

On (f,g) -Derivations in BG -algebras

Wellya Aziz¹, Sri Gemawati², Leli Deswita³

^{1,2,3}(Department of mathematics, University of Riau, Indonesia)

Corresponding Author: Wellya Aziz

Abstract: In this paper, we discuss (l,r) - f -derivation, (r,l) - f -derivation, and (f,g) -derivation in BG -algebra, and investigate some of related properties. Also, the notions of left f -derivation and left (f,g) -derivation in BG -algebra are introduced and some of related properties are investigated.

Keyword: BG -algebra, (l,r) - f -derivation, (r,l) - f -derivation, (f,g) -derivation

Date of Submission: 17-07-2020

Date of Acceptance: 01-08-2020

I. Introduction

In 2002, the concept of B -algebra [1] was introduced by J. Neggers and H.S. Kim. B -algebra $(X ; *, 0)$ is an algebra of type $(2, 0)$, that is, a nonempty set X together with a binary operation $*$ and a constant 0 satisfying the following axioms for all $x, y, z \in X$: (B1) $x * x = 0$, (B2) $x * 0 = x$, and (B3) $(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$. Furthermore, in 2008, C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim [2] introduced a new notion, called a BG -algebra which is a generalization of B -algebra, i.e., (B1), (B2), and (BG) $(x * y) * (0 * y) = x$, for all $x, y \in X$. In the same paper, the concept of homomorphism BG -algebras was also introduced. A mapping $d : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a BG -homomorphism if $d(x * y) = d(x) * d(y)$, for any $x, y \in X$. A homomorphism d of BG -algebra X is called an endomorphism if $d : X \rightarrow X$.

The concepts of BG -algebra have been discussed by researchers, for instance the concept of derivation. The notion of derivation from the analytic theory was introduced by Posner to a prime ring in 1957. In [3], Jun and Xin applied the notion of derivation in ring and near ring theory to BCI -algebras. Abujabal and Al-Shehri [4] introduced left derivation in BCI -algebras. Then, Zhan and Liu [5] introduced the notion of f -derivation in BCI -algebras, where f is an endomorphism in BCI -algebras. In 2010, Al-Shehrie [6] introduced the notion of derivation in B -algebras which is defined in a way similar to the notion in BCI -algebras. Furthermore, Ardekani and Davvas [7] introduced the notion (f, g) -derivations in B -algebras, where f, g are two endomorphisms in B -algebras, and also investigated some properties related to this concept. In 2019, Kamaludin et al. [8] introduced the notion of derivation in BG -algebras which is defined in a way similar to the notion in B -algebras and investigated some of related properties.

The objective of this paper is to define f -derivation in BG -algebras, and then investigate left f -derivation in BG -algebras. Finally, we study (f, g) -derivation in BG -algebras and some related are explored.

II. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the notion of B -algebra and BG -algebra and review some properties which we will need in the next section. Some definitions and theories related to (f, g) -derivation in BG -algebras that have been discussed by several authors [1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 9] will also be presented.

Definition 2.1. [1] A B -algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfying the following axioms: for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$(B1) \quad x * x = 0,$$

$$(B2) \quad x * 0 = x,$$

$$(B3) \quad (x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y)).$$

A non-empty subset S of B -algebra $(X ; *, 0)$ is called a subalgebra of X if $x * y \in S$, for all $x, y \in S$. The concept of 0 -commutative B -algebras was also introduced in [9].

Definition 2.2. [9] A B -algebra $(X ; *, 0)$ is said to be 0 -commutative if $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$, for any $x, y \in X$.

Example 1. Let $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a set with Cayley table as follows:

Table 1: Cayley table for $(A ; *, 0)$

*	0	1	2
0	0	2	1
1	1	0	2
2	2	1	0

From Table 1 we get the value of main diagonal is 0, such that A satisfies $x * x = 0$, for all $x \in A$ (B1 axiom). In the second column we see that for all $x \in A$, then $x * 0 = x$ (B2 axiom) and it also satisfies $(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$, for all $x, y, z \in A$. Hence, $(A ; *, 0)$ be a B -algebra. It easy to check $(A ; *, 0)$ satisfies $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$, for all $x, y \in A$. Hence, A be a 0-commutative B -algebra.

