

Extension of Some Theorems in General Metric Spaces

Salwa Salman Abed¹⁾, Ebtihal Nabil Jaluobe²⁾

^{1,2)}Department of Math., Coll. of Education for pure sciences Ibn Al-Haitham, University of Baghdad, Iraq

Corresponding Author: Salwa Salman Abed

salwaalbundi@yahoo.com

Abstract: We prove a version of Caristi-Kirk - Browder Theorem and Park's Theorem (Park, 198) and (Park and Rhoades, 1983) in G -metric space. And then give some corollaries.

Keywords: G -metric spaces, fixed point.

Date of Submission: 22-03-2018

Date of acceptance: 07-04-2018

I. Introduction

In 2006, a general metric space was introduced by Mustafa and Sims, as appropriate notion of generalized metric space called G -metric spaces as follows.

Definition (1.1) (Mustafa, Sims, 2006)

- (1) Let X be a non-empty set and $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function for all x, y, z, a in X satisfying the following conditions: $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$
- (2) $0 < G(x, x, y)$ with $x \neq y$
- (3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ with $y \neq z$
- (4) $G(x, y, z) = G(P(x, y, z))$, $P(x, y, z)$ is permutation of x, y, z
- (5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$

Then the ordered pair (X, G) is called a generalized metric or G -metric space. X is said to be symmetric if for all x, y in X

$$G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x).$$

Proposition (1.3) (Mustafa, Sims, 2006)

"Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then for any u, v, w , and $b \in X$, the following are satisfied

- (1) if $G(u, v, w) = 0$ Then $u = v = w$
- (2) $G(u, v, w) \leq G(u, u, v) + G(u, u, w)$
- (3) $G(u, v, v) \leq 2G(v, u, u)$
- (4) $G(u, v, w) \leq G(u, b, w) + G(b, v, w)$
- (5) $G(u, v, w) \leq 2/3(G(u, v, b) + G(u, b, w) + G(b, w, u))$
- (6) $G(u, v, w) \leq G(u, b, b) + G(v, b, b) + G(w, b, b)$ "

Definition (1.4) (Mustafa, Obiedat, Awawdeh, 2008)

"Let (X, G) be a G -metric space, let (x_n) be a sequence of points of X . A point $x \in X$ is said to be the limit of the sequence (x_n) if

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$$

Thus, that if $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ in a G -metric space (X, G) , then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq K$.

Definition (1.5) (Mustafa, Obiedat, Awawdeh, 2008)

"Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. A sequence (x_n) is called G -Cauchy if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m, l \geq K$, that is, if $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$."

Definition (1.6) (Mustafa, Obiedat, Awawdeh, 2008)

"A G -metric space (X, G) is said to be G -complete or (complete G -metric) if every G -Cauchy sequence in (X, G) is convergent in (X, G) ."

Definition (1.7)(Mustafa, Sims, 2006)

"Let (X, G) and (X', G') be two G -metric spaces, and let $f: (X, G) \rightarrow (X', G')$ be a function. Then f is said to be G -continuous at a point $a \in X$ if and only if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in X$; and $G(a, x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow G'(f(a), f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$

A function f is G -continuous on X if and only if it is G -continuous at all $a \in X$."

Definition (1.8) (Mustafa, Obiedat, Awawdeh, 2008)

"Let (X, G) be a G -metric space, the mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ then for all $x, y, z \in X$

i- T is called G - contraction mapping if

$$G(T(x), T(y), T(z)) \leq k G(x, y, z), \text{ for some } k \in (0, 1)$$

ii- T is called a G - contractive if

$$G(T(x), T(y), T(z)) < G(x, y, z), \text{ for all } x, y, z \text{ in } X \text{ with } x \neq y \neq z$$

iii- T is called G -expansive mapping if

$$G(T(x), T(y), T(z)) \geq a G(x, y, z), \text{ for some } a > 1"$$

"The version of Banach's fixed point Theorem in G -metric space is

Theorem (1.10) (Mustafa, Obiedat, Awawdeh, 2008)

"If (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a G - contraction mapping, then T has unique fixed point z in X , and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x) = z$, for any initial point x in X ."

