

## $\beta g^*$ – Separation Axioms

C. Dhanapakyam<sup>1</sup>, K.Indirani<sup>2</sup>.

Department of Mathematics Rathnavel subramaniam College of Arts & Science

Coimbatore-, India

Nirmala College for women Red fields, Coimbatore-, India

Corresponding Author: C. Dhanapakyam

**Abstract:** In this paper, some new types of separation axioms in topological spaces by using  $\beta g^*$ -open sets are formulated. In particular the concept of  $\beta g^*-R_0$  and  $\beta g^*-R_1$  axioms are introduced. Several properties of these spaces are investigated using these axioms.

**Keywords:**  $\beta g^*$ -open set,  $\beta g^*-R_0$ ,  $\beta g^*-R_1$ ,  $\beta g^*-T_i(i=0,1,2)$

Date of Submission: 04-01-2019

Date of acceptance: 21-01-2019

### I. Introduction

In 1970, Levine[4] introduced the concept of generalized closed set in topological spaces. In 2000, Veeerakumar [6] introduced several generalized closed sets namely  $g^*$  closed sets,  $\hat{g}$  closed set. Andrijevic[1] introduced  $\beta$ -open set in general topology. The aim of this paper is to introduce the some new type of separation axioms via  $\beta g^*$ -open sets. Throughout this paper  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or simply X and Y) represents the non-empty topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed, unless otherwise mentioned. For a subset A of X,  $cl(A)$  and  $int(A)$  represents the closure of A and interior of A respectively.

### II. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1:** A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is called

- 1) Generalized closed[4] (briefly g-closed) if  $cl(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and U is open.
- 2)  $\beta g^*$ -closed [3] if  $gcl(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and U is  $\beta$ -open in X.

**Definition 2.2:** A map  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called

- 1) Continuous [2] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is closed subset in  $(X, \tau)$  for every closed subset V in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .
- 2). g continuous[5] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is g closed subset in  $(X, \tau)$  for every closed subset V in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .
- 3)  $\beta g^*$ - continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - closed subset in  $(X, \tau)$  for every closed subset V in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Definition 2.3:** A function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  from a topological space X into a topological space Y is called a  $\beta g^*$  irresolute if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\beta g^*$  closed set in X for every  $\beta g^*$  closed set V in Y.

### III. $\beta g^*-T_k (k = 0, 1, 2)$ SPACES

In this section, a new type of separation axioms in topological spaces called  $\beta g^*-T_k$  spaces for  $k = 0, 1, 2$  are defined and their properties are studied.

**Definition 3.1:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be

1.  $\beta g^*-T_0$  if for each pair of distinct points  $x, y$  in X, there exists a  $\beta g^*$ -open set U such that either  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$  or  $x \notin U$  and  $y \in U$ .
2.  $\beta g^*-T_1$  if for each pair of distinct points  $x, y$  in X, there exist two  $\beta g^*$ -open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$  and  $y \in V$  but  $x \notin V$ .
3.  $\beta g^*-T_2$  if for each pair of distinct points  $x, y$  in X, there exist two disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open sets U and V containing x and y respectively.

**Example 3.2:** (i) Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topology  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$ . Here  $\beta g^*$ -open sets are  $\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$ . Since for the distinct points a and b, there exist a  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U = \{a\}$  such that  $a \in U$  and  $b \notin U$  or  $U = \{b\}$  such that  $a \notin U$  and  $b \in U$ . In a similar manner other pairs of distinct points may also be discussed. Therefore X is  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space.

(ii) Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topology  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$ . Here  $\beta g^*$ -open sets are  $\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$ . Since for the distinct points a and b, there exist  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U = \{a\}$  and  $V = \{b, c\}$  such that  $a \in U$

but  $b \notin U$  and  $a \notin V$  but  $b \in V$ . In a similar manner other pairs of distinct points may also be discussed. Therefore  $X$  is  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space.

(iii) Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topology  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{c\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Here  $\beta g^*$ -open sets are  $\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$ . Since for the distinct points  $a$  and  $c$ , there exist two disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U = \{a\}$  and  $V = \{c\}$  containing  $a$  and  $c$ . In a similar manner other pairs of distinct points may also be discussed. Therefore  $X$  is  $\beta g^*-T_2$  space.

