

## On Epi $\alpha$ -Regular Spaces

NADIA GHEITH<sup>1</sup> and ALAMIN ABUSBAIHA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics Department/University of Gharyan, Gharyan, Libya

<sup>2</sup>Mathematics Department/University of Gharyan, Mezda, Libya

**Abstract:** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be epi  $\alpha$ -regular if a coarser topology  $\tau'$  on  $X$  exists such that  $(X, \tau')$  is  $T_1$ ,  $\alpha$ -regular. In this article we introduce and implement this property and give some examples to show the relationships between epi  $\alpha$ -regular, epi-regular, epi-normal, submetrizable semiregular and Almost  $\alpha$ -normal (almost  $\beta$ -normal).

**Keywords:** Epi-regular, Epi  $\alpha$ -regular, Epi-normal, Semiregular, Submetrizable, and Almost  $\alpha$ -normal (almost  $\beta$ -normal).

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54D15 – 54B10.

Date of Submission: 30-05-2021

Date of Acceptance: 13-06-2021

### I. Introduction

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be epi-regular [4] if a coarser topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  exists such that  $(X, \tau')$  is  $T_1$ , regular. A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -regular [10], [30] if for every closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  and  $x \in X$  such that  $x \notin F$  there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $V \cap F$  is dense in  $F$ . We use these definitions to introduce another new topological property as a simultaneous generalization called epi  $\alpha$ -regularity. The intent of this article is to implement this property. We show the relationship between epi  $\alpha$ -regular space,  $\alpha$ -normal,  $\alpha$ -regular, epi-normal, epi-regular, semiregular and Almost  $\alpha$ -normal (almost  $\beta$ -normal) spaces. Also we show that every epi  $\alpha$ -regular space is Hausdorff. We prove that submetrizable or  $T_1$ ,  $\alpha$ -regularity imply epi  $\alpha$ -regularity but the converse is not correct in general. We give some examples to show that epi  $\alpha$ -regularity,  $\alpha$ -regularity and semiregularity are not necessarily related.

### II. Epi $\alpha$ -Regularity

**Definition 1.1.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be epi  $\alpha$ -regular if a coarser topology  $\tau'$  on  $X$  exists such that  $(X, \tau')$  is  $T_1$ ,  $\alpha$ -regular.

Note that if we necessarily let  $(X, \tau')$  to be just  $\alpha$ -regular in the above definition, then any space will be epi  $\alpha$ -regular since the indiscrete topology will satisfy the property.

Observe that if for any topological space  $(X, \tau)$  which is  $T_i$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  then any larger topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  so is, and since every  $\alpha$ -regular  $T_1$  is Hausdorff [10], [30], then we can conclude the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** Every epi  $\alpha$ -regular space is Hausdorff. ■

We note that if  $X$  is not  $T_i$ , where  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , then  $X$  is not epi  $\alpha$ -regular. For example, Sierpinski space and The closed extension topology see [9], are not Hausdorff, then they cannot be epi  $\alpha$ -regular. Since every regular space is  $\alpha$ -regular, then the next theorem is true.

**Theorem 1.3.** Every epi-regular space is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

The opposite direction of the above statement is not always true, but we still have the following correct.

**Theorem 1.4.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, and the witnesses of epi  $\alpha$ -regularity  $(X, \tau')$  is first countable, then  $(X, \tau)$  is epi-regular. ■

Before proofing the above theorem, we need the following proposition which is proved by a similar argument found in [29].

**Proposition 1.5.** [30] Every first countable  $\alpha$ -regular Hausdorff space is regular.

**Proof.** Using a contradiction, we suppose that  $X$  is a first countable, Hausdorff and non regular space. Then there is an  $x \in X$  and a closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $x \notin A$  where there are no disjoint open sets that separate them.

Let  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  be an open base in  $x$  such that  $U_{n+1} \subset U_n$  for all  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $H = \{x_n : x_n \in \overline{U_n} \cap A, n \in \omega\}$ . Note that  $x_n$  was chosen inductively and because the space  $X$  is Hausdorff, we can also suppose at each step of the induction that  $x_n \notin \overline{U_{n+1}}$ , it follows that  $x_n \in \overline{U_m}$  if and only if  $m \leq n$ .

The set  $H$  is closed. Indeed, if  $y \notin \overline{H^\circ}$ , then  $X \setminus (\overline{U_n} \cap A)$  is an open set containing  $y$  and not intersecting  $\overline{H^\circ}$  which implies that  $X \setminus (\overline{U_n} \cap A)$  is a neighborhood open set containing  $y$  and not intersecting  $H$ . Therefore  $y \notin H$ . Note that  $x \notin H$ . Since  $x$  and  $H$  can not be separated, so  $X$  is not an  $\alpha$ -regular space. ■

**Proof of theorem (1.4):** It is straightforward by proposition 1.5 and theorem 1.3. ■

**Theorem 1.6.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, and the witnesses of epi  $\alpha$ -regularity  $(X, \tau')$  is first countable, then  $(X, \tau)$  is completely Hausdorff.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be any epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, and let  $x, y$  be any distinct points in  $X$ , then one can find a coarser topology  $\tau'$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, \tau')$  is  $T_1$ ,  $\alpha$ -regular, and then  $(X, \tau')$  is Hausdorff [10]. It follows that there exist two disjoint open sets  $G, H \in \tau'$  such that  $x \in G, y \in H$ . Now since  $(X, \tau')$  is first countable then by proposition 1.5  $(X, \tau')$  is regular, so there exist  $U, V \in \tau'$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq \overline{U}^{\tau'} \subseteq G$  and  $y \in V \subseteq \overline{V}^{\tau'} \subseteq H$ , where  $\overline{U}^{\tau'} = \{x \in X: W \cap U \neq \emptyset, \forall \text{ open } W \text{ in } \tau', x \in W\}$  similarly  $\overline{V}^{\tau'}$ . Since  $\overline{U}^{\tau'} \subseteq \overline{A}^{\tau'}$ , for any  $A \subseteq X$ , this implies That  $\overline{U}^{\tau'} \subseteq \overline{U}^{\tau'}$ . As  $\overline{U}^{\tau'} \cap \overline{V}^{\tau'} = \emptyset$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is completely Hausdorff. ■