Example 2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be a set with Cayley table as follows:

Table 2: Cayley table for $(X ; *, 0)$

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	2	1	3	4	5
1	1	0	2	4	5	3
2	2	1	0	5	3	4
3	3	4	5	0	2	1
4	4	5	3	1	0	2
5	5	3	4	2	1	0

Then, $(X ; *, 0)$ is a B -algebra and the set $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is a subalgebra of X .

The concept of (f,g) -derivation in B -algebra was discussed in [7]. For a B -algebra $(X; *, 0)$, one can define binary operation “ \wedge ” as $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$, for all $x, y \in X$. A mapping f of a B -algebra X into itself is called an endomorphism of X if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Note that $f(0) = 0$.

Definition 2.3. [7] Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a B -algebra. By a left-right f -derivation (briefly, (l, r) - f -derivation) of X , a self-map d of X satisfying the identity $d(x * y) = (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * d(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$, where f is an endomorphism of X . If X satisfies the identity $d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * f(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$, then we say that d is a (r, l) - f -derivation. Moreover, if d is both a (l, r) - f -derivation and a (r, l) - f -derivation, we say that d is a f -derivation of X .

Definition 2.4. [7] Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a B -algebra. By a (l, r) - (f, g) -derivation of X , a self-map d of X satisfying the identity $d(x * y) = (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (g(x) * d(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$, where f, g are two endomorphisms of X . If X satisfies the identity $d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * g(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$, then we say that d is a (r, l) - (f, g) -derivation. Moreover, if d is both a (l, r) - (f, g) -derivation and a (r, l) - (f, g) -derivation, we say that d is a (f, g) -derivation of X .

Definition 2.5. [2] A BG -algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfying the following axioms: for all $x, y \in X$,

- (B1) $x * x = 0$,
- (B2) $x * 0 = x$,
- (BG) $(x * y) * (0 * y) = x$.

Definition 2.6. [2] A BG -algebra $(X ; *, 0)$ is said to be 0-commutative if $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

A mapping f of a BG -algebra X into itself is called an endomorphism of X if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Note that $f(0) = 0$.

Example 3. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with Cayley table as follows:

Table 3: Cayley table for $(X; *, 0)$

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

Then, from Table 3 it can be shown that $(X; *, 0)$ is a BG-algebra.

Theorem 2.7. [2] If $(X; *, 0)$ is a BG-algebra, then

- (i) The right cancellation law hold, which is $x * y = z * y$ implies $x = z$,
- (ii) $0 * (0 * x) = x$, for all $x \in X$,
- (iii) If $x * y = 0$, then $x = y$, for all $x, y \in X$,
- (iv) If $0 * x = 0 * y$, then $x = y$, for all $x, y \in X$,
- (v) $(x * (0 * x)) * x = x$, for all $x \in X$.

The Theorem 2.7 has been proved in [2].

For a BG-algebra $(X; *, 0)$, we denote $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$.

Definition 2.8. [8] Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BG-algebra. By a (l, r) -derivation of X , a self-map d of X satisfying the identity $d(x * y) = (d(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$. If X satisfies the identity $d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, then we say that d is a (r, l) -derivation. Moreover, if d is both a (l, r) -derivation and a (r, l) -derivation, we say that d is a derivation of X .

Definition 2.9. [8] Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BG-algebra. By a left derivation in X , a self-map d of X satisfying the identity $d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (y * d(x))$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.10. [8] Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BG-algebra. A self-map d is said to be *regular* if $d(0) = 0$.

III. (f,g)-Derivation in BG-algebra

Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BG-algebra. Since a ring have two binary operations, then one can define binary operation “ \wedge ” as $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Let d is a self-map of X and f is an endomorphism of X , then by definition of derivation in ring we have

$$d(x * y) = (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * d(y)) \tag{3.1}$$

From equation (3.1) we obtain all of f -derivations in X , i.e.,

$$d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * f(y)) \tag{3.2}$$

$$d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (f(y) * d(x)) \tag{3.3}$$

$$d(x * y) = (d(y) * f(x)) \wedge (f(y) * d(x)) \tag{3.4}$$

$$d(x * y) = (d(y) * f(x)) \wedge (d(x) * f(y)) \tag{3.5}$$

$$d(x * y) = (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (d(y) * f(x)) \tag{3.6}$$

Then, we investigate equations (3.1) to (3.6), for all $x, y \in X$:

1. By equation (3.1) we obtain $d(x * y) = (d(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y))$. Since, this derivation begins from left to right, it is then called *left-right* derivation (briefly, (l, r) -derivation).
2. By equation (3.2) obtained $d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y)$. Since, this derivation begins from right to left, it is then called *right-left* derivation (briefly, (r, l) -derivation).
3. If d is both a (l, r) -derivation and a (r, l) -derivation, we say that d is a derivation of X .
4. By equation (3.3) we yield $d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (y * d(x))$, we say that d is a left derivation of X .
5. By equation (3.4) we obtain $d(x * y) = (d(y) * f(x)) \wedge (f(y) * d(x))$. If operation $*$ is a *commutative* in X , then it is the same by equation (3.3).
6. By equation (3.5) we obtain $d(x * y) = (d(y) * f(x)) \wedge (d(x) * f(y))$. If operation $*$ is a *commutative* in X , then it is the same by equation (3.2).
7. By equation (3.6) we obtain $d(x * y) = (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (d(y) * f(x))$. If operation $*$ is a *commutative* in X , then it is the same by equation (3.1).

From all of f -derivations in BG-algebras investigated from 1 to 7 above, we get the following definitions.

Definition 3.1. Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BG-algebra. By a (l, r) - f -derivation of X , a self-map d of X satisfying the identity $d(x * y) = (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * d(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$, where f is an endomorphism of X . If X satisfies the identity $d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * f(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$, then we say that d is a (r, l) - f -derivation. Moreover, if d is both a (l, r) - f -derivation and a (r, l) - f -derivation, we say that d is a f -derivation of X .

Definition 3.2. Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BG-algebra. By a left f -derivation in X , a self-map d of X satisfying the identity $d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (f(y) * d(x))$, for all $x, y \in X$, where f is an endomorphism of X .

Example 1. Let $(Z; -, 0)$ be a set of integers Z with a subtraction operation and a constant 0. Then, it is easy to prove that Z is a BG-algebra. Let d is a self-map of X by $d(x) = f(x) - 1$, for all $x \in Z$, where f is an endomorphism of Z , then from Definition 3.1 we have $d(x - y) = f(x - y) - 1 = f(x) - f(y) - 1$, for all $x, y \in Z$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x - y) &= (d(x) - f(y)) \wedge (f(x) - d(y)) \\ &= (f(x) - 1 - f(y)) \wedge (f(x) - (f(y) - 1)) \\ &= (f(x) - f(y) - 1) \wedge (f(x) - (f(y) - 1)) \\ &= f(x) - f(y) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus d is a (l, r) - f -derivation in Z . But, for all $x, y \in Z$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x) - d(y)) \wedge (d(x) - f(y)) &= (f(x) - (f(y) - 1)) \wedge (f(x) - 1 - f(y)) \\ &= (f(x) - f(y) + 1) \wedge (f(x) - f(y) - 1) \\ &= (f(x) - f(y) - 1) - ((f(x) - f(y) - 1) - (f(x) - f(y) + 1)) \\ &= (f(x) - f(y) - 1) - (-2) \\ &= f(x) - f(y) + 1 \\ &\neq d(x - y). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that d is not a (r, l) - f -derivation in Z . Furthermore, from Definition 3.2 for all $x, y \in Z$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x) - d(y)) \wedge (f(y) - d(x)) &= (f(x) - (f(y) - 1)) \wedge (f(y) - (f(x) - 1)) \\ &= (f(x) - f(y) + 1) \wedge (f(y) - f(x) + 1) \\ &= (f(y) - f(x) + 1) - ((f(y) - f(x) + 1) - (f(x) - f(y) + 1)) \\ &= (f(y) - f(x) + 1) - (2f(y) - 2f(x)) \\ &= -f(y) - f(x) + 1 \\ &\neq d(x - y) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this shows that d is not a left f -derivation in Z .

Example 2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a set with Cayley table as follows:

Table 4: Cayley table for $(X; *, 0)$

*	0	1	2
0	0	2	1
1	1	0	2
2	2	1	0

Then, it is easy to show that X is a BG-algebra. Define a map $d, f: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$d(x) = f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then f is an endomorphism of X . Also, it can be shown that d is a (l, r) - f -derivation and a (r, l) - f -derivation of X , we say that d is a f -derivation of X . We can also show that d is a left f -derivation in X .