**Remark 3.3:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, then the following statements are true:

1. Every  $\beta g^*-T_2$  space is  $\beta g^*-T_1$ .
2. Every  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space is  $\beta g^*-T_0$ .

**Theorem 3.4:** Every  $T_0$  space is a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space.

**Proof:** Let  $X$  be a  $T_0$  space. Let  $x, y$  be two distinct points in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $T_0$  space, there exists an open set  $M$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in M, y \notin M$ . Since every open set is a  $\beta g^*$ -open set,  $M$  is a  $\beta g^*$ -open set in  $X$ . Thus, for any two distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$ , there exists a  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $M$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in M, y \notin M$ . Hence  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space.

**Theorem 3.5:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*-T_0$  if and only if for each pair of distinct points  $x, y$  of  $X$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ .

**Proof: Necessity:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space and  $x, y$  be any two distinct points of  $X$ . There exists  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  or  $y$ , say  $x$  but not  $y$ . Then  $X - U$  is a  $\beta g^*$ -closed set which does not contain  $x$  but contains  $y$ . Since  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  is the smallest  $\beta g^*$ -closed set containing  $y$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq X - U$  and therefore  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . Consequently  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ .

**Sufficiency:** Suppose that  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . Let  $z$  be a point of  $X$  such that  $z \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$  but  $z \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . We claim that  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . For if  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  then  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . This contradicts the fact that  $z \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . Consequently  $x$  belongs to the  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  to which  $y$  does not belong to. Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space.

**Theorem 3.6:** In a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , if the singletons are  $\beta g^*$ -closed then  $X$  is  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space and the converse is true if  $\beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  is closed under arbitrary union.

**Proof:** Let  $\{z\}$  is  $\beta g^*$ -closed for every  $z \in X$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ . Now  $x \neq y$  implies  $y \in X - \{x\}$ . Hence  $X - \{x\}$  is a  $\beta g^*$ -open set that contains  $y$  but not  $x$ . Similarly  $X - \{y\}$  is a  $\beta g^*$ -open set containing  $x$  but not  $y$ . Therefore  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space.

Conversely, let  $(X, \tau)$  be  $\beta g^*-T_1$  and  $x$  be any point of  $X$ . Choose  $y \in X - \{x\}$ , then  $x \neq y$  and so there exists a  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  such that  $y \in U$  but  $x \notin U$ . Consequently  $y \in U \subseteq X - \{x\}$ , that is  $X - \{x\} = \cup \{U_y : y \in X - \{x\}\}$  which is  $\beta g^*$ -open. Hence  $\{x\}$  is  $\beta g^*$ -closed. That is every singleton set is  $\beta g^*$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.7:** The following statements are equivalent for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$

1.  $X$  is  $\beta g^*-T_2$ .
2. Let  $x \in X$ . For each  $y \neq x$ , there exists a  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U\})$ .
3. For each  $x \in X, \cap \{ \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U\}) : U \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau) \text{ and } x \in U \} = \{x\}$ .

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $x \in X$ , and for any  $y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ , there exist two disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively, since  $X$  is  $\beta g^*-T_2$ . So  $U \subseteq X - V$ . Therefore  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U\}) \subseteq X - V$ . So  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U\})$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) If possible for some  $y \neq x, y \in \cap \{ \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U\}) : U \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau) \text{ and } x \in U \}$ . This implies  $y \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U\})$  for every  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$ , which contradicts (2). Hence  $\cap \{ \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U\}) : U \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau) \text{ and } x \in U \} = \{x\}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $x \neq y$ . Then there exists at least one  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U\})$ . Let  $V = X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U\})$ , then  $y \in V$  and  $x \in U$  and also  $U \cap V = \phi$ . Therefore  $X$  is  $\beta g^*-T_2$ .

**Theorem 3.8:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be two topological spaces and  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be an one to one function. Then if  $f$  is

- (1)  $\beta g^*$ -continuous and  $Y$  is a  $T_0$  space then  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space.
- (2)  $\beta g^*$ -irresolute and  $Y$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space then  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space.

(3) Continuous and  $Y$  is a  $T_0$  space then  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space.

(4) Onto,  $\beta g^*$ -irresolute and  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space then  $Y$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space.