Thus any space  $(X, \tau)$  which is not completely Hausdorff, such that any coarser topology of it is  $T_2$  first countable, cannot be epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

Since any  $\beta$ -normal or  $\alpha$ -normal [26] satisfying  $T_1$  axiom is  $\alpha$ -regular [30], [10], then we end to the following theorem

**Theorem 1.7.** Every epi  $\beta$ -normal (epi  $\alpha$ -normal) space is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

As every second countable  $T_3$  space is metrizable, [[8],4.2.9], and since every second countable is first countable then by proposition 1.5 we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.8.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular and the witness of epi  $\alpha$ -regularity  $(X, \tau')$  is second countable, then  $(X, \tau)$  is submetrizable. ■

Note that corollary 1.8 is not correct in general. For example, the Tychonoff Plank  $((\omega_1 + 1) \times (\omega_0 + 1)) \setminus \{(\omega_1, \omega_0)\}$  is Tychonoff being Hausdorff locally compact, and hence it is epi  $\alpha$ -regular, but it is not submetrizable, because if it was, then  $(\omega_1 + 1) \times \{0\} \subseteq ((\omega_1 + 1) \times (\omega_0 + 1)) \setminus \{(\omega_1, \omega_0)\}$  is submetrizable, because submetrizability is hereditary, but  $(\omega_1 + 1) \times \{0\} \cong \omega_1 + 1$  and  $\omega_1 + 1$  is not submetrizable.

It is well known that  $T_2$  paracompact space is  $T_4$ , then we have the following result proved.

**Corollary 1.9.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular and the witness of epi  $\alpha$ -regularity  $(X, \tau')$  is paracompact, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_4$ . ■

Also, we remind that any  $T_2$  compact space is  $T_4$ , and we conclude.

**Corollary 1.10.** Any epi  $\alpha$ -regular compact space is  $T_4$ . ■

A Hausdorff space  $X$  is said to be  $H$ -closed if  $X$  is a closed subspace of every Hausdorff space in which it is contained [[8],3.12.5]. Since a regular space is  $H$ -closed if and only if it is compact [[8],3.12.5]. Then we can prove a similar argument for epi  $\alpha$ -regularity.

**Corollary 1.11.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular compact space, then the witness of epi  $\alpha$ -regularity  $(X, \tau')$  is  $H$ -closed. ■

**Theorem 1.12.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, then for every compact subset  $F$  of  $X$  and every  $x \in X$  such that  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U, W$  such that  $\overline{F \cap U} = F$  and  $x \in W$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, then a coarser topology  $\tau'$  on  $X$  exists such that  $(X, \tau')$  is  $\alpha$ -regular,  $T_1$ . Let  $F$  be any compact set in  $(X, \tau)$  and let  $x \notin F$ , hence  $F$  is closed in  $(X, \tau')$  and  $x \notin F$ , by  $\alpha$ -regularity of  $(X, \tau')$ , there exist  $U, W \in \tau'$  such that  $F \cap U = F, x \in W$  and  $U \cap W = \emptyset$ . ■

**Corollary 1.13.** If  $F$  and  $E$  disjoint compact sets in an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space  $X$ , then there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $W$  such that  $\overline{F \cap U} = F, \overline{E \cap W} = E$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, then there exists a coarser topology  $\tau'$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, \tau')$  is  $\alpha$ -regular,  $T_1$ . Let  $F, E$  be any disjoint compact subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ , hence they are disjoint compact subsets of  $(X, \tau')$  and by theorem 1.12 for each  $a \in F$  and compact set  $E$ , there exist open sets  $U_a, W_a$  such that  $a \in U_a, \overline{E \cap W_a} = E$  and  $U_a \cap W_a = \emptyset$ . Now consider  $F$  is an arbitrary compact set disjoint from  $E$ . For each  $a$  in  $F$ , by theorem 1.12 gives disjoint open sets  $U_{a_i}$  containing  $a$  and  $\overline{E \cap W_{a_i}} = E$  and  $U_{a_i} \cap W_{a_i} = \emptyset$ . The family  $\{U_{a_i}: i \in I\}$  is an open cover of  $F$ , since  $F$  is compact, there is a finite subfamily  $\{U_{a_1}, \dots, U_{a_n}\}$  which covers  $F$  and the corresponding  $\{\overline{W_{a_1}}, \dots, \overline{W_{a_n}}\}$  is a closed cover of  $E$ . So that  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{a_i}$  is an open set containing  $F$  and disjoint from  $W = \bigcap_{i=1}^n W_{a_i}$  which is an open set. such that  $\overline{F \cap U} = F, \overline{E \cap W} = E$ . Indeed, it is obvious that  $\overline{E \cap W} \subseteq E$ . On the other hand, let  $x \in E$ , and  $G$  is an open set containing  $x$ , we need to show  $G \cap E \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $G \cap E \cap W = \emptyset$ , then there is  $1 \leq j \leq n$  such that  $G \cap E \cap W_{a_j} = \emptyset$ , since  $G$  is open then  $x \notin \overline{E \cap W_{a_j}}$  which is a contradiction. Therefore  $G \cap E \cap W \neq \emptyset$  which implies that  $x \in \overline{E \cap W}$ . Hence  $\overline{E \cap W} = E$ , and we are done. ■

### III. Properties of Epi $\alpha$ -Regularity

**Theorem 2.1.** [10] Let  $X$  be an  $\alpha$ -regular space,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an onto, continuous, open, and closed function. Then  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -regular.

