

A Study on Strong Neutrosophic Diameter Zero in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces

M. JEYARAMAN¹ & R. PANDISELVI²

¹PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College, Sivagangai, Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: jeya.math@gmail.com. ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0002-0364-1845

²PG and Research Department of Mathematics, The Madura College, Madurai 625011, Tamilnadu, India. ,

E-mail: rpselvi@gmail.com ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0002-8236-964X.

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the notion of strong neutrosophic diameter zero for a family of subsets based on the neutrosophic diameter for a subset of Σ . Then, we introduce nested sequence of subsets having strong neutrosophic diameter zero using their neutrosophic diameter.

Keywords: Diameter; Metric Space; Strong completeness; Neutrosophic metric space.

2010 AMS subject classification: 05C72, 54E50, 03F55.

Date of Submission: 16-01-2022

Date of Acceptance: 31-01-2022

I. Introduction:

The theory of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [26] in 1965. Kramosil and Michalek [7] introduced the fuzzy metric spaces by generalizing the concept of probabilistic metric spaces to fuzzy situation. George and Veeramani [4] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [7] with a view to obtain a Hausdorff topology on fuzzy metric spaces which have very important applications in quantum particle particularly in connection with both string and E-infinity theory.

Atanassov [2] introduced and studied the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy set by generalizing the notion of fuzzy set. Recently, Park[8] and Park et al. [9] defined the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Many authors [8,9,10,11] obtained a fixed point theorems in this space. In 1998, Smarandache [13,14] characterized the new concept called neutrosophic logic and neutrosophic set and explored many results in it. In the idea of neutrosophic sets, there is T degree of membership, I degree of indeterminacy and F degree of non-membership. Basset et al. . Explored the neutrosophic applications in different fields such as model for sustainable supply chain risk management, resource levelling problem in construction projects, Decision Making. In 2020, Kirisci et al [18] defined NMS as a generalization of IFMS and bring about fixed point theorems in complete NMS. In 2020, Sowndrarajan et al. [16] proved some fixed point results for contraction theorems in neutrosophic metric spaces.

In this paper, the concept of characterization of strong neutrosophic diameter zero in neutrosophic metric spaces are introduced and also discuss some properties of strong neutrosophicdiameter zero in neutrosophic metric spaces.

II. Preliminaries:

Definition: 2.1.

A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t-norm [CTN] if it satisfies the following conditions :

1. $*$ is commutative and associative,
2. $*$ is continuous,
3. $\varepsilon_1 * 1 = \varepsilon_1$ for all $\varepsilon_1 \in [0, 1]$,
4. $\varepsilon_1 * \varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_3 * \varepsilon_4$ whenever $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_3$ and $\varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_4$, for each $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \in [0, 1]$.

Definition: 2.2.

A binary operation \diamond : $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t-conorm [CTC] if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. \diamond is commutative and associative,
2. \diamond is continuous,
3. $\varepsilon_1 \diamond 0 = \varepsilon_1$ for all $\varepsilon_1 \in [0, 1]$,
4. $\varepsilon_1 \diamond \varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_3 \diamond \varepsilon_4$ whenever $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_3$ and $\varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_4$, for each $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ and $\varepsilon_4 \in [0, 1]$.

Definition: 2.3.

A 6-tuple $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, Y, *, \diamond)$ is said to be an Neutrosophic Metric Space (NMS), if Σ is an arbitrary non empty set, $*$ is a neutrosophic CTN, \diamond is a neutrosophic CTC and Ξ, Θ and Y are neutrosophic on $\Sigma^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the following conditions:

For all $\zeta, \eta, \delta, \omega \in \Sigma, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

1. $0 \leq \Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \leq 1; 0 \leq \Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \leq 1; 0 \leq Y(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \leq 1;$
2. $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) + \Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) + Y(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \leq 3;$
3. $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 1$ if and only if $\zeta = \eta;$
4. $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = \Xi(\eta, \zeta, \lambda),$
5. $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) * \Xi(\eta, \delta, \mu) \leq \Xi(\zeta, \delta, \lambda + \mu),$ for all $\lambda, \mu > 0;$
6. $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is neutrosophic continuous ;
7. $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 1$ for all $\lambda > 0;$
8. $\Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 0$ if and only if $\zeta = \eta;$
9. $\Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = \Theta(\eta, \zeta, \lambda);$
10. $\Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \diamond \Theta(\eta, \delta, \mu) \geq \Theta(\zeta, \delta, \lambda + \mu),$ for all $\lambda, \mu > 0;$
11. $\Theta(\zeta, \eta, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is neutrosophic continuous;
12. $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda > 0;$
13. $Y(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 0$ if and only if $\zeta = \eta;$
14. $Y(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = Y(\eta, \zeta, \lambda);$
15. $Y(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) \diamond Y(\eta, \delta, \mu) \geq Y(\zeta, \delta, \lambda + \mu),$ for all $\lambda, \mu > 0;$
16. $Y(\zeta, \eta, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is neutrosophic continuous;
17. $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} Y(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda > 0;$
18. If $\lambda \leq 0$ then $\Xi(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 0; \Theta(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 1; Y(\zeta, \eta, \lambda) = 1.$