**Proof:** (1) Let  $x, y$  be two distinct points in  $X$ . Then  $f(x)$  and  $f(y)$  are distinct points in  $Y$ . Then there exists two open set  $U$  in  $Y$  such that  $f(x) \in U$  and  $f(y) \notin U$  or  $f(y) \in U$  and  $f(x) \notin U$ . Then  $f^{-1}(U)$  is a  $\beta g^*$ -open set in  $X$  such that  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$  and  $y \notin f^{-1}(U)$  or  $y \in f^{-1}(U)$  and  $x \notin f^{-1}(U)$ . Therefore  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space.

Proof of (2) to (4) are similar.

**Remark 3.9:** The property of being a  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space is preserved under one to one, onto and  $\beta g^*$ -irresolute mappings.

**Theorem 3.10:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be two topological spaces and  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be an one to one function. Then if  $f$  is

(1)  $\beta g^*$ -continuous and  $Y$  is a  $T_1$  space, then  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space.

(2)  $\beta g^*$ -irresolute and  $Y$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space, then  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space.

(3) Continuous and  $Y$  is a  $T_1$  space, then  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space.

(4) Onto and  $\beta g^*$ -irresolute and  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space then  $Y$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space.

**Proof:** Let  $x, y$  be two distinct points in  $X$ . Then  $f(x)$  and  $f(y)$  are distinct points in  $Y$ . Then there exists two open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $Y$  such that  $f(x) \in U$  but  $f(y) \notin U$  and  $f(y) \in V$  and  $f(x) \notin V$ . Then  $f^{-1}(U)$  and  $f^{-1}(V)$  are  $\beta g^*$ -open sets in  $X$  such that  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$  and  $y \notin f^{-1}(U)$  and  $y \in f^{-1}(V)$  and  $x \notin f^{-1}(V)$ . Therefore  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space.

Proof of (2) to (4) are similar.

**Remark 3.11:** The property of being a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space is preserved under one to one, onto and  $\beta g^*$ -irresolute mappings.

**Definition 3.12:** Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The  $\beta g^*$ -kernel of  $A$  is defined as the intersection of all  $\beta g^*$ -open sets of  $(X, \tau)$  which contains  $A$  (briefly  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(A)$ ). That is  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(A) = \bigcap \{U \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau) : A \subseteq U\}$ .

**Definition 3.13:** Let  $x$  be a point of a topological space  $X$ . Then  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(x) = \bigcap \{M : M \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau) \text{ and } x \in M\}$ .

**Theorem 3.14:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $x \in X$ . Then  $y \in \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$  if and only if  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ . Then there exists a  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $y \notin U$ . Therefore,  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . The proof of the converse case can be done similarly.

**Theorem 3.15:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\}) = \{x \in X : \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ .

**Proof:**  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\})$  and suppose  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Hence  $x \notin X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$  which is a  $\beta g^*$ -open set containing  $A$ . This is impossible, since  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\})$ . Consequently,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Next, let  $x \in X$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and suppose that  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\})$ . Then there exists a  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  containing  $A$  and  $x \notin U$ . Let  $y \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap A$ . Hence  $U$  is a  $\beta g^*$ -neighbourhood of  $y$  which does not contain  $x$ . By this contradiction  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\})$  and hence the claim.

**Theorem 3.16:** The following properties hold for any two subsets  $A, B$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$

1.  $A \subseteq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\})$ .
2.  $A \subseteq B$  implies that  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\}) \subseteq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{B\})$ .
3. If  $A$  is  $\beta g^*$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A = \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\})$ .
4.  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\})) = \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\})$ .

**Proof:** The proof of (1), (2) and (3) are immediate consequences of Definition 3.12.

(4) By (1) and (2), we have  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\}) \subseteq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\}))$ . If  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\})$ , then there exists  $U \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $x \notin U$ . Hence  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\}) \subseteq U$ , and so  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\}))$ . Thus  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\})) = \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{A\})$ .

**Definition 3.17:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\beta g^*$ -symmetric if for any pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ ,  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  implies  $y \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ .

**Theorem 3.18:** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta g^*$ -symmetric space.
2.  $\{x\}$  is  $\beta g^*$ -closed, for each  $x \in X$ .