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be an  $\alpha$ -regular space,  $A$  be a closed subset of  $Y$  and  $y \in Y$  such that  $y \notin A$ . Then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a closed subset of  $X$  and there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$  and  $x \notin f^{-1}(A)$ . Since  $X$  is an  $\alpha$ -regular space, there exist disjoint open subsets  $G$  and  $H$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in H$  and  $f^{-1}(A) \cap G = f^{-1}(A)$ , and so  $x \notin \bar{G}$ . Since  $x \notin \bar{G}$ , then  $y \notin f(\bar{G})$ . It is clear that  $f(\bar{G})$  is a closed set containing the open set  $f(G)$ ,  $\overline{f(G)} \subseteq f(\bar{G})$ . Thus  $y \notin \overline{f(G)}$  which implies  $y \in f(H)$  and  $f(G) \cap f(H) = \emptyset$ . Now it is sufficient to show that  $\overline{A \cap f(G)} = A$ . Let  $z \in A$  and  $W$  is an open set containing  $z$ , then  $f^{-1}(z) \subseteq f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(W)$ . Since  $\overline{f^{-1}(A) \cap G} = f^{-1}(A)$ ,  $f^{-1}(A) \cap G \cap f^{-1}(W) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence by surjectivity of  $f$ ,  $A \cap f(G) \cap W = f(f^{-1}(A)) \cap f(G) \cap f(f^{-1}(W)) \supseteq f(f^{-1}(A) \cap G \cap f^{-1}(W)) \neq \emptyset$  as required. ■

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space,  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{S})$  is an onto, continuous, open, and closed function. Then  $Y$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be any epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, let  $\tau'$  be a coarser topology on  $X$  such that  $(X, \tau')$  is  $\alpha$ -regular,  $T_1$ . Since  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an onto, continuous, open, and closed function then by theorem 2.13  $(Y, \mathcal{S}')$ , where  $\mathcal{S}' = \{f\{U\}: U \in \tau'\}$ , is  $\alpha$ -regular, and it is obviously  $T_1$ . Hence  $(Y, \mathcal{S})$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

**Corollary 2.3.** Epi  $\alpha$ -regularity is a topological property. ■

The proof of the following theorem is due to Murtinová.

**Theorem 2.4.** [30], [10] Every subspace of an  $\alpha$ -regular space is  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be an  $\alpha$ -regular space and  $A$  is a subspace  $X$ ,  $y \in A$  and  $y \notin F \subset A$ ,  $\bar{F} \cap A = F$  where  $\bar{F}$  refers to the closure of  $F$  in  $X$ . Then  $y \notin \bar{F}$  and  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -regular, hence there are disjoint open sets  $U, V$  in  $X$  such that  $y \in U$  and  $\bar{F} \cap \bar{V} = F$ . The sets  $U \cap A$  and  $V \cap A$  are the sets witnessing  $\alpha$ -regularity of  $A$ . Indeed, they are disjoint, open in  $A$ ,  $y \in U \cap A$ . It remains to show that  $F \cap V \cap A$  is dense in  $F$  in the space  $A$ . The  $A$ -closure of  $F \cap V$  is  $\overline{F \cap V} \cap A \subset \bar{F} \cap A = F$ . On the other hand, let  $x \in F$ ,  $W$  is an open subset in  $X$ ,  $x \in W$ . We have to prove that  $W \cap F \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose for contradiction that  $W \cap F \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $W \cap V$  is open,  $W \cap \bar{F} \cap V = \emptyset$  as well. And since  $W$  is open,  $\emptyset = W \cap \bar{F} \cap V = W \cap \bar{F}$ . But  $x \in W \cap \bar{F}$  which is a contradiction. ■

**Corollary 2.5.** Epi  $\alpha$ -regularity is a hereditary property.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space and let  $(A, \tau_A)$  be a subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ . Let  $\tau'$  be a coarser topology on  $X$  such that  $(X, \tau')$  is  $\alpha$ -regular,  $T_1$ . The subspace  $(A, \tau'_A)$  is  $\alpha$ -regular,  $T_1$  as  $\alpha$ -regular [30], [10],  $T_1$  is hereditary 2.5, and  $\tau'_A \subseteq \tau_A$ , therefore  $(A, \tau_A)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

**Theorem 2.6.**  $\alpha$ -regularity are additive properties.

**Proof.** Let  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be a family of  $\alpha$ -regular spaces, and  $A$  be a closed subset of the sum  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$ ,  $x \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$  such that  $x \notin A$ . By proposition 2.2.1 in [8] the intersections  $A \cap X_\alpha$  is closed in  $X_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and  $x \notin A \cap X_\alpha$ . From  $\alpha$ -regularity of  $X_\alpha$  it follows that there are two open sets  $U_\alpha$  and  $V_\alpha$  in  $X_\alpha$  and such that

$$\overline{A \cap X_\alpha \cap U_\alpha} = A \cap X_\alpha, x \in V_\alpha$$

and

$$\overline{U \cap A} = \emptyset$$

Let  $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$  and  $V = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha$ , then clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{A \cap U} &= \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (A \cap U_\alpha)} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \bar{A} = \bar{A} = A, x \in V \\ U \cap V &= \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (U_\alpha \cap V_\alpha) = \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

Since  $U$  and  $V$  are open in  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$ , the sum  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

**Theorem 2.7.** Epi  $\alpha$ -regularity is an additive property.

**Proof.** Let  $(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$  be an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , let  $\tau'_\alpha$  be a topology on  $X_\alpha$  coarser than  $\tau_\alpha$  such that  $(X_\alpha, \tau'_\alpha)$  is  $\alpha$ -regular,  $T_1$ . since  $T_1$  is additive see [[8], 2.2.7] and  $\alpha$ -regularity is also additive by theorem 2.6. Then  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (X_\alpha, \tau'_\alpha)$  is  $\alpha$ -regular,  $T_1$ , and its topology is coarser than the topology on  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$ . ■

