

Properties (T) and (Gt) for f (T) Type Operators

Adel Babbah¹, Mohamed Zohry², Mohamed Arrazaki³, Anass Elhaddadi⁴

¹(University AbdelmalekEssaadi, Faculty of sciences, Mathematics Department, B.P. 2121, Tetouan, Morocco)

²(University AbdelmalekEssaadi, Faculty of sciences, Mathematics Department, B.P. 2121, Tetouan, Morocco)

³(University AbdelmalekEssaadi, Faculty of sciences, Mathematics Department, B.P. 2121, Tetouan, Morocco)

⁴(Département of Mathématiques&Informatic, ENSA Al-Hoceima, Morocco)

Abstract: in this paper we establish the relation with property (t) and (gt) introduced by M. H. M. RACHID in [1] and spectral mapping theorem. We will special establish several sufficient and necessary conditions for which $f(T)$ verify the (t) and (gt) as f an analytic function on the T spectrum and see the validity of these results for the semi- Browder operators. Analogously we ask question about the conditions for which the spectral theory hold for generalized a -weyl's theorem and generalized a -browder's theorem [18].

Keywords: generalized a -weyl's theorem. Generalized a -browder's theorem. Semi-Browder operators. Property (t). Property (gt). Spectral theory.

I. Introduction and Preliminary

Throughout this paper, X denote an infinite-dimensional complex space, $L(X)$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X . For $T \in L(X)$, let T^* , $\ker(T)$, $R(T)$, $\sigma(T)$, $\sigma_a(T)$ and $\sigma_s(T)$ denote the adjoint, the null space, the range, the spectrum, the approximate point spectrum and the surjectivity spectrum of T respectively. Let $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ be the nullity and deficiency of T defined by $\alpha(T) = \dim \ker(T)$ and $\beta(T) = \text{codim } R(T)$. Let $SF_+(X) = \{T \in L(X) : \alpha(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T) \text{ is closed}\}$ and $SF_-(X) = \{T \in L(X) : \beta(T) < \infty\}$ denote the semi-group of upper semi-Fredholm and lower semi-Fredholm operators on X respectively. If both $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ are finite, then T is called Fredholm operator. If T is semi-Fredholm then the index of T is defined by $\text{ind}(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$.

A bounded linear operator T acting on a Banach space X is Weyl if it is Fredholm of index zero and Browder if T is Fredholm of finite ascent and descent. Let \mathbb{C} denote the set of complex numbers. The Weyl spectrum and Browder spectrum of T are defined by $\sigma_w(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not Weyl}\}$ and $\sigma_b(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not Browder}\}$ respectively. For $T \in L(X)$, $SF_+(X) = \{T \in SF_+(X) : \text{ind}(T) \leq 0\}$. Then the upper Weyl spectrum of T is defined by

$\sigma_{SF_+}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \notin SF_+(X)\}$. Let $\Delta(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_w(T)$ and $\Delta_a(T) = \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+}(T)$. Following

Cuburn [10], we say that Weyl's theorem holds for $T \in L(X)$ if $\Delta(T) = E^0(T)$, where $E^0(T) = \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T) : 0 < \alpha(T - \lambda) < \infty\}$. Here and elsewhere in this paper, for $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\text{iso } K$ is the set of isolated points of K .

According to Rakocevic [20], an operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to satisfy a -Weyl's theorem if $E_a^0(T) = \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+}(T)$, where $E_a^0(T) = \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_a(T) : 0 < \alpha(T - \lambda) < \infty\}$.

It is known from [20] that an operator satisfying a -Weyl's theorem satisfies Weyl's theorem, but the converse does not hold in general. For $T \in L(X)$ and a non negative integer n define $T_{[n]}$ to be the restriction T to $R(T^n)$ viewed as a map for $R(T^n)$ to $R(T^n)$ (in particular $T_{[0]} = T$). If for some integer n the range space $R(T^n)$ is closed and $T_{[n]}$ is an upper (resp., lower) semi-Fredholm operator, then T is called upper (resp., lower) semi-B-Fredholm operator.