**Proof:** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\beta g^*$ -symmetric space. Assume that  $\{x\} \subseteq U \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$ , but  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \not\subseteq U$ . Then  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap (X-U) \neq \emptyset$ . Now, we take  $y \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap (X-U)$ , then by hypothesis  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq X-U$  that is,  $x \notin U$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\{x\}$  is  $\beta g^*$ -closed, for each  $x \in X$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Assume that  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ , but  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ . Then  $\{y\} \subseteq X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$  and hence  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ . Therefore  $x \in X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ , which is contradiction and hence  $y \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ .

**Corollary 3.19:** Let  $\beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  be closed under arbitrary union. If the topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space, then it is  $\beta g^*$ -symmetric.

**Proof:** In a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space, every singleton set is  $\beta g^*$ -closed and therefore, by theorem 3.18,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ -symmetric.

**Corollary 3.20:** If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ -symmetric and  $\beta g^*-T_0$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space.

**Proof:** Let  $x \neq y$  and as  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*-T_0$ , we may assume that  $x \in U \subseteq X - \{y\}$  for some  $U \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$ . Then  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  and hence  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ . There exists a  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $V$  such that  $y \in V \subseteq X - \{x\}$  and thus  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space.

#### IV. $\beta g^*-R_k$ ( $k=0, 1$ ) SPACES

In this section, a new class of topological spaces called  $\beta g^*-R_0$  and  $\beta g^*-R_1$  spaces are introduced and some of their properties are studied.

**Definition 4.1:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\beta g^*-R_0$  if  $U$  is  $\beta g^*$ -open set and  $x \in U$  then  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$ .

**Theorem 4.2:** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*-R_0$  space.
- (2) For any  $F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau)$ ,  $x \notin F$  implies  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \notin U$  for some  $U \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$ .
- (3) For any  $F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau)$ ,  $x \notin F$  implies  $F \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \emptyset$ .
- (4) For any two distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$ , either  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  or  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof:** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let  $F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau)$  and  $x \notin F$ . Then by (1),  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq X - F$ . Set  $U = X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ , then  $U$  is a  $\beta g^*$ -open set such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \notin U$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let  $F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau)$  and  $x \notin F$ . There exists  $U \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \notin U$ . Since  $U \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$ ,  $U \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \emptyset$  and  $F \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \emptyset$ .

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Suppose that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  for two distinct points  $x, y \in X$ . There exists  $z \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$  such that  $z \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  [or  $z \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  such that  $z \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ ]. There exists  $V \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  such that  $y \notin V$  and  $z \in V$ , hence  $x \in V$ . Therefore, we have  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . By (3), we obtain  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ .

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let  $V \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  and  $x \in V$ . For each  $y \notin V$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . This shows that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . By (4),  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$  for each  $y \in X - V$  and hence  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap [\cup \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) : y \in X - V] = \emptyset$ . On the other hand, since  $V \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  and  $y \in X - V$ , we have  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq X - V$  and hence  $X - V = \cup \{ \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) : y \in X - V \}$ . Therefore, we obtain  $(X - V) \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \emptyset$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq V$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta g^*-R_0$  space.

**Theorem 4.3:** If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*-T_0$  space and a  $\beta g^*-R_0$  space then it is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space.

**Proof:** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be any two distinct points of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $\beta g^*-T_0$ , there exists a  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$ . As  $x \in U$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$ . Since  $y \notin U$ ,  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ . Hence  $y \in V = X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$  and it is clear that  $x \notin V$ . Hence it follows that there exist  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively, such that  $y \notin U$  and  $x \notin V$  respectively. This implies that  $X$  is a  $\beta g^*-T_1$  space.

**Theorem 4.4:** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space.
- (2)  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  if and only if  $y \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ , for any two points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ .

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Assume that  $X$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$ . Let  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  and  $V$  be any  $\beta g^*$ -open set such that  $y \in V$ . Now by hypothesis,  $x \in V$ . Therefore, every  $\beta g^*$ -open set which contain  $y$  contains  $x$  also. Hence  $y \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $U$  be a  $\beta g^*$ -open set and  $x \in U$ . If  $y \notin U$ , then  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  and hence  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ . This implies that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space.

**Remark 4.5:** From Definition 3.17 and Theorem 4.4 the notion of  $\beta g^*$ -symmetric and  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  are equivalent.

**Theorem 4.6:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space if and only if for any two points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  implies  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) = \phi$ .