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $\{(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a family of epi-regular spaces, and let  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular, where  $\tau$  is the Tychonoff product topology, if only if  $(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, and let  $\beta \in \Lambda$ , by Theorem 2.5, every subspace of  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. By [[31], 2.39], there is a subspace of  $(X, \tau)$  that is homeomorphic to  $X_\beta$ . since epi  $\alpha$ -regularity is a topological property then  $(X_\beta, \tau_\beta)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

Now let  $(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$  be epi-regular, epi  $\alpha$ -regular space for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , let  $\tau'_\alpha$  be a topology on  $X_\alpha$ , coarser than  $\tau_\alpha$  such that  $(X_\alpha, \tau'_\alpha)$  is  $T_3$ . since  $T_3$  is multiplicative [[8], 2.3.11]. Then  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$  is  $T_3$  with respect of the product topology of  $\tau'_\alpha$ s, which implies that  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -regular  $T_1$  with respect of the product topology of  $\tau'_\alpha$ s and its topology is coarser than the topology on  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$ . ■

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the real line. Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of all irrational numbers and  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the rational numbers. Let  $U$  be the usual topology of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . The real line with the topology generated by  $\mathcal{B} = \{(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) : x \in \mathbb{Q}\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \in \mathbb{P}\}$  is called the *Michael line* and is denoted by  $M$ . And  $M \times P$ , where  $\mathbb{P}$  has the usual topology, is called the *Michael product* [8]. As the Michael line is  $\alpha$ -regular,  $T_1$ , hence we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.9.** The Michael line is epi  $\alpha$ -regular space. ■

The space  $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{P}$  is  $\alpha$ -regular,  $T_1$  space being product of two (regular)  $\alpha$  regular,  $T_1$  spaces, so we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.10.** The Michael product is an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space. ■

Note that epi-regularity is invariant under products, however, this is not the case for  $\alpha$ -regularity as Murtinová in [30] proved that  $\alpha$ -regularity is not preserved under products. Regarding Murtinová result in [30], the following theorem proves that epi  $\alpha$ -regularity is not preserved by products and at the same time we construct a non epi  $\alpha$ -regular space from a non epi-regular space.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $A(\kappa)$  is the one-point compactification of a discrete set of cardinality  $\kappa$ . Then for every non-epi-regular  $T_1$  space  $X$  there is  $\kappa \leq \chi(X)$  such that  $X \times A(\kappa)$  is not epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

**Proof.** By a similar argument used in theorem [7] in [30]. ■

It follows that product of an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space and a compact zero dimensional space may fail to be epi  $\alpha$ -regular. In particular it means that epi  $\alpha$ -regularity is not preserved by products.

There are many ways of producing a new topological space from an old one. In 1929, Alexandroff introduced his method by constructing the Double Circumference Space [1]. In 1968, R. Engelking generalized this construction to an arbitrary space as follows: Let  $X$  be any topological space. Let  $X' = X \times \{1\}$ . Note that  $X \cap X' = \emptyset$ . Let  $A(X) = X \cup X'$ . For simplicity, for an element  $x \in X$ , we will denote the element  $(x, 1)$  in  $X'$  by  $x'$  and for a subset  $B \subseteq X$  let  $B' = \{x' : x \in B\} = B \times \{1\} \subseteq X'$ . For each  $x' \in X'$ , let  $\mathcal{B}(x') = \{\{x'\}\}$  For each  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{B}(x) = \{U \cup (U' \setminus \{x'\}) : U \text{ is open in } X \text{ with } x \in U\}$ . Let  $\tau$  denote the unique topology on  $A(X)$  which has  $\{\mathcal{B}(x) : x \in X\} \cup \{\mathcal{B}(x') : x' \in X'\}$  as its neighborhood system.  $A(X)$  with this topology is called the *Alexandroff Duplicate* of  $X$  [9]. The following is easy to prove.

**Lemma 2.12.** If  $X$  is  $T_1$  then its Alexandroff Duplicate  $A(X)$  is also  $T_1$ . ■

**Theorem 2.13.** [10] If  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -regular satisfying  $T_1$  axiom, then its Alexandroff Duplicate  $A(X)$  is also  $\alpha$ -regular.

**Proof.** Let  $E$  be a closed set in  $A(X)$  and  $x \in A(X)$  such that  $x \notin E$ . Write  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$ , where  $E_1 = E \cap X, E_2 = E \cap X'$ . So  $x \notin E_1$  in  $X$  and  $x' = (x, 1) \notin E_2$ . By  $\alpha$ -regularity of  $X$ , there exist two disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $E_1 \cap U$  is dense in  $E_1$  and  $x \in V$ . Since  $X$  is  $T_1$  we can choose  $W_1 = (U \cup U' \cup E_2) \setminus \{x\}$  and  $W_2 = (V \cup V' \cup \{x'\}) \setminus E$ . Then  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are disjoint open sets in  $A(X)$ , and  $x' \in W_2$ . Now, we prove  $W_1 \cap E$  is dense in  $E$ . Note that  $W_1 \cap E = (W_1 \cap E_1) \cup (W_1 \cap E_2) = (U \cap E_1) \cup E_2$ , so  $\overline{(W_1 \cap E)} = \overline{(U \cap E_1)} \cup \overline{E_2} = \overline{(U \cap E_1)} \cup \overline{E_2} \supseteq E_1 \cup \overline{E_2} \supseteq E$ . Therefore,  $W_1 \cap E$  is dense in  $E$ . Then  $A(X)$  is  $\alpha$ -regular. Hence  $\alpha$ -regularity is preserved by the Alexandroff Duplicate space. ■