In this case index of T is defined as the index of semi-Fredholm operator $T_{[n]}$. Moreover, if $T_{[n]}$ is a Fredholm operator then T is called a B-Fredholm operator. An operator T is said to be B-Weyl operator if it is a B-Fredholm operator of index zero. Let $\sigma_{BW}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not B-Weyl}\}$. Recall that the ascent, $a(T)$, of an operator $T \in L(X)$ is the smallest non negative integer p such that $\ker(T^p) = \ker(T^{p+1})$ and if such integer does not exist we put $a(T) = \infty$. Analogously the descent, $d(T)$, of an operator $T \in L(X)$ is the smallest non negative integer q such that $R(T^q) = R(T^{q+1})$ and if such integer does exist we put $d(T) = \infty$. According to Berkani [3], an operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to be Drazin invertible if it has finite ascent and descent. The Drazin spectrum of T is defined by $\sigma_D(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not Drazin invertible}\}$. Define the set $LD(X) = \{T \in L(X) : a(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T^{a(T)+1}) \text{ is closed}\}$ and $\sigma_{LD}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \notin LD(X)\}$. Following [4], an operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to be left Drazin invertible if $T \in LD(X)$. We say that $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ is a left pole of T if $T - \lambda \in LD(X)$, and that $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ is a left pole of T of finite rank if λ is a left pole of T and $\alpha(T - \lambda) < \infty$ [4, Definition 2.6]. Let $\pi_a(T)$ denotes the set of all left poles of T and let $\pi_a^0(T)$ denotes set of all left poles of finite rank. It follows from [4, theorem 2.8] that if $T \in L(X)$ is left Drazin invertible, then T is upper semi-B-

Fredholm of index less than or equal to 0. We say that *Browder's theorem* holds for $T \in L(X)$ if $\Delta(T) = \pi^0(T)$, where $\pi^0(T)$ is the set of all poles of T of finite rank and that *a-Browder's theorem* holds for T if $\Delta_a(T) = \pi_a^0(T)$. Let $\Delta^g(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{\text{BW}}(T)$. Following [3], we say that *generalized Weyl's theorem* holds for $T \in L(X)$ if $\Delta^g(T) = E(T)$, $E(T)$ is the set of all eigenvalues of T which are isolated in $\sigma(T)$, and that *generalized Browder's Theorem* holds for T if $\Delta^g(T) = \pi(T)$, where $\pi(T)$ is the set of poles of T . It is proved in [8, theorem 2.1] that generalized Browder's theorem is equivalent to Browder's theorem.

Let $SBF_+^-(X)$ denote the class of all upper semi-B-Fredholm operators such that $\text{ind}(T) \leq 0$. The upper *B-Weyl spectrum* of T is defined by $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \notin SBF_+^-(X)\}$. Let $\Delta_a^g(T) = \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$. We say that $T \in L(X)$ satisfies *generalized a-Weyl's theorem*, if $E_a(T) = \sigma_a(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$, where $E_a(T)$ is the set of all eigenvalues of T which are isolated in $\sigma_a(T)$ and that $T \in L(X)$ satisfies *generalized a-Browder's theorem* if $\Delta_a^g(T) = \pi_a(T)$ [4, Definition 2.13]. It is proved in [8, theorem 2.2] that generalized a-Browder's theorem is equivalent to a-Browder's theorem.

Following [20], we say that $T \in L(X)$ satisfies property (w) if $\Delta_a(T) = E^0(T)$. The property (w) has been studied in [1, 5, 19]. In Theorem 2.8 of [5], it is shown that property (w) implies Weyl's theorem, but the converse is not true in general. We say that $T \in L(X)$ satisfies *property (gw)* if $\Delta_a^g(T) = E(T)$. Property (gw) extends (w) to the context of B-Fredholm theory, and it is proved in [9] that an operator possessing property (gw) satisfies property (w) but the converse is not true in general. According to [16], an operator $T \in L(X)$ is said to possess *property (gb)* if $\Delta_a^g(T) = \pi(T)$, and is said to possess *property (b)* if $\Delta_a(T) = \pi^0(T)$. It is shown in theorem 2.3 of [16] that an operator possessing property (gb) satisfies property (b) but the converse is not true in general. Following [10], we say an operator $T \in L(X)$, is said to be satisfies *property (R)* if $\pi_a^0(T) = E^0(T)$. In Theorem 2.4 of [8], it is shown that T satisfies property (w) if and only if T satisfies a-Browder's theorem and T satisfies property (R).

The single valued extension property plays an important role in local spectral theory, see the recent monograph of Laursen and Neumann [21] and Aiena [1]. In this article we shall consider the following local version of this property, which has been studied in recent papers. [5,20'] and previously by Finch [19]. Following [19] we say that $T \in L(X)$ has the *single-valued extension property (SVEP)* at point $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, if for every open neighborhood U_λ of λ , the only analytic function $f: U_\lambda \rightarrow X$ which satisfies the equation $(T - \mu)f(\mu) = 0$ is the constant function $f \equiv 0$. It is well-known that $T \in L(X)$ has SVEP at every point of the resolvent $\rho(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$. Moreover, from the identity Theorem for analytic function it easily follow that $T \in L(X)$ has SVEP at every point of the boundary $\partial\sigma(T)$ of the spectrum. In particular, T has SVEP at every isolated point of $\sigma(T)$. In [20, Proposition 1.8], Laursen proved that if T is of finite ascent, then T has SVEP.