**Proof: Necessity:** Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  and  $x$  and  $y \in X$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . Then, there exists  $z \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$  such that  $z \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  [ or  $z \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  such that  $z \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ ]. There exists  $V \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  such that  $y \notin V$  and  $z \in V$ , hence  $x \in V$ . Therefore, we have  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . Thus  $x \in [X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})] \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$ , which implies  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq [X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})]$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) = \phi$ .

**Sufficiency:** Let  $V \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  and let  $x \in V$ . To show that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq V$ . Let  $y \notin V$ , that is  $y \in X - V$ . Then  $x \neq y$  and  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . This shows that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . By assumption,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) = \phi$ . Hence  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$  and therefore  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq V$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space.

**Theorem 4.7:** The following statements are equivalent for any two points  $x$  and  $y$  in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ :

- (1)  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ .
- (2)  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ .

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Suppose that  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ , then there exists a point  $z$  in  $X$  such that  $z \in \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$  and  $z \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ . Theorem 3.14, implies that  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{z\})$ , since  $z \in \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ . By  $z \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ , we have  $\{y\} \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{z\}) = \phi$ . Since  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{z\})$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{z\})$  and  $\{y\} \cap \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \phi$ . Therefore, it follows that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . Hence  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$  implies that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . Then there exists a point  $z$  in  $X$  such that  $z \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$  but  $z \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . We claim that  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ , for if  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  then  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . This contradicts the fact that  $z \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . Hence  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . Theorem 3.14, implies  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ . Therefore,  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ .

**Theorem 4.8:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then  $\cap \{ \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) : x \in X \} = \phi$  if and only if  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq X$  for every  $x \in X$ .

**Proof: Necessity:** Suppose that  $\cap \{ \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) : x \in X \} = \phi$ . Assume that there is a point  $y$  in  $X$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) = X$ . Let  $x$  be any point of  $X$ . Then  $x \in U$  for every  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  containing  $y$  and hence  $y \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$  for any  $x \in X$ . This implies that  $y \in \cap \{ \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) : x \in X \}$ . But this is a contradiction. Hence  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq X$  for every  $x \in X$ .

**Sufficiency:** Assume that  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq X$  for every  $x \in X$ . If there exists a point  $y$  in  $X$  such that  $y \in \cap \{ \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) : x \in X \}$ , then every  $\beta g^*$ -open set containing  $y$  must contain every point of  $X$ . This implies that the space  $X$  is the only  $\beta g^*$ -open set containing  $y$ . Hence  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) = X$  which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\cap \{ \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) : x \in X \} = \phi$ .

**Theorem 4.9:** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space.
- (2) For any non-empty set  $A$  and  $G \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \cap G \neq \phi$ , there exists  $F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \cap F \neq \phi$  and  $F \subseteq G$ .
- (3) For any  $G \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$ , we have  $G = \cup \{ F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau) : F \subseteq G \}$ .
- (4) For any  $F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau)$ , we have  $F = \cap \{ G \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau) : F \subseteq G \}$ .
- (5) For every  $x \in X$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ .

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $A$  be a non-empty subset of  $X$  and  $G \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \cap G \neq \phi$ . Let  $x \in A \cap G$ . Then  $x \in G \Rightarrow \beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \subseteq G$ , since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*R_0$  space. Set  $F = \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$ , then  $F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau)$ ,  $F \subseteq G$  and  $A \cap F \neq \phi$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $G \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$ , choose  $x \in \cup \{ F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau) : F \subseteq G \}$ . Then  $x \in F$  for some  $F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau)$  and  $F \subseteq G$ . Therefore,  $x \in G$ . On the other hand, suppose  $x \notin G$ . If we define  $A = \{x\}$ , then  $A \cap G \neq \phi$ . By our hypothesis, there exists  $F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \cap F \neq \phi$ , and  $F \subseteq G$ . Since  $A = \{x\}$ ,  $x \in F \subseteq \cup \{ F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau) : F \subseteq G \}$ . Hence  $G = \cup \{ F \in \beta G^*C(X, \tau) : F \subseteq G \}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Obvious.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) Let  $x$  be any point of  $X$  and  $y \notin \beta g^*ker(\{x\})$ . There exists  $U \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$ , hence  $\beta g^*cl(\{y\}) \cap U = \phi$ . By (4)  $(\cap \{ G \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau) : \beta g^*cl(\{y\}) \subseteq G \}) \cap U = \phi$  and there exists  $G \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \notin G$  and  $\beta g^*cl(\{y\}) \subseteq G$ . Therefore  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \cap G = \phi$  and  $y \notin \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$ . Consequently, we obtain  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*ker(\{x\})$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $G \in \beta G^*O(X, \tau)$  and  $x \in G$ . Let  $y \in \beta g^*ker(\{x\})$ , then  $x \in \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$  and  $y \in G$ . This implies that  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \subseteq G$ . Therefore  $x \in \beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \subseteq G$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta g^*R_0$  space.