**Theorem 2.14.** If  $(X, \mathcal{K})$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular, then so is its Alexandroff Duplicate  $(A(X), \tau)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{K})$  be an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, then a coarser topology  $\mathcal{K}'$  on  $X$  exists such that  $(X, \mathcal{K}')$  is  $T_1, \alpha$ -regular. Let  $(A(X), \tau')$  be the Alexandroff Duplicate of  $(X, \mathcal{K}')$ . Since by theorem 2.13  $\alpha$ -regularity is preserved by the Alexandroff Duplicate space and also  $T_1$ , then  $(A(X), \tau')$  is also  $T_1, \alpha$ -regular, and it is obviously coarser than  $(A(X), \tau)$  by the topology of the Alexandroff Duplicate. Hence,  $A(X)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

In 1951, Bing [5] and Hanner [14] introduced a new topological space by generating it from an old topological space. This new space is called *discrete extension*.

**Definition 2.15.** Let  $M$  be a non-empty proper subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Define a new topology  $\tau_M = \{U \cup K : U \in \tau \text{ and } K \subseteq X \setminus M\}$ . The space  $(X, \tau_M)$  is called *discrete extension*, and denoted by  $X_M$ , see [8], [21]. In [21], properties such as countable tightness, Fréchet, and weaker types of normality were investigated for discrete extension. Here we study the relationship between a space  $X$  and a discrete extension  $X_M$  of  $X$  according to epi  $\alpha$ -regularity.

For any epi  $\alpha$ -regular space  $(X, \tau)$  we have  $\tau' \subseteq \tau \subseteq \tau_M$  where  $\tau'$  is Tychonoff, so we have the following proved.

**Theorem 2.16.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, then also is  $X_M$ . ■

Since any Hausdorff locally compact is Tychonoff and hence epi  $\alpha$ -regular, then by theorem 2.16 the following is easy to prove

**Corollary 2.17.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is a Hausdorff locally compact space, then  $X_M$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

#### IV. Epi $\alpha$ -Regularity And Some Other Separation Axioms

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *submetrizable* if there exists a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that the topology  $\tau_d$  on  $X$  generated by  $d$  is coarser than  $\tau$ , i.e.,  $\tau_d \subseteq \tau$ , see [13], since, by definitions, any submetrizable space is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. The converse of the last statement is not true in general. For example,  $\omega_1 + 1$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular being  $T_2$  compact, hence  $\alpha$ -regular  $T_1$  and therefore epi  $\alpha$ -regular. But it is not submetrizable, because if  $\omega_1 + 1$

was submetrizable, then there would be a metric  $d$  on  $\omega_1 + 1$  such that the topology  $\tau_d$  on  $\omega_1 + 1$  generated by  $d$  is coarser than the usual ordered topology. This means that  $(\omega_1 + 1, \tau_d)$  is perfectly normal. So, the closed set  $\{\omega_1\}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(\omega_1 + 1, \tau_d)$ . i.e.,  $\{\omega_1\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ , where  $U_n \in \tau_d$ , hence  $U_n$  is open in the usual ordered topology on  $\omega_1 + 1$ , which is a contradiction.

Obviously, any  $\alpha$ -regular,  $T_1$  space is epi  $\alpha$ -regular, just by taking  $\tau' = \tau$ . However epi  $\alpha$ -regularity and  $\alpha$ -regularity do not imply each other. For example, the Half-Disc space [33] is epi  $\alpha$ -regular which is not  $\alpha$ -regular by proposition 1.5, since the space is Hausdorff first countable not regular. Similarly, Deleted Diameter topology [32] is epi  $\alpha$ -regular being submetrizable, but it is not  $\alpha$ -regular. Any indiscrete space which has more than one element is an example of an  $\alpha$ -regular space which is not epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

Semiregularization topologies were studied in [27], a *Semiregular* space is  $T_2$  space in which the regular open sets form a basis for the topology [33]. Epi  $\alpha$ -regularity and semiregularity are independent, for example the Half-Disc space [33], is epi  $\alpha$ -regular but not semiregular, It is epi  $\alpha$ -regular because it is submetrizable. and any indiscrete space which has more than one element is an example of a semiregular space which is not epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

Recall that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *extremally disconnected* if it is  $T_1$  and the closure of any open set is open [18]. Since every  $\alpha$ -regular, extremely disconnected space is regular [10], then we have the following correct.

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $X$  is an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space and the attested of epi  $\alpha$ -regularity is extremely disconnected, then  $X$  is epi-regular. ■

Recall that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *Zero-dimensional* if it is a non-empty  $T_1$  space and has a base consisting of open-and-closed sets [8].

Clearly, every zero-dimensional space is Tychonoff space, and hence  $T_3$ , so we conclude.

**Corollary 3.2.** Any zero-dimensional space is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

The converse of the above result is not always correct. For example, The Euclidean topology on the set of real numbers is epi  $\alpha$ -regular since it is  $T_3$  but not zero dimensional. The following example [22] is a modified example of *Mysior's example* from [28].

**Example 3.3.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be such that the intersection  $A_k = A \cap [k, k + 1)$  is uncountable for every integer  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\Delta = \{(a, a) : a \in A\}$  be the diagonal of  $X = A^2$  and define the following sets

$$U_k = \{(a, b) \in X : a > k\}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Gamma_a = \{(a + \varepsilon, a) \in X : \varepsilon \in [0, 3]\} \cup \{(a, a - \varepsilon) \in X : \varepsilon \in [0, 3]\}$$

for  $a \in A$ . Consider a topology  $\tau$  on  $X = A^2$  generated by a basis consisting of all singletons  $\{x\}$  with  $x \in X \setminus \Delta$  and all sets  $\Gamma_a \setminus F$ , where  $a \in A$  and  $F$  is finite. Clearly  $X$  is Hausdorff and zero-dimensional, and so is epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

The following example is constructed by Murtinová in [28] as she showed that it is an example of an  $\alpha$ -normal Hausdorff, hence  $\alpha$ -regular, non regular space.