Theorem 1.1. [18, Theorem 1.3] *if $T \in SF_\pm(X)$ the following statements are equivalents:*

- (i) T has SVEP at λ_0 .
- (ii) $a(T - \lambda_0 I) < \infty$.
- (iii) $\sigma_a(T)$ does not cluster at λ_0 .
- (iv) $H_0(T - \lambda_0 I)$ is finite dimensional.

By duality we have

Theorem 1.2. *if $T \in SF_\pm(X)$ the following statements are equivalents:*

- (i) T^* has SVEP at λ_0 .
- (ii) $d(T - \lambda_0 I) < \infty$.
- (iii) $\sigma_s(T)$ does not cluster at λ_0 .

According to M. H. M. Rashid, we say that $T \in L(X)$ satisfies *property (t)* if $\Delta_+(T) = E^0(T)$, where $\Delta_+(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SF_+^-}(T)$, and T satisfies *property (gt)* if $\Delta_+^g(T) = E(T)$, where $\Delta_+^g(T) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ [18, Definition 2.1]. It is shown in [18, Theorem 2.2] that if T satisfies property (gt), then T satisfies property (t). the converse of this Theorem does not hold in general. In seem reference it is proved that if T satisfies property (t), then T satisfies property (w) and we have equivalence if $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$. Analogously it is shown that if T satisfies property (gt), then T satisfies property (gw) and the equivalence hold is $\sigma(T) = \sigma_a(T)$. As a consequence in [2] it is proved that if T possesses property (t), then T satisfies Weyl's Theorem also T satisfies a-Browder's Theorem and $\pi_a^0(T) = E^0(T)$. More general if T possesses property (gt), then T satisfies generalized Weyl's Theorem also T satisfies generalized a-Browder's Theorem and $\pi_a(T) = E(T)$.

II. About generalized a- Weyl's and a-Browder's Theorems and SVEP

We start this part by this consequence

Corollary 2.1. *if T obey generalized a-Browder's Theorem then T has the SVEP at $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$*

Proof. If T obey generalized a-Browder's Theorem, then $\Delta_a^g(T) = \pi_a(T)$. Let $\lambda \in \pi_a(T)$ then λ is isolated in $\sigma_a(T)$, so $T - \lambda$ has SVEP en 0. (ie) T has SVEP at $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$ ([19])

Recall that for each $T \in L(X)$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(\sigma(T))$, then we have $f(\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(f(T))$.

We show now the relationship between the spectral mapping Theorem and generalized a-Weyl's Theorem.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $T \in L(X)$ obey to generalized a – Weyl's theorem then, for each $f \in \mathcal{H}(\sigma(T))$: $\sigma_a(f(T)) \setminus E_a(f(T)) = f(\sigma_a(T) \setminus E_a(T))$.*

Proof. For the direct inclusion we use the argument in [18, lemma 3. 89].

To prove the inverse inclusion, let $\lambda_0 \in f(\sigma_a(T) \setminus E_a(T))$. From the equality $f(\sigma_a(T)) = \sigma_a(f(T))$ we know that $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_a(f(T))$. Suppose that $\lambda_0 \in E_a(f(T))$, so λ_0 is isolated in $\sigma_a(f(T))$. Now we can write $\lambda_0 - f(T) = p(T)g(T)$, with $g(T)$ invertible and

$$(1) \quad p(T) = \prod_{i=1}^k (\lambda_i - T)^{n_i}.$$

From the equality (1) and the fact that T satisfies generalized a-Weyl's Theorem it follows any of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ must be an isolated point in $\sigma_a(T)$, hence an eigenvalue of T . Moreover, since λ_0 is an eigenvalue isolated in $\sigma_a(f(T))$ any λ_i must also be an eigenvalue isolated in $\sigma_a(T)$, so $\lambda_i \in E_a(T)$. This contradicts $\lambda_0 \in f(\sigma_a(T) \setminus E_a(T))$. Therefore $\lambda_0 \notin E_a(f(T))$, so we have the equality $\sigma_a(f(T)) \setminus E_a(f(T)) = f(\sigma_a(T) \setminus E_a(T))$ ■.

Corollary 2.3. If $T \in L(X)$ satisfies generalized a-Weyl's Theorem then $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(f(T)) = \sigma_a(f(T)) \setminus E_a(f(T))$, $\forall f \in \mathcal{H}(\sigma(T))$.

Proof. It's immediate from the previous result and the fact that $f(\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(f(T))$. ■

We should recall some results due to [19].

Theorem 2.4 [Aiena, Theorem 1.62] If $T \in SF_{\pm}(X)$ then T is essentially semi-regular.