**Theorem 4.10:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*R_0$  space if and only if  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) = \beta g^*ker(\{x\})$ , for each  $x \in X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\beta g^*R_0$  space. By theorem 4.9,  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*ker(\{x\})$  for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $y \in \beta g^*ker(\{x\})$ , then  $x \in \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$  and by theorem 3.14,  $y \in \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$  and hence  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$ . Therefore  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) = \beta g^*ker(\{x\})$ . Converse part is true from theorem 4.9.

**Theorem 4.11:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*R_0$  if and only if for any two points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ ,  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*ker(\{y\})$  implies  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*ker(\{y\}) = \phi$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta g^*R_0$  space. Thus by theorem 4.7 for any two points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  if  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*ker(\{y\})$  then  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$ . Now we prove that  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*ker(\{y\}) = \phi$ . Assume that  $z \in \beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*ker(\{y\})$ . By  $z \in \beta g^*ker(\{x\})$  and by theorem 3.14, we get  $x \in \beta g^*cl(\{z\})$ . Since  $x \in \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$ , by theorem 4.2,  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) = \beta g^*cl(\{z\})$ . Similarly, we have  $\beta g^*cl(\{y\}) = \beta g^*cl(\{z\}) = \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore, we have  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*ker(\{y\}) = \phi$ .

Conversely, let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space such that for any points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ ,  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*ker(\{y\})$  implies  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*ker(\{y\}) = \phi$ . Theorem 4.7 states that, if  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*ker(\{y\})$ , then  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$ . By theorem 4.6, it is enough to prove  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*cl(\{y\}) = \phi$ . Suppose  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*cl(\{y\}) \neq \phi$ . Let  $z \in \beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$ . Then  $z \in \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$  and  $z \in \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$ . Since  $z \in \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$ , and by theorem 3.14,  $x \in \beta g^*ker(\{z\})$ . Therefore,  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*ker(\{y\}) \neq \phi$ . Then by hypothesis, we get  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) = \beta g^*ker(\{z\})$ . Similarly from  $z \in \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$ , we can prove that  $\beta g^*ker(\{y\}) = \beta g^*ker(\{z\})$ . Therefore  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) = \beta g^*ker(\{z\}) = \beta g^*ker(\{y\})$ . This is a contradiction to our assumption  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$ . Therefore  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) = \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta g^*R_0$  space.

**Theorem 4.12:** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\beta g^*R_0$  space.
- (2) If  $F$  is  $\beta g^*$ -closed, then  $F = \beta g^*ker(F)$ .
- (3) If  $F$  is  $\beta g^*$ -closed and  $x \in F$ , then  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \subseteq F$ .
- (4) If  $x \in X$ , then  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$ .

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $F$  be  $\beta g^*$ -closed and  $x \notin F$ . Thus  $X - F$  is a  $\beta g^*$ -open set containing  $x$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*R_0$ ,  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \subseteq X - F$ . Thus  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \cap F = \phi$  and by theorem 3.15,  $x \notin \beta g^*ker(F)$ . Therefore  $\beta g^*ker(F) = F$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) In general,  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $\beta g^*ker(A) \subseteq \beta g^*ker(B)$ . Therefore, it follows from (2), that  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*ker(F) = F$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Since  $x \in \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$  and  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\})$  is  $\beta g^*$ -closed, by (3),  $\beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $x \in \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$ . Then by theorem 3.14,  $y \in \beta g^*ker(\{x\})$ . (4)  $\Rightarrow y \in \beta g^*ker(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$ . Therefore  $x \in \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$  implies  $y \in \beta g^*cl(\{x\})$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*R_0$  space.