**Example 3.4.**[29] Let  $X = \omega_1 + 1$  and define a topology  $\tau$  such that:  $\omega_1$  with the ordinal topology is an open subspace and a base in the point  $\omega_1$  will be the collection:

$$U_C = \{\omega_1\} \cup \{\alpha + 1 : \alpha \in C\}$$

where  $C$  is a closed unbounded subset of  $\omega_1$  (Club).

The topology  $\tau$  is Hausdorff since it is stronger than the order topology on  $\omega_1 + 1$ . This space is epi  $\alpha$ -regular since it is  $\alpha$ -regular Hausdorff and it is epinegular since it is stronger than the order topology on  $\omega_1 + 1$  but it is not regular nor first countable.

Note that *the right order topology* defined on the set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ [33] is an example of  $\beta$ -normal,  $\alpha$ -normal since there are no disjoint closed sets on it and it is not epi  $\alpha$ -regular since it is not Hausdorff.

Recall that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *epicompletely regular* [12] if there is a coarser topology  $\tau'$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, \tau')$  is Tychonoff. Note that if a topological space  $X$  is epi-regular, then the space is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. But the converse of the above statement is not always true. however, the following theorem is correct since epi-regularity implies epi-regularity.

**Corollary 3.5.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is an epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, and the witnesses of epi  $\alpha$ -regularity  $(X, \tau')$  is first countable, then  $(X, \tau)$  is epi-regular. ■

It is well known that every compact second countable topological space satisfying  $T_2$  axiom is metrizable, [[8],4.2.8] and this induces another result.

**Corollary 3.6.** If a topological space  $X$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular, compact, and the attested of epi  $\alpha$ -regular is second countable then the space is submetrizable. ■

Remind that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $C_2$ -paracompact if there is a  $T_2$ , paracompact space  $(Y, \delta)$  and a bijective map  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$  such that the restriction  $f|_A: A \rightarrow f(A)$  is a homeomorphism for every

compact subspace  $A \subseteq X$ . For more details see [15]. A space  $X$  is called *Fréchet* if for every  $A \subseteq X$  and every  $x \in \bar{A}$  there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of points of  $A$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , see [8].

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $C_2$ -paracompact and Fréchet, then  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $C_2$ -paracompact and Fréchet, then  $(X, \tau)$  is epinormal by theorem 2.16 in [15], then it is epi  $\alpha$ -normal. Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

**Theorem 3.8.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is Lindelöff epi  $\alpha$ -regular space and the attasted of epi  $\alpha$ -regularity  $(X, \tau')$  is first countable, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $C_2$ -paracompact.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Lindelöff epi  $\alpha$ -regular space, then there exists a coarser topological space  $(X, \tau')$  that is  $T_1$ ,  $\alpha$ -regular first countable, and hence is regular by proposition 1.5. since  $(X, \tau)$  is a Lindelöff space, then  $(X, \tau')$  is also Lindelöff and regular which implies that  $(X, \tau')$  is  $T_2$  and paracompact, and therefore the identity map  $\text{id} : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau')$  is the required map to have our space  $(X, \tau)$  to be  $C_2$ -paracompact. ■

Since any regular Lindelöff space is normal, then this is not hard to show

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an epi  $\alpha$ -regular Lindelöff space, and the attested of epi  $\alpha$ -regularity is first countable, then  $(X, \tau)$  is epinormal. ■

Remind that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *nearly compact* [23] if every open cover of  $X$  has a finite subfamily the interiors of the closures of whose members covers  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is a Hausdorff nearly compact space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff nearly compact space, and let  $\tau_A$  be the semi regularization of  $\tau$ , then  $\tau_s$  is a Hausdorff nearly compact space. Therefore  $\tau_A$  is  $T_4$ , and hence  $T_2, \alpha$ -regular. Therefore  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

Remind that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *partially normal* [18] if for any two disjoint subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , where  $A$  is regularly closed and  $B$  is  $\pi$ -closed, there exist two disjoint open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  containing  $A$  and  $B$  respectively.

**Theorem 3.11.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is a semi regular partial normal space and  $\tau_s$  is  $T_1$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

**Proof.** It is enough to show that  $(X, \tau_s)$  is  $\alpha$ -regular. Let  $U$  be any open set containing  $x$  in  $(X, \tau_s)$ . By semiregularity, there is an open set  $W$  such that  $x \subseteq W \subseteq \text{int}(\bar{W}) \subseteq U$ . Since  $\text{int}(\bar{W})$  is regularly open and using the same idea of theorem 2.11 in [2] there exists an open set  $V$  in  $(X, \tau_s)$  such that  $x \subseteq V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq \text{int}(\bar{W}) \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $\bar{A} \cap \bar{V} \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq \text{int}(\bar{U}) \subseteq B$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_s)$  is  $\alpha$ -regular, and then  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

Epi  $\alpha$ -regularity and  $\alpha$ -normality do not imply each other. For example, the Dieudonné topology and The deleted Tychonoff Plank, see [26] and [33], are not normal space nor  $\alpha$ -normal, but they are epi  $\alpha$ -regular because they are zero dimensional.

Remind that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *almost  $\alpha$ -normal* [11] if for any two disjoint closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  one of which is regularly closed there exist disjoint open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $A \cap U$  is dense in  $A$  and  $B \cap V$  is dense in  $B$ . That is,  $\overline{A \cap U} = A$  and  $\overline{B \cap V} = B$ . and a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *almost  $\beta$ -normal* [11] if for any two disjoint closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  one of which is regularly closed there exist disjoint open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $A \cap U$  is dense in  $A$  and  $B \cap V$  is dense in  $B$ . That is,  $\overline{A \cap U} = A$ ,  $\overline{B \cap V} = B$  and  $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ .

Note that almost  $\alpha$ -normality (almost  $\beta$ -normality) and epi  $\alpha$ -regularity are not related to each other. For example,  $\mathbb{R}$  with the particular point topology  $\tau_p$ , see [8], [33], where the particular point is  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , is not normal nor  $\beta$ -normal nor  $\alpha$ -normal. But the space is almost  $\beta$ -normal and almost  $\alpha$ -normal since the only regularly closed sets are  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\emptyset$ . However this space is not Hausdorff and then it is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. Conversely, Any indiscrete space which has more than one element is an example of an almost  $\alpha$ -normal (almost  $\beta$ -normal) space which is not epi  $\alpha$ -regular. However, every almost  $\alpha$  normal extremely disconnected space is epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

A  $\beta$ -normal epi  $\alpha$ -regular non normal space example found in [29] which as follows:

**Example 3.12.** Let  $S = \{\alpha < \omega_2 : cf(\alpha) = \omega_1\}$ , and consider the set  $X = \{(\alpha, \beta) : \beta \leq \alpha \leq \omega_2, (\alpha, \beta) \neq \omega_2, \omega_2\}$  and its partition into

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{(\alpha, \alpha) : \alpha < \omega_2\} \\ B &= \{(\omega_2, \beta) : \beta < \omega_2\} \\ D &= \{(\alpha, \beta) : \beta < \alpha < \omega_2\} \end{aligned}$$

Topologize  $X$  as follow: Let each  $(\alpha, \beta) \in D$  be isolated, and let an open base in  $(\alpha, \alpha) \in A$  consists of all sets of type

$$\{(\gamma, \gamma) : \alpha_0 < \gamma \leq \alpha\} \cup \{ \gamma \} \times C_\gamma : \alpha_0 < \gamma \leq \alpha, \gamma \in S\}$$

where  $\alpha_0 < \alpha$  and every  $C_\gamma$  is a closed and unbounded (club) subset of  $\gamma$ , and let an open base in  $(\omega_2, \beta) \in B$  consists of all sets

$$\{(\alpha, \gamma) : \beta_0 < \gamma \leq \beta, \alpha_\gamma < \gamma \leq \omega_2\}$$

where  $\beta_0 < \beta, \beta \leq \alpha_\gamma < \omega_2$ .

All above defined basic open neighborhoods are closed. That is,  $X$  is zero dimensional hence it is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. Murtinová in [29] proved that this space is  $\beta$ -normal non normal.

Remind that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *epi-mildly normal* [17] if there exists a coarser topology  $\tau'$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, \tau')$  is Hausdorff, mildly normal.

The following theorem induced by theorem 2.4 in [26] shows a relationship between epi-mildly normality,  $\beta$ -normal and epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

**Theorem 3.13.** If a topological space is epi-mildly normal and the witness of epi-mildly normality is  $\beta$ -normal then  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological epi-mildly normal space and the attested of epi-mildly normal epinormal is  $\beta$ -normal, then there is a coarser topological space  $(X, \tau')$  that is Hausdorff, mildly normal and  $\beta$ -normal, so by theorem (2.4) in [26] then  $(X, \tau')$  is Hausdorff and normal, and therefore it is Hausdorff  $\alpha$ -normal, and so  $(X, \tau')$  is  $T_1, \alpha$ -regular [10]. Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is epi  $\alpha$ -regular. ■

Epi  $\alpha$ -regularity does not imply mildly normality.

**Example 3.14.** [3] Let  $\mathbb{P}$  denote the irrationals and  $\mathbb{Q}$  denote the rationals. For each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $p_n = \left(p, \frac{1}{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . For each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , choose a sequence  $(p_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of rationals such that  $p_n' = (p_n^*, 0) \rightarrow (p, 0)$  where the convergence is taken in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with its usual topology  $\mathcal{U}$ . For each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_n((p, 0)) = \{p_k : k \geq n\}$  and  $B_n((p, 0)) = \{p_k : k \geq n\}$ . Now, for each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $U_n((p, 0)) = \{(p, 0)\} \cup A_n((p, 0)) \cup B_n((p, 0))$ . Let  $X = \{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{p_n = \left(p, \frac{1}{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . For each  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , let  $B((q, 0)) = \{(q, 0)\}$ . For each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , let  $B((p, 0)) = \{U_n((p, 0)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . For each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $B(p_n) = \{p_n\}$ . Denote by  $\tau$  the unique topology on  $X$  that has  $\{B((x, 0)), B(p_n) : x \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  as its neighborhood system. Let  $Z = \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . That is,  $Z$  is the  $x$ -axis. Then  $(Z, \tau) \cong (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{RS})$ , where  $\mathcal{RS}$  is the Rational Sequence Topology, see [33]. Since  $Z$  is closed in  $X$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{RS})$  is not normal, then  $X$  is not normal, but, since any basic open set is closed-and-open and  $X$  is  $T_1$ , then  $X$  is zero-dimensional, hence epi  $\alpha$ -regular. Now, Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  and  $B \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  be closed disjoint subsets that cannot be separated in  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{RS})$ . Let  $G = \cup \{B_1((p, 0)) : p \in A\}$  and  $H = \cup \{B_1((p, 0)) : p \in B\}$ . Then  $G$  and  $H$  are both open in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $\bar{G}$  and  $\bar{H}$  are disjoint closed domains that cannot be separated, hence  $X$  is not mildly normal.

Epi  $\alpha$ -regularity does not imply epinormality, and here is an example.

