u \in A - B$ and $v \in B - A$.

Now, $u + v \in N = A \cup B$.

If $u + v \in A$, then $v = (u + v) - u \in A$, a contradiction.

If $u + v \in B$, then $u = (u + v) - v \in B$, again a contradiction.

Hence either $N = A$ or $N = B$.

This proves that N is either weak m – power commutative or weak m - power anti – commutative.

3.5 Note:

When $m = 1$, we get Lemma 3.3.

3.6 Definition:

Let N be a near-ring and $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ be fixed integers. N is said to be weak – (m,n)

Power commutative, if $xy^mz^n = xz^my^n$ for all $x,y,z \in N$.

3.7 Definition:

Let N be a near-ring and $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ be fixed integers. N is said to be weak – (m,n)

Power anti - commutative, if $xy^mz^n = - xz^my^n$ for all $x,y,z \in N$.

3.8 Lemma:

Let N be a near – ring (not necessarily associative) satisfying $(x-y)^k = x^k - y^k$ for

$k = m,n$ where $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ are fixed integers. If $xy^mz^n = \pm xz^my^n$ for all $x,y,z \in N$, then N is either weak (m,n) power Commutative or weak - (m,n) power anti-commutative.

Proof:

For each $a \in N$, let

$$C_a = \{ x \in N / xa^mz^n = xz^ma^n \quad \forall z \in N \}$$

$$A_a = \{ x \in N / xa^mz^n = - xz^ma^n \quad \forall z \in N \}$$

By the hypothesis of the lemma,

$$N = C_a \cup A_a$$

We note that, if $x,y \in C_a$ then $x - y \in C_a$

$$\text{For } x,y \in C_a \text{ implies } xa^mz^n = xz^ma^n \quad \forall z \in N \quad \rightarrow (1)$$

$$\text{and } ya^mz^n = yz^ma^n \quad \forall z \in N \quad \rightarrow (2)$$

Equation (1) – (2) gives,

$$(x - y)a^mz^n = (x - y)z^ma^n \quad \forall z \in N.$$

$$\Rightarrow (x - y) \in C_a.$$

Similarly $x, y \in A_a$ implies $x - y \in A_a$.

We claim that either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

Suppose $N \neq C_a$ and $N \neq A_a$, there are elements $b \in C_a - A_a$ and $d \in A_a - C_a$.

Now, $b + d \in N = C_a \cup A_a$.

If $b + d \in C_a$ then $d = (b + d) - b \in C_a$, a contradiction.

Similarly, if $b + d \in A_a$, then $b = (b + d) - d \in A_a$, again a contradiction.

Hence either $N = C_a$ or $N = A_a$.

$$\text{Let } A = \{ a \in N / C_a = N \}$$

$$\text{and } B = \{ a \in N / A_a = N \}$$

Clearly $N = A \cup B$.

We note that if $x,y \in A$ implies $x - y \in A$.

For if $x,y \in A$ implies $C_x = N$ and $C_y = N$.

This implies $xz^ma^n = xa^mz^n$ and $yz^ma^n = ya^mz^n$ for all $a,z \in N$.

So, $(x - y)z^ma^n = (x - y)a^mz^n$ for all $a,z \in N$, which proves that $x - y \in A$.

Similarly $x,y \in B$ implies $x - y \in B$.

We claim that either $N = A$ or $N = B$.

Suppose $N \neq A$ and $N \neq B$, there are elements $u \in A - B$ and $v \in B - A$.

Now, $u + v \in N = A \cup B$.

If $u + v \in A$, then $v = (u + v) - u \in A$, a contradiction.

If $u + v \in B$, then $u = (u + v) - v \in B$, again a contradiction.

Hence either $N = A$ or $N = B$.

This proves that N is either weak (m,n) – power commutative or weak(m,n) – power anti – commutative.

3.9 Note :

When $m = n = 1$, we get Lemma 3.3.

When $n = 1$, we get Lemma 3.4.

References

[1]. H.E.Bell, Quasi Centres, Quasi Commutators, and Ring Commutativity, Acta Maths, Hungary 4(1 – 2)(1983), 127 – 136.
 [2]. L.O.Chung and Jiang Luh, Scalar Central elements in an algebra over a Principal ideal domain, Acta Sci. Maths 41,(1979), 289 – 293.
 [3]. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy and R.Veega, On Quasi – Periodic, Generalised Quasi-Periodic Algebras, Jour. of Inst. of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Vol 23, No 2 (2010).

- [4]. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy and S.Geetha, On (m,n) – Power Commutativity of rings and Scalar (m,n) – Power Commutativity of Algebras, Jour. of Mathematical Sciences, Vol 24(3), 2013, 97-110.
- [5]. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy and R.Veega, On Scalar Power Central Elements in an Algebra over a Principal ideal domain, Jour. of Mathematical Sciences, Vol 24(3), 2013, 111-128.
- [6]. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy and R.Veega, On pseudo m – Power Commutative near – rings and Pseudo (m,n) Power Commutative near – rings, International Journal of Mathematical Research and Science, Vol (4), 2013, 71 – 80.
- [7]. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy, Kamaraj and S.Geetha, On quasi – weak Commutative Near – rings, International Journal of Mathematics Research, Vol 5(5), 2013, 431- 440.
- [8]. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy, S.Geetha and S.Anitha, On Quasi – weak Commutative Boolean – like Near - Rings, Malaya Journal of Matematik, accepted.
- [9]. G.Gopalakrishnamoorthy, S.Geetha and S.Anitha, On Quasi Weak Commutative Near – Rings II, Malaya Journal of Matematik, accepted.
- [10]. Pilz Günter, Near – rings, North Holland, Amersterdam, 1983.
- [11]. S.Uma, R.Balakrishnan and T.Tamizh Chelvam, Pseudo Commutative Near- rings, Scientia Magna, Vol (2010), No 2, 75-85.