Theorem 2.5. [Aiena, Theorem 1. 83] Suppose that $T \in L(X)$ is upper semi B-Fredholm. Then there exists an open disc $D(0, \varepsilon)$ centered at 0 such that $\lambda I - T$ is upper semi-Fredholm for all $\lambda \in D(0, \varepsilon) \setminus \{0\}$

and $\text{ind}(\lambda I - T) = \text{ind}(T)$, $\forall \lambda \in D(0, \varepsilon)$.

(T) AND (GT) FOR EACH f in $H(\sigma(T))$

Theorem 2.6 [1, Theorem 2.10 (i)] $T \in L(X)$ satisfies property (gt) equivalent to T satisfies generalized Weyl's theorem and

$$\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T)$$

Theorem 2.7 Let $T \in L(X)$, and T satisfies SVEP at $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$;

T satisfies property (gt) if and only if $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(f(T)) = \sigma(f(T)) \setminus \pi(f(T))$ for each $f \in H(\sigma(T))$.

Proof. Assumption T satisfies property (gt) imply that T satisfies generalized Weyl's theorem and $\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T) = \sigma_{BW}(T)$. Let $f \in H(\sigma(T))$, when T has SVEP then $f(T)$ has the SVEP at $\lambda \notin \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)$, so by [20,

theorem 10] $f(T)$ satisfies generalized Browder's theorem, (i.e) $\sigma_{BW}(f(T)) = \sigma(f(T)) \setminus \pi(f(T))$

then by $f(\sigma_{SBF_+^-}(T)) = \sigma_{SBF_+^-}(f(T))$. we have the result ■.

Acknowledgements

We are merciful for each remarks and note expressed from my supervisor Professor M. Zohry, and special appreciations for the work established by M.H.M. Rachid.

References

Journal Papers:

- [1]. M.H.M. Rachid, Properties (t) and (gt) for Bounded Linear Operators, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 11 (2014), 729-744.
- [2]. P.Aiena, P.Pena, Variations on Weyl's theorem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 324, 566-579 (2006).
- [3]. P.Aiena, M.T.Biondi, C.Carpintero, On Drazin invertibility. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 2839-2848 (2008).
- [4]. M.Berkani, Index of B-Fredholm operators and generalization of a Weyl Theorem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130, 1717-1723 (2001).
- [5]. M.Berkani, B-Weyl spectrum and poles of the resolvent, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 272, 596-603 (2002).
- [6]. M.Berkani, J.Koliha, Weyl type theorems for bounded linears operators, *Acta Sci. Math.* 69, 359-376 (2003).
- [7]. M.Berkani, N.Castro, S.V.Djordjevic, Single valued extension property and generalized Weyl's theorem, *Math. Bohem.* 131, 29-38 (2006).
- [8]. M.Berkani, H.Zariouh, Extended Weyl type theorems. *Math. Bohem.* 34, 369-378 (2009).
- [9]. M.Berkani, M.Sarih, H.Zariouh, Browder-type Theorems and SVEP, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 8 (3), 399-409 (2011).
- [10]. M.Amouch, H.Zguitti, On the equivalence of Browder's and generalized Browder's theorem. *Glasg. Math. J.* 48, 179-185 (2006).
- [11]. M.Amouch, M.Berkani, on the property (gw). *Mediterr. J. Math.* 5, 371-378 (2008).
- [12]. L.A.Coburn, Weyl's theorem for nonnormal operators. *Michigan Math. J.* 13, 285-288 (1966).
- [13]. J.K.Finch, The single valued extension property on a Banach space. *Pacific J. Math.* 58, 61-69 (1966).

- [14]. K.B.Larsen, Operators with finite ascent. *Pacific J. Math.* 152, 323-336 (1992).
- [15]. M.Oudghiri, Weyls and Browders theorem for operators satisfying the SVEP. *Studia Math.* 163, 85-101 (2004).
- [16]. V.Rakocevic, Operators obeying a-Weyl's theorem. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 10, 915-919 (1986).
- [17]. A. Babbah M. Zohry, On generalized Weyl's type theorem, *ISOR Journal of Mathematics*, Vol.10, Issue 2, Ver. IV, 35-41 (Mar-Apr. 2014).

Books:

- [1] P.Aiena, Fredholm and local spectral theory with applications to multipliers, *Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht (2004)*.
- [2] P.Aiena, Semi-Fredholm operators, perturbation theory and localized SVEP, MERIDA, VENEZUELA, 2 AL 7 DE SEPTIEMBRE DE 2007.
- [3] K.B.Larsen, M.M.Neumann, An introduction to local spectral theory, Oxford. Clarendon (2000).