**Example 3.15.** Let  $G = D^{\omega_1}$  where  $D = \{1, 2\}$  with the discrete topology. Let  $H$  be the subspace of  $G$  consisting of all points of  $G$  with at most countably many zero coordinates. Put  $X = G \times H$ . Roushan Buzyakova proved that  $X$  cannot be mapped onto a normal space  $Y$  by a bijective continuous function [7]. Using Buzyakova's and the fact that  $X$  is  $k$ -space [[8], 3.3.27], then this implies that  $X$  is Tychonoff and so is epi  $\alpha$ -regular and it cannot be  $C$ -normal see [3], and since epinormality implies  $C$ -normality, then  $X$  cannot be epinormal.

## References

- [1]. Alexandroff, P.S. and Urysohn, P.S. Memoire sur les espaces topologiques compacts, Verh. Akad. Wetensch, Amsterdam. vol. 14.(1929).
- [2]. Alshammari, I. Epi-Almost Normality, Journal of Mathematical Analysis Volume 11 Issue 2(2020), 52 – 57.
- [3]. AlZahrani, S. and Kalantan, L.  $C$ -Normal Topological Property, Filomat 31: 2(2017), 407 – 411.
- [4]. Alzahrani, S. Epiregular Topological Spaces, Afrika Matematika 29 (2018), 803808.
- [5]. Bing, R. H. Metrization of Topological Spaces. Canad. J. Math. 3(1951)175 – 186.
- [6]. Blair, R. L. Spaces In Which Special Sets Are  $Z$ -Embedded. Canad. J. Math 28: 4(1976), 673690.
- [7]. Buzyakova, R. Z. An Example of Two Normal Groups That Cannot be Condensed Onto A Normal Space. Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. 52.3 page 42. Russian Original in: Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Makh. 3. page 59 .
- [8]. Engelking, R. General Topology. PWN, Warszawa. (1977).
- [9]. Engelking, R. On The Double Circumference of Alexandroff. Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Astron. Math. Phys. 16, no 8(1968), 629634.
- [10]. Gheith, N. On  $\alpha$ -Regularity. Gharyan University Journal, Libya 17 (2019) 233 – 256.
- [11]. Gheith, N. and Ahmed, S. On Almost  $\alpha$ -Normal and Almost  $\beta$ -Normal Spaces. Rewaq Almarefa Journal, University of Tripoli-Faculty of Education. Volume (9-10), December 2018.
- [12]. Alzahrani, S. and Gheith, N. On Epicompletely Regularity. Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Letters. Volume 12, Number 2, February 2020, pp. 263 – 269(7)
- [13]. Gruenhage, G. Generalized metric spaces. In: Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology. North Holland, Amsterdam. pp. 428434. (1984).
- [14]. Hannan, O. Solid Spaces and Absolute Retracts. Ark.För.Mat. 1(1951)375 – 382.
- [15]. Kalantan, L. and Saeed, M. M. and Alzumi H.  $C$ -Paracompactness and  $C_2$  Paracompactness. Turk. J. Math. 43 (2019), 920.
- [16]. Kalantan, L. and AlZahrani S. Epinormality. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9 (2016) 5398 – 5402.
- [17]. Kalantan L. and Alshammari I. Epi Mildly-Normality. Open Math. 16: (2018), 11701175
- [18]. Kalantan, L. and Allahabi, F. On Almost Normality. Demonstratio Mathematica XLI, no. 4(2008), 961968.
- [19]. Alshammari, I. and Kalantan, L. and Thabit, S. Partial Normality. Journal of Mathematical Analysis. Volume 10 Issue 6(2019), Pages 1 – 8.
- [20]. Almontashery, K. and Kalantan L. Results About Alexandroff Duplicate Space. Appl. Gen. Topol. 17, no. 2(2016), 117 – 122.

- [21]. Kalantan, L. and Alawadi, A. and Saeed, M. On The Discrete Extension Spaces. *Journal Of Mathematical Analysis*. 9, no. 2(2018)150 – 157.
- [22]. Kraysztof, C. C. and Wajciechowski, J. Cardinality of Regular Spaces Admitting Only Constant Continuous functions. *Topology Proceedings*. 47(2016)33 – 329.
- [23]. Lambrinos, P. On almost compact and nearly compact spaces. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, 1975,24,14 – 18.
- [24]. Ludwig, L. D. and Nyikos, P. and Porter, J. Dowker Spaces Revisited. *Tsukuba Journal of Mathematics* 34(1)(2010).
- [25]. Ludwig, L. and Burke D. Hereditarily  $\alpha$ -Normal Sspaces and Infinte Products. *Topology Proceeding* 25(2000) 291-299.
- [26]. Arhangel'skii, A. and Ludwig L. D. On  $\alpha$ -Normal and  $\beta$ -Normal Spaces. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*. 42.3(2001)507 – 519.
- [27]. Mrsevic, M. and Reilly, I.L. and Vamanamurthy, M.K. On semi-regularization topologies. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.(Ser.)* 38,4054 (1985).
- [28]. Mysior, A. A Regular Space Which is Not Completely Regular. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 81, no. 4(1981)652 – 653.
- [29]. Murtinová, E. A  $\beta$ -Normal Tychonoff Space Which is Not Normal. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*. 43.1(2002)159 – 164.
- [30]. Murtinová, E. On  $\alpha$ -Regularity. *Topology Proceeding*. (2001).
- [31]. Patty, C.W. *Foundations of topology*. Jones and Bartlett, Sudbury. (2008).
- [32]. Ščepin, E.V. On Topological Products, Groups, And a New Class Of Spaces More General Than Metric Spaces. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 17: 1(1976), 152155.
- [33]. Steen, L. and Seebach, J. A. *Countrexample in Topology*. Dover Publications, INC. New York (1995).

NADIA GHEITH, et. al. "On Epi  $\alpha$ -Regular Spaces." *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM)*, 17(3), (2021): pp. 41-48.