Then, (Ξ, Θ, Y) is called an NMS on Σ . The functions Ξ, Θ and Y denote degree of closedness, naturalness and non-closedness between ζ and η with respect to λ respectively.

III. Main Results:

Definition :3.1.

The Neutrosophic Diameter (ND) of a non-empty set B of a NMS $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, Y, *, \diamond)$, with respect to λ , is the function $\varphi_B: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $\varphi_B(\lambda) = \inf\{\Xi(a, b, \lambda): a, b \in B\}, \psi_B: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $\psi_B(\lambda) = \sup\{\Theta(a, b, \lambda): a, b \in B\}$ and $\phi_B: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $\phi_B(\lambda) = \sup\{Y(a, b, \lambda): a, b \in B\}$, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Definition: 3.2.

A collection of sets $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ of a NMS $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, Y, *, \diamond)$ is said to have ND zero if given $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ there exists $i \in I$ such that $\Xi(a, b, \lambda) \geq 1 - r, \Theta(a, b, \lambda) \leq r$ and $Y(a, b, \lambda) \leq r$, for all $a, b \in B_i$.

Theorem :3.3.

Let $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a nested sequence of sets of the NMS $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, Y, *, \diamond)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has ND zero.
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{B_n}(\lambda) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{B_n}(\lambda) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{B_n}(\lambda) = 0$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Proof:

(i)→(ii): Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Given $r \in (0, 1)$ exists $n_{r, \lambda} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$\Xi(a, b, \lambda) > 1 - r, \Theta(a, b, \lambda) < r$ and $Y(a, b, \lambda) < r$, for each $a, b \in B_n$ with $n \geq n_{r, \lambda}$.

Then, $\varphi_{B_n}(\lambda) = \inf\{\Xi(a, b, \lambda): a, b \in B_n\} \geq 1 - r, \psi_{B_n}(\lambda) = \sup\{\Theta(a, b, \lambda): a, b \in B_n\} \leq r$ and

$\phi_{B_n}(\lambda) = \sup\{Y(a, b, \lambda): a, b \in B_n\} \leq r$, for all $n \geq n_{r, \lambda}$.

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{B_n}(\lambda) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{B_n}(\lambda) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{B_n}(\lambda) = 0$, since r is arbitrary in $(0, 1)$.

(ii)→(i): Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{B_n}(\lambda) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{B_n}(\lambda) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{B_n}(\lambda) = 0$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and let $r \in (0, 1)$.

We can find $n_{r, \lambda} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi_{B_n}(\lambda) > 1 - r, \psi_{B_n}(\lambda) < r$ and $\phi_{B_n}(\lambda) < r$, for all $n \geq n_{r, \lambda}$.

Thus, $\Xi(a, b, \lambda) > 1 - r, \Theta(a, b, \lambda) < r$ and $Y(a, b, \lambda) < r$, for each $a, b \in B_n$ with $n \geq n_{r, \lambda}$.

i.e., $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has ND zero.

Definition: 3.4.

A family of non-empty sets $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ of a NMS $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, Y, *, \diamond)$ has strong ND zero if for $r \in (0, 1)$ there exists $i \in I$ such that $\Xi(a, b, \lambda) > 1 - r, \Theta(a, b, \lambda) < r$ and $Y(a, b, \lambda) < r$, for each $a, b \in B_i$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Theorem: 3.5.

Let $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, Y, *, \diamond)$ be an NMS and let $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a nested sequence of sets of Σ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has strong ND zero.
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) = 0$, for every decreasing and increasing sequence of positive real numbers $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that converges and diverges respectively.