**Definition 4.13:** In a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\beta g^*R_1$  if for  $x, y$ , in  $X$  with  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*cl(\{y\})$ , there exist disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\beta g^*cl(\{x\}) \subseteq U$  and  $\beta g^*cl(\{y\}) \subseteq V$ .

**Theorem 4.14:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space if it is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_2$  space.

**Proof:** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be any two points  $X$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . By Remark 3.3 (1), every  $\beta g^*$ - $T_2$  space is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_1$  space. Therefore, by theorem 3.6,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) = \{y\}$  and hence  $\{x\} \neq \{y\}$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_2$ , there exist a disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \{x\} \subseteq U$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) = \{y\} \subseteq V$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space.

**Theorem 4.15:** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ -symmetric, then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_2$  space.
- (2)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space and  $\beta g^*$ - $T_1$  space.
- (3)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space and  $\beta g^*$ - $T_0$  space.

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_0$  space. By theorem 3.5  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ , since  $X$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$ , there exist disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq V$ . Therefore, there exist disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_2$  space.

**Remark 4.16:** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space.
- (2) If  $x, y \in X$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ , then there exist  $\beta g^*$ -closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  such that  $x \in F_1$ ,  $y \notin F_1$ ,  $y \in F_2$ ,  $x \notin F_2$  and  $X = F_1 \cup F_2$ .

**Theorem 4.17:** If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space.

**Proof:** Let  $U$  be a  $\beta g^*$ -open set such that  $x \in U$ . If  $y \notin U$ , then  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ , therefore  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . So, there exists a  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $V$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq V$  and  $x \notin V$ , which implies  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ . Hence  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space.

**Theorem 4.18:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space if and only if  $x \in X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  implies that  $x$  and  $y$  have disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open neighbourhoods.

**Proof: Necessity:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space. Let  $x \in X - \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . Then  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ , so  $x$  and  $y$  have disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open neighbourhoods.

**Sufficiency:** First to show that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space. Let  $U$  be a  $\beta g^*$ -open set and  $x \in U$ . Suppose that  $y \notin U$ . Then,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \cap U = \emptyset$  and  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . There exist a  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  such that  $x \in U_x$ ,  $y \in U_y$  and  $U_x \cap U_y = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U_x\})$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap U_y \subseteq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U_x\}) \cap U_y = \emptyset$ . [ For since  $U_y$  is  $\beta g^*$ -open set,  $X - U_y$  is  $\beta g^*$ -closed set. So  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{X - U_y\}) = X - U_y$ . Also since  $U_x \cap U_y = \emptyset$  and  $U_x \subseteq U_y^c$ . So  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U_x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{X - U_y\})$ . Thus  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{U_x\}) \subseteq X - U_y$ . Therefore,  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ . Consequently,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$  and  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space. Next to show that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space. Suppose that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . Then, assume that there exists  $z \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$  such that  $z \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . There exist a  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $V_z$  and  $V_y$  such that  $z \in V_z$ ,  $y \in V_y$  and  $V_z \cap V_y = \emptyset$ . Since  $z \in \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ ,  $x \in V_z$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space, we obtain  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq V_z$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq V_y$  and  $V_z \cap V_y = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space.

**Theorem 4.19:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space if and only if for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ , then there exist  $\beta g^*$ -closed sets  $G_1, G_2$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq G_1$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap G_2 = \emptyset$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) \subseteq G_2$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) \cap G_1 = \emptyset$  and  $G_1 \cup G_2 = X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space such that for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ . Since every  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space. By theorem 4.7,  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ . As  $X$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space there exists  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U_1, U_2$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U_1$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq U_2$  and  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$  then  $X - U_1$  and  $X - U_2$  are  $\beta g^*$ -closed sets such that  $(X - U_1) \cup (X - U_2) = X$ . Put  $G_1 = X - U_2$  and  $G_2 = X - U_1$ . Thus  $x \in G_1$  and  $y \in G_2$ , so that  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq G_1$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) \subseteq G_2$  and  $G_1 \cup G_2 = X$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) \cap G_1 = \emptyset$ . Conversely, let for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ , there exists  $\beta g^*$ -closed sets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq G_1$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap G_2 = \emptyset$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) \subseteq G_2$ ,  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) \cap G_1 = \emptyset$  and  $G_1 \cup G_2 = X$ , then  $X - G_1$  and  $X - G_2$  are  $\beta g^*$ -open sets such that  $(X - G_1) \cap (X - G_2) = \emptyset$ . Put  $X - G_1 = U_2$  and  $X - G_2 = U_1$ . Thus  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq U_1$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) \subseteq U_2$  and  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ , so that  $x \in U_1$  and  $y \in U_2$  implies  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  and  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ , then  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U_1$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq U_2$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space.