II. Method

We begin with following

Theorem (2.1): Let M be a subset of a complete G -metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\phi: X \rightarrow R^+ G(x, x, Tx) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(T(x)), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

where ϕ is lower semi continuous function

Proof:

For $x_0 \in X$ and $n, m \in N$ with $n < m$, we have $\phi: X \rightarrow R$, then, by similar argument of proof of Theorem (2.1) in [2]

$$\begin{aligned} G(T^n(x_0), T^n(x_0), T^{m+1}(x_0)) &\leq \sum_{i=n}^m G(T^i(x_0), T^i(x_0), T^{i+1}(x_0)) \\ &\leq \phi(T^n(x_0)) - T^{m+1}(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} G(T^i(x_0), T^i(x_0), T^{i+1}(x_0)) < \infty$$

Therefore, $(T^n(x_0))$ is Cauchy sequence. Since T is continuous, then $(T^n(x_0))$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Definition(2.2):

A real valued function ϕ on X has a G -point $p \in X$ if

$$\phi(p) - \phi(x) < G(p, p, x), \text{ for other point } x \in X, x \neq p.$$

Proposition (2.3) :

Every lower semi continuous function $\phi: X \rightarrow R^+$ on a complete X has a G -point p in X .

Proof:

By putting $T = I$ and $T(x) = p$ in theorem (2.1).

Theorem (2.4)

Let M be a subset of a complete G -metric space X and $f, g: M \rightarrow X$ be maps such that

(i) f is surjective

(ii) There exist a lower semi continuous function $\phi: X \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying

$$G(f(x), f(x), g(x)) \leq \phi(f(x)) - \phi(g(x)) \quad \dots \quad (2.1)$$

for each $x \in M$. Then f and g have a coincidence point.

Proof:

By proposition (2.3), then ϕ has a G -point $p \in X$, means that

$$\phi(p) - \phi(x) < G(p, p, x)$$

Now, let $x \in f^{-1}p$, suppose $fx = gx$ since $p = fx$ and $gx \in X$, we have

$$\phi(f(x)) - \phi(g(x)) < G(f(x), f(x), g(x))$$

which contradicts (ii).

By putting $X = M$ and $= I$, Theorem (2.1) reduces to the version of Caristi-Kirk Theorem in G -metric space:

Consequently, we obtain the following:

Corollary (1)

- (i) If $M = X$ and $f = I_x$, then the above theorem reduces to the version Caristi-Kirk -Browder theorem in this case, if g is G -continuous then for any $x \in X$ the sequence $\{g^n x\}$ G -converges to a fixed point of g
- (ii) If $M = X$ and $g = 1_x$, then f has a fixed point

Corollary (2)

Let X be a G -metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be onto mapping such that for all x, y in X if there is a constant $a > 1$ such that

$$G(f(x), f(x), f(y)) \geq a G(x, x, y) \dots (2.2)$$

then f has a unique fixed point

Proof:

From (2.2) f is clearly injective. Since f is also surjective, $g = f^{-1}$ exists and is surjective for any x, y in X we obtain, from (2.3)

$$G(x, x, y) \geq a G(gx, gx, gy)$$

and g is G -continuous. One could use Theorem (1.10) at this point to prove that g has a unique fixed point.

Adding $(a - 1)G(x, x, y)$ to each side of the above inequality to get

$$a G(x, x, y) - a G(gx, gx, gy) \geq (a - 1)G(x, x, y)$$

Now, put $y = gx$ to get

$$G(x, x, gx) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(gx),$$

where, define ϕ as

$$\phi(x) = \frac{a G(x, x, gx)}{(a - 1)}$$

since g is G -continuous, ϕ is lower semi continuous, and g has a fixed point by Corollary (1-i). For any $x \in X$, the sequence $\{g^n x\}$ G -converges to a fixed point of g , that is, of f . From (2.2) the fixed point is unique.