Proof:

(i) \rightarrow (ii): Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing, increasing sequence of positive real numbers that converges and diverges respectively. Given $r \in (0, 1)$, we can find $n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$\Xi(a, b, \lambda) > 1 - r$, $\Theta(a, b, \lambda) < r$ and $Y(a, b, \lambda) < r$, for each $a, b \in B_n$ with $n \geq n_r$ and all $\lambda \in R^+$.

In particular, $\Xi(a, b, \lambda_n) > 1 - r$, $\Theta(a, b, \lambda_n) < r$ and $Y(a, b, \lambda_n) < r$, for all $a, b \in B_n$ with $n \geq n_r$,

i.e., $\varphi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) > 1 - r$, $\psi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) < r$ and $\phi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) < r$, for all $n \geq n_r$.

i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) = 0$.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Suppose that $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has not strong ND zero. Let $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $I = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \Xi(a, b, \lambda) \leq 1 - r, \Theta(a, b, \lambda) \geq r \text{ and } Y(a, b, \lambda) \geq r, \text{ for some } a, b \in B_n \text{ and some } \lambda \in R^+\}$, is infinite.

Take $n_1 = \min I$. Then, there exist $a_{n_1}, b_{n_1} \in B_{n_1}$ such that $\Xi(a_{n_1}, b_{n_1}, \lambda_{n_1}) \leq 1 - r$, $\Theta(a_{n_1}, b_{n_1}, \lambda_{n_1}) \geq r$ and $Y(a_{n_1}, b_{n_1}, \lambda_{n_1}) \geq r$ with $0 < \lambda_{n_1} < 1$.

Take $n_2 > n_1$, with $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\Xi(a_{n_1}, b_{n_1}, \lambda_{n_1}) \leq 1 - r$, $\Theta(a_{n_1}, b_{n_1}, \lambda_{n_1}) \geq r$ and $Y(a_{n_1}, b_{n_1}, \lambda_{n_1}) \geq r$,

for some $a_{n_2}, b_{n_2} \in B_{n_2}$ and $0 < \lambda_{n_2} < \min\{\lambda_{n_1}, \frac{1}{2}\}$. In this way, we construct, by induction, a sequence $\{\lambda_{n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$

such that $(a_{n_i}, b_{n_i}, \lambda_{n_i}) \leq 1 - r$, $\Theta(a_{n_i}, b_{n_i}, \lambda_{n_i}) \geq r$ and $Y(a_{n_i}, b_{n_i}, \lambda_{n_i}) \geq r$, for some $a_{n_i}, b_{n_i} \in B_{n_i}, n_i \in \mathbb{N}$

with $n_i > n_{i-1}$ and $0 < \lambda_{n_i} < \{\lambda_{n_{i-1}}, \frac{1}{i}\}$. Then,

$\varphi_{B_{n_i}}(\lambda_{n_i}) = \{\Xi(a, b, \lambda_{n_i}) : a, b \in B_{n_i}\} \leq 1 - r$, $\psi_{B_{n_i}}(\lambda_{n_i}) = \{\Theta(a, b, \lambda_{n_i}) : a, b \in B_{n_i}\} \geq r$ and

$\phi_{B_{n_i}}(\lambda_{n_i}) = \{Y(a, b, \lambda_{n_i}) : a, b \in B_{n_i}\} \geq r$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence $\{\varphi_{B_{n_i}}(\lambda_{n_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\psi_{B_{n_i}}(\lambda_{n_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\phi_{B_{n_i}}(\lambda_{n_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge and diverge respectively.

Now, $\{\lambda_{n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of the decreasing and increasing sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that converges and diverges respectively, given by

$$\lambda_n = \begin{cases} \lambda_{n_1}, & n \leq n_1 \\ \lambda_{n_{i+1}}, & n_i \leq n \leq n_{i+1} \end{cases}$$

and the sequence $\{\varphi_{B_n}(\lambda_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\psi_{B_n}(\lambda_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\phi_{B_n}(\lambda_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge and diverge respectively.

Thus, we get the contradiction.

Theorem: 3.6.

Let $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a nested sequence of sets with ND zero in a NMS $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, Y, *, \diamond)$. $B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has strong ND zero if and only if $\{B_n\}$ is a singleton set after a certain stage.

Proof:

Suppose $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not eventually constant. Put $p_n = \sup\{d(a, b) : a, b \in B_n\}$, $q_n = \inf\{d(a, b) : a, b \in B_n\}$ and $s_n = \inf\{d(a, b) : a, b \in B_n\}$. Take $\lambda_n = p_n$, $\lambda_n = q_n$ and $\lambda_n = s_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing and increasing sequence of positive real numbers converges and diverges respectively.

Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{B_n}(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\Xi_d(a, b, \lambda_n) : a, b \in B_n\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n + \text{diam}(B_n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_n}{p_n + p_n} = \frac{1}{2}$,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{B_n}(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{\Theta_d(a, b, \lambda_n) : a, b \in B_n\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{diam}(B_n)}{\lambda_n + \text{diam}(B_n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_n}{q_n + q_n} = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{B_n}(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{Y_d(a, b, \lambda_n) : a, b \in B_n\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{diam}(B_n)}{\lambda_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{s_n} = 1$.

Hence $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has not strong ND zero.

Theorem: 3.7

Let $(\Sigma, \Xi, \Theta, Y, *, \diamond)$ be a NMS. If $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a nested sequence of sets of Σ which has strong ND zero then $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has strong ND zero.

Proof:

First, we prove that $\varphi_{\bar{B}}(\lambda) = \varphi_B(\lambda)$, $\psi_{\bar{B}}(\lambda) = \psi_B(\lambda)$ and $\phi_{\bar{B}}(\lambda) = \phi_B(\lambda)$ for every subset B of Σ . Indeed, take $a, b \in B$. Then, we can find two sequences $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in B that converge to a and b , respectively. Let $\lambda \in R^+$ and an arbitrary $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

We have that $\Xi(a, b, \lambda + 2\varepsilon) \geq \Xi(a, b_n, \varepsilon) * \Xi(a_n, b_n, \lambda) * \Xi(b_n, b, \varepsilon) \geq \Xi(a, a_n, \varepsilon) * \varphi_B(\lambda) * \Xi(b_n, b, \varepsilon)$,

$\Theta(a, b, \lambda + 2\varepsilon) \leq \Theta(a, b_n, \varepsilon) \diamond \Theta(a_n, b_n, \lambda) \diamond \Theta(b_n, b, \varepsilon) \leq \Theta(a, a_n, \varepsilon) \diamond \psi_B(\lambda) \diamond \Theta(b_n, b, \varepsilon)$,

$Y(a, b, \lambda + 2\varepsilon) \leq Y(a, b_n, \varepsilon) \diamond Y(a_n, b_n, \lambda) \diamond Y(b_n, b, \varepsilon) \leq Y(a, a_n, \varepsilon) \diamond \varphi_B(\lambda) \diamond Y(b_n, b, \varepsilon)$ and taking limit on the inequality when n tends to ∞ , we obtain

$\Xi(a, b, \lambda + 2\varepsilon) \geq 1 * \varphi_B(\lambda) * 1 = \varphi_B(\lambda), \Theta(a, b, \lambda + 2\varepsilon) \leq 0 \diamond \psi_B(\lambda) \diamond 0 = \psi_B(\lambda)$ and

$Y(a, b, \lambda + 2\varepsilon) \leq 0 \diamond \varphi_B(\lambda) \diamond 0 = \varphi_B(\lambda)$.

Since ε is arbitrary, due to the continuity of $\Xi(a, b, \lambda)$, $\Theta(a, b, \lambda)$ and $Y(a, b, \lambda)$, we obtain

$\Xi(a, b, \lambda) \geq \varphi_B(\lambda)$, $\Theta(a, b, \lambda) \leq \psi_B(\lambda)$ and $Y(a, b, \lambda) \leq \varphi_B(\lambda)$, then $\varphi_{\overline{B}}(\lambda) \geq \varphi_B(\lambda), \psi_{\overline{B}}(\lambda) \leq \psi_B(\lambda)$

and $\varphi_{\overline{B}}(\lambda) \leq \varphi_B(\lambda)$.

On the other hand, we have $\varphi_{\overline{B}}(\lambda) \leq \varphi_B(\lambda), \psi_{\overline{B}}(\lambda) \geq \psi_B(\lambda)$ and $\varphi_{\overline{B}}(\lambda) \geq \varphi_B(\lambda)$, hence $\varphi_{\overline{B}}(\lambda) = \varphi_B(\lambda)$,

$\psi_{\overline{B}}(\lambda) = \psi_B(\lambda)$ and $\varphi_{\overline{B}}(\lambda) = \varphi_B(\lambda)$.

Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing and increasing sequence of positive real numbers convergent and divergent respectively. By theorem (3.5), we have that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\overline{B_n}}(\lambda_n) = 0$.