**Corollary 4.20:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space if and only if for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  there exist disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})) \subseteq U$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})) \subseteq V$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space and let  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ , then there exist disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq V$ . Also  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_0$  space implies by theorem 4.10, for each  $x \in X$ , then  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ , but  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\})) = \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}))$ . Thus  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})) \subseteq U$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})) \subseteq V$ .

Conversely, let for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ , there exist disjoint  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})) \subseteq U$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})) \subseteq V$ . Since  $\{x\} \in \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$  then  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}))$  for each  $x \in X$ , so we get  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq V$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $R_1$  space.

**Theorem 4.21:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_0$  space if and only if either  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$  or  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ , for each  $x \neq y \in X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\beta g^*$ - $T_0$  space then for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , there exist  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$  or  $x \notin U, y \in U$ . Thus if  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$  then  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$  or else if  $x \notin U$  and  $y \in U$  then  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ .

Conversely, let either  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$  or  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ , for each  $x \neq y \in X$ . Then there exists  $\beta g^*$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$  or  $x \notin U, y \in U$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_0$  space.

**Theorem 4.22:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_1$  space if and only if for each  $x \neq y \in X, y \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$  and  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\beta g^*$ - $T_1$  space then for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , there exists  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U, V$  such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$  and  $y \in V, x \notin V$  implies  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$  and  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ .

Conversely, let  $y \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$  and  $x \notin \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ , for each  $x \neq y \in X$ . Then there exists  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U, V$  such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$  and  $y \in V, x \notin V$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_1$  space.

**Theorem 4.23:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_1$  space if and only if for each  $x \neq y \in X, \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) = \phi$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $\beta g^*$ - $T_1$  space. Then  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) = \{x\}$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) = \{y\}$ . Thus  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) = \phi$ .

Conversely, let for each  $x \neq y \in X$  implies  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) = \phi$  and suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  be not  $\beta g^*$ - $T_1$  space then by theorem 4.21 we get for each  $x \neq y \in X$  implies  $y \in \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})$  or  $x \in \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ , then  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) \neq \phi$  this is contradiction. Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_1$  space.

**Corollary 4.24:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A  $\beta g^*$ - $T_1$  space is  $\beta g^*$ - $T_2$  space if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

1. For each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ , then there exist  $\beta g^*$ -open sets  $U, V$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\})) \subseteq U$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-cl}(\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})) \subseteq V$ .
2. For each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ , then there exist  $\beta g^*$ -closed sets  $F_1, F_2$  such that  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq F_1, \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap F_2 = \phi$  and  $\beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) \subseteq F_2, \beta g^*\text{-ker}(\{y\}) \cap F_1$  and  $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ .

## References

- [1]. D.Andrijevic, semi preopen sets, Mat.Vesnik, 38(1) (1986), 24-32
- [2]. K.Balachandran, P.Sundaram and H.Maki, On generalized continuous maps in topological spaces, Mem.Fac.sci.Kochi.Univ.Math.,12(1991),5-13.
- [3]. C.Dhanapakyam ,K.ndirani,On  $\beta g^*$ -closed sets in topological spaces, Int. J. App. Research (2016),388-391
- [4]. N.Levine, Generalized Closed sets in Topology, rend.Cir.Mat.palermo,2(1970),89-96. N.Levine, Semiopen sets and semi continuity in topological spaces.,Amer.Math.Monthly, 70(1963),36-41.
- [5]. M.K.R.S Veerakumar, Between closed sets and  $g$ -closed sets, Mem. Fac. Sci.Kochi Univ.Ser.A,Math., 21 (2000) 1-19.

C. Dhanapakyam. " $\beta g^*$  – Separation Axioms." IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) 15.1 (2019): 07-14