Corollary (4)

Let X be a G -metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be onto mapping such that for all x, y in X if there exist $a, b, c \geq 0$ with $a + b + c > 1$ and $a < 1$ such that

$$G(f(x), f(x), f(y)) \geq a G(x, x, f(x)) + b G(y, y, f(y)) + c G(x, x, y) \dots (2.3)$$

with $x \neq y$, then f has a fixed point

Proof:

Since (2.4) is symmetric in x and y assume that $a = b < 1$. Adding, a $G(fx, fx, fy)$ to both sides of (2.3) we have

$$(1 + a) G(fx, fx, fy) \geq a[G(x, x, fx) + G(fx, fx, fy) + G(fy, y, y)] + c G(x, x, y) \geq (a + c)G(x, x, y)$$

or

$$G(fx, fx, fy) \geq \frac{a + c}{1 + a} G(x, x, y)$$

since $a + c = 0$ implies $a = b > 1$, $(a + c)/(1 + a) > 0$

and f is injective. Since f is also surjective $g = f^{-1}$ exists. Also, since

$$G(x, x, y) \geq \frac{a+c}{1+a} G(gx, gx, gy), \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

and hence g is G -continuous. (2.3) will be in the form

$$G(x, x, y) \geq a G(gx, gx, x) + b G(gy, gy, y) + c G(gx, gx, gy)$$

set $y = gx$ and then add $(b + c + a - 1)G(x, x, gx)$

to each side to get

$$(b + c)[G(x, x, gx) - G(gx, gx, g^2x)] \geq (b + c + a - 1)G(x, x, gx)$$

or

$$G(x, x, gx) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(gx)$$

where defined

$$\phi(x) = \frac{(b + c)G(x, x, gx)}{(a + b + c - 1)}$$

Since g is G -continuous, ϕ is (lsc) and g has a fixed point by Corollary (1-i). Moreover for $\forall x \in X$ the sequence $\{g^n x\}$ G -converges to a fixed point of g , that is, of f

Corollary (5)

Extension of Some Theorems in General Metric Spaces

Let X be a G -metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be onto and G -continuous mapping such that for all $x \in X$ and if $\exists a > 1$ satisfying

$$G(f(x), f(x), f^2(x)) \geq a G(x, x, f(x)) \quad \dots (2.4)$$

then f has a fixed point

Proof:

Adding $-G(x, x, f(x))$ to condition (2.4) yields

$$G(x, x, f(x)) \leq [G(fx, fx, f^2x) - G(x, x, fx)] / (a - 1)$$

Define, $\emptyset: X \rightarrow R^+$ by $\emptyset(x) = \frac{G(x, x, f(x))}{a-1}$

since f is G -continuous, \emptyset is (lsc) and by corollary (1-ii), f has fixed point

Corollary (6)

Let X be a G -metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be onto and G -continuous mapping such that for all x, y in X and if there exists a real constant $a > 1$ such that

$$G(f(x), f(x), f(y)) \geq a \min[G(x, x, f(x)), G(y, y, fy), G(x, x, y)] \quad \dots (2.5)$$

then f has a fixed point

Proof:

Set $y = f(x)$ in condition (2.5)

$$G(f(x), f(x), f(y)) \geq a \min[G(x, x, fx), G(y, y, fy), G(x, x, y)]$$

yield to

$$G(f(x), f(x), f^2(x)) \geq a G(x, x, f(x)) \quad \dots (2.4)$$

then f has a fixed point .

Reference

- [1] Mustafa, Z., Obiedat, H. Awawdeh, F. 2008. Some Fixed Point Theorem for Mapping on Complete G -metric Spaces. Fixed Point Theory and Applications, , article ID 189870, doi: 10.1155/2008/189870
- [2] Mustafa, Z., Sims, B., 2006. A New Approach to Generalized Metric Spaces ,Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, 7 (2), 289–297.
- [3] Park, S. 1983. On Extensions of The Caristi-kKirk Fixed Point Theorem, Korean math.sco.,19, 143-151.
- [4] Park, S. Rhoades, B. 1983. Some Fixed Point Theorems for Expansion Mappings Jnanbha, 15, 151-156.