Theorem 3.3. Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BG-algebra, d is a self-map of X and d is a *regular*, where f is an endomorphism of X .

- (i) If d is a (l, r) - f -derivation in X , then $d(x) = d(x) \wedge f(x)$, for all $x \in X$,
- (ii) If d is a (r, l) - f -derivation in X , then $d(x) = f(x) \wedge d(x)$, for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let X be a BG-algebra, where f is an endomorphism of X ,

- (i) If d is a (l, r) - f -derivation in X , then $d(x * y) = (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (f(x) * d(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$. Since d is a *regular*, then $d(0) = 0$, and by axiom $B2$ of BG -algebra we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= d(x * 0) \\ &= (d(x) * f(0)) \wedge (f(x) * d(0)) \\ &= (d(x) * 0) \wedge (f(x) * 0) \\ &= d(x) \wedge f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this shows that $d(x) = d(x) \wedge f(x)$.

- (ii) If d is a (r, l) - f -derivation in X , then obtained $d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * f(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$. Since d is a *regular*, then $d(0) = 0$, and by axiom $B2$ of BG -algebra we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= d(x * 0) \\ &= (f(x) * d(0)) \wedge (d(x) * f(0)) \\ &= (f(x) * 0) \wedge (d(x) * 0) \\ &= f(x) \wedge d(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, this shows that $d(x) = f(x) \wedge d(x)$.

The converse of Theorem 3.3 need not to be true in general.

From definition of f -derivation in BG -algebra, we construct a definition of (f,g) -derivation in BG -algebra.

Definition 3.4. Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BG -algebra. By a (l, r) - (f, g) -derivation of X , a self-map d of X satisfying the identity $d(x * y) = (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (g(x) * d(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$, where f, g are two endomorphisms of X . If X satisfies the identity $d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * g(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$, then we say that d is a (r, l) - (f, g) -derivation. Moreover, if d is both a (l, r) - (f, g) -derivation and a (r, l) - (f, g) -derivation, we say that d is a (f, g) -derivation of X .

Definition 3.5. Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BG -algebra. By a left (f,g) -derivation in X , a self-map d of X satisfying the identity $d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (g(y) * d(x))$, for all $x, y \in X$, where f, g are two endomorphisms of X .

Example 3. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with *Cayley* table as follows:

Table 5: *Cayley* table for $(X; *, 0)$

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	2	1	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	1	2	0

Then, it is easy to show that X is a BG -algebra. Define a map $d, f: X \rightarrow X$ and g by

$$d(x) = f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 2, \\ 3 & \text{if } x = 3, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 3 & \text{if } x = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

Then, we can prove that f and g are two endomorphisms of X and d is both a f -derivation and a (f,g) -derivation in X .

Theorem 3.6. Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BG -algebra, d be a (l, r) - (f,g) -derivation in X , and d is a *regular*, then for all $x \in X$, $d(x) = d(x) \wedge g(x)$, where f and g are two endomorphisms of X .

Proof. Let X be a BG -algebra, d be a (l, r) - (f,g) -derivation in X , where f and g are two endomorphisms of X , then obtained $d(x * y) = (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (g(x) * d(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$. Since d is a *regular*, then $d(0) = 0$, and by axiom $B2$ of BG -algebra we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= d(x * 0) \\ &= (d(x) * f(0)) \wedge (g(x) * d(0)) \\ &= (d(x) * 0) \wedge (g(x) * 0) \\ &= d(x) \wedge g(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this shows that $d(x) = d(x) \wedge g(x)$.

Let $(X;*,0)$ be a BG -algebra, d be a (r,l) - (f,g) -derivation in X , and d is a *regular*, then $d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * g(y))$, for all $x, y \in X$. Since d is a *regular*, then $d(0) = 0$, and by axiom $B2$ of BG -algebra we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= d(x * 0) \\ &= (f(x) * d(0)) \wedge (d(x) * g(0)) \\ &= (f(x) * 0) \wedge (d(x) * 0) \\ &= f(x) \wedge d(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if d is a *regular*, then $d(x) = f(x) \wedge d(x)$, which is notion in a way similar to the notion in Theorem 3.3(ii) for d be a (r,l) - f -derivation.