We have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\overline{B_n}}(\lambda_n) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{\overline{B_n}}(\lambda_n) = 0$ and

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{B_n}(\lambda_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\overline{B_n}}(\lambda_n) = 0$ and consequently, by theorem (3.5), $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has strong ND zero.

IV. Conclusion

Neutrosophic set theory plays a vital role in uncertain situations in all aspects. In this paper, the characterizations of strong ND zero in NMS are discussed and proved that the nested sequences having the strong ND zero in NMS. We have also provided that nested sequences of subsets has strong ND zero if and only if singleton set after a certain stage in a NMS.

References

- [1]. K.T.Atanassov. More on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 33(1), 37- 45. 1989.
- [2]. K.T.Atanassov. Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy sets and system*, 20, 87- 96. 1986.
- [3]. M.A.Erceg. Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 69(1), 205–230. 1979.
- [4]. A.George, P.Veeramani. On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy sets and Systems*. 1994.
- [5]. V.Gregori, S.Romaguera, P.Veeramani, A note on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*. 28, 902-905. 2006.
- [6]. Valentine Gregori and Juan Jose Minana, Bernardino Roig, Almanzor Sapena. A Characterization of strong completeness in fuzzy metric spaces, *Mathematics*, 8(861). doi:10.3390/math8060861. 2020.
- [7]. Kramosil, Michalek. Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, 11, 326-334, 1975.
- [8]. J. H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 22 (5), 1039-1046, 2004.
- [9]. J.S. Park., S.Y.Kim, Common fixed point theorem and example in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. *K.I.I.S.*, vol. 18, 4, 524 –552, (2008).
- [10]. J.S. Park ,Y.C.Kwun, Some fixed point theorems in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric Spaces, *F.J.M.S.*, vol. 24, 2, 227 - 239.
- [11]. J.S.Park,Y.C.Kwun,J.H. Park , A fixed point theorem in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *F.J.M.S.*, vol. 16, 2, 137 –149, 2005.
- [12]. R. Roopkumar, R. Vembu, Some remarks on metrics induced by a fuzzy metric, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03031*. 2018.
- [13]. F.A.Smarandache, *Unifying Field in Logics, Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic*, American Research Press: Reheboth, MA, USA. (1998).
- [14]. F.A.Smarandache, Neutrosophic set, A generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 24, 287 – 297, 2005.
- [15]. S.Sowndrarajan, M. Jeyaraman, Fixed Point theorems in neutrosophic metric spaces, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 42, 208– 220, 2021.
- [16]. S. Sowndrarajan, M.Jeyaraman, Fixed point Results for Contraction Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces, *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 36, 308 – 318, 2020.
- [17]. S.Suganthi. M. Jeyaraman, A Generalized Neutrosophic Metric Space and Coupled Coincidence point Results, *Neutrosophic sets and systems*, 42, 253 – 269, 2021.
- [18]. N. Simsek, M. Kirisci, Fixed Point Theorems in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces, *Sigma Journal of Engineering and Natural Sciences.*, 10(2), 221 – 230, 2019.
- [19]. S.Yahya Mohamed, E.Naargees Begum, A Study on Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy Metric Spaces. *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics* ,15(1),67-75. 2017.
- [20]. S.Yahya Mohamed, E. Naargees Begum. A Study on Properties of Connectedness in Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy Special Topological Spaces, *International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, 5(7), 533-538. 2018.
- [21]. S.Yahya Mohamed, E. Naargees Begum, A Study on Fuzzy Fixed Points and Coupled Fuzzy Fixed Points in Hausdorff L-Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*, 9(9), 1187-1200. 2018.
- [22]. S.Yahya Mohamed, E. Naargees Begum, A Study on Fixed Points and Coupled Fuzzy Fixed Points in Hausdorff Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *International Journal of Advent Technology*, 6, 1, 2719-2725. 2018.

- [23]. S. Yahya Mohamed, A. Mohamed Ali. Fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy graph metric space. *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 118(6), 67-74. 2017.
- [24]. S. Yahya Mohamed, A.Mohamed Ali. Intuitionistic fuzzy graph metric space. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 118(6),67-74. 2018.
- [25]. S. Yahya Mohamed, E. Naargees Begum. A study on concepts of Balls in a intuitionistic fuzzy D-metric spaces, *Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal*, 9(3), 1019-1025. 2020.
- [26]. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets. *Information and control*, 8(3), 338-356. 1965.

M. JEYARAMAN, et. al. "A Study on Strong Neutrosophic Diameter Zero in Neutrosophic Metric Spaces." *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM)*, 18(1), (2022): pp. 44-48.