Theorem 3.7. Let $(X;*,0)$ be a BG -algebra. If d is a left (f,g) -derivation in X and d is a *regular*, then $d(0) = f(x) * d(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where f and g are two endomorphisms of X .

Proof. Let $(X;*,0)$ be a BG -algebra, f, g are two endomorphisms of X , and d be a left (f,g) -derivation in X , then we have $d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (g(y) * d(x))$, for all $x, y \in X$. Since d is a *regular*, then $d(0) = 0$, and by axioms $B1$ and $B2$ of BG -algebra we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(0) &= d(x * x) \\ 0 &= (f(x) * d(x)) \wedge (g(x) * d(x)) \\ (g(x) * d(x)) * (g(x) * d(x)) &= (g(x) * d(x)) * ((g(x) * d(x)) * (f(x) * d(x))) \\ (g(x) * d(x)) &= (g(x) * d(x)) * (f(x) * d(x)) \\ (g(x) * d(x)) * 0 &= (g(x) * d(x)) * (f(x) * d(x)) \\ 0 &= f(x) * d(x) \\ d(0) &= f(x) * d(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d(0) = f(x) * d(x)$, for all $x \in X$.

Corollary 3.8. Let $(X;*,0)$ be a BG -algebra, d be a left (f,g) -derivation in X , where f, g are two endomorphisms of X , and d is a *regular*, then

- (i) $f(x) * d(x) = f(y) * d(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$,
- (ii) d is a one-one function.
- (iii) $f(x) = d(x)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof.

(i) Let X be a BG -algebra, d be a left (f,g) -derivation in X , then from Theorem 3.7 obtained $d(0) = f(x) * d(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Then, replacing x by $y \in X$ we have that $d(0) = f(y) * d(y)$, such that $f(x) * d(x) = f(y) * d(y)$.

(ii) Let $x, y \in X$ such that $d(x) = d(y)$. By Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 (i) obtained $d(0) = f(x) * d(x)$ and $d(0) = f(y) * d(y)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(0) &= d(0), \\ f(x) * d(x) &= f(y) * d(y), \\ f(x) * d(x) &= f(y) * d(x), \\ f(x) &= f(y), \\ x &= y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this shows that d is a one-one function.

(iii) Since d is a *regular*, then $d(0) = 0$, by Theorem 3.7 and the axiom $B1$ of BG -algebra, for all $x \in X$ obtained

$$\begin{aligned} d(0) &= f(x) * d(x), \\ 0 &= f(x) * d(x), \\ f(x) * f(x) &= f(x) * d(x), \\ f(x) &= d(x). \end{aligned}$$

Proving the corollary.

IV. Conclusion

The notion of (f,g) -derivation in BG -algebra, which is defined in a way similar to the notion in B -algebra has similarities in some of related properties, such as if d is a *regular*, then $d(x) = f(x) \wedge d(x)$, for d be a (r,l) - f -derivation or a (r,l) - (f,g) -derivation in BG -algebra. However, they also have some different properties.

References

- [1] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, On B -algebras, *Matematicki Vesnik*, 54, 2002, 21-29.
- [2] C. B. Kim and H. S. Kim, On BG -algebras, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, 41, 2008, 497-505.
- [3] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, On derivations of BCI -algebras, *Information Sciences*, 159, 2004, 167-176.
- [4] H. A. S. Abujabal and N. O. Al-Shehri , On Left Derivations of BCI -algebras, *Soochow Journal Of Mathematics*, 33, 2007, 435-444.
- [5] J. Zhan and Y. L. Liu, f -derivations of BCI -algebras, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 11, 2005, 1675-1684.
- [6] N. O. Al-shehrie, Derivation of B -algebras, *JKAU: Sci*, 22, 2010, 71-82.
- [7] L. K. Ardekani and B. Davvas, On (f,g) -derivations of B -algebras, *Matematk Bechk*, 2, 2014, 125-132.
- [8] Kamaludin, S. Gemawati, and Kartini, Derivations in BG -algebras, *International Journal of Algebra*, 13, 2019, 249-257.
- [9] K. Iseki, On BCI -algebras, *Mathematics Seminar Notes*, 8, 1980, 125-130.
- [10] H. S. Kim and H. G. Park, On 0-commutative B -algebras, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online*, 18, 2005, 31-36.