

## Jordan Higher $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Centralizer on Prime Ring

Salah M.Salih, Marwa M.Shaapan

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Al-Mustansiriya University.

**Abstract:** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\sigma, \tau$  be an endomorphisms of  $R$ , in this paper we will present and study the concepts of higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer, Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer and Jordan triple higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer and their generalization on the ring. The main results are prove that every Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of prime ring  $R$  is higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of  $R$  and we prove let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are commutative endomorphism then every Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer is Jordan triple higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 16A12, 16N60, 16W25, 16Y99.

**Keywords:** higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer, Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer, Jordan triple higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer

### I. Introction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  is a ring and  $R$  is called prime if  $aRb = (0)$  implies  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  and  $R$  is semiprime if  $aRa = (0)$  implies  $a = 0$ . A mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . A left(right) centralizer of  $R$  is an additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  which satisfies  $T(xy) = T(x)y$  ( $T(xy) = xT(y)$ ) for all  $x, y \in R$ .

In [6] B.Zalar worked on centralizer of semiprime rings and defined that let  $R$  be a semiprimering. A left (right) centralizer of  $R$  is an additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  satisfying  $T(xy) = T(x)y$  ( $T(xy) = xT(y)$ ) for all  $x, y \in R$ . If  $T$  is a left and a right centralizer then  $T$  is a centralizer. An additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  is called a Jordan centralizer if  $T$  satisfies  $T(xy + yx) = T(x)y + yT(x) = T(y)x + xT(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . A left (right) Jordan centralizer of  $R$  is an additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $T(x^2) = T(x)x$  ( $T(x^2) = xT(x)$ ) for all  $x \in R$ .

Joso Vakman [3,4,5] developed some Remarks able results using centralizers on prime and semiprime rings.

E.Albas [1] developed some remarks able results using  $\tau$ -centralizer of semiprime rings.

W.Cortes and G.Haetinger [2] defined a left(resp.right) Jordan  $\sigma$ -centralizer and proved any left(resp.right) Jordan  $\sigma$ -centralizer of 2-torsion free ring is a left(resp.right)  $\sigma$ -centralizer.

In this paper, we present the concept of higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer, Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer and Jordan triple higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer and We have also prove that every Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of prime ring is higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer Also prove that every Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of 2-torsion free ring is Jordan triple higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer.

### II. Higher $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Centralizer

Now we will the definition of higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer, Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer and Jordan triple higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer on the ring  $R$  and other concepts which be used in our work.

We start work with the following definition:-

#### Definition (2.1):

let  $R$  be a ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are endomorphism of  $R$  and  $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of additive mappings of  $R$  then  $T$  is said to be higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ - centralizer of  $R$  if

$$t_n(xy + zx) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x) \sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(z) t_i(x)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

the following is an example of higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer .

#### Example (2.2):

Let  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} : x \in I \right\}$  be a ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are endomorphism of  $R$  such that

$$\sigma^i \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \tau^i \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we use the usual addition and multiplication on matrices of  $R$ , and let

$t_n: R \rightarrow R$  be additive mapping defined by

$$t_n \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} nx & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \in R \text{ and } n \geq 1.$$

it is clear that  $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer

**Definition (2.3):**

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are endomorphism of  $R$  and  $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of additive mappings of  $R$  then  $T$  is said to be Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of  $R$  if for every  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$t_n(xy + yx) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(y)t_i(x)$$

It is clear that every higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of a ring  $R$  is Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of  $R$ , but the converse is not true in general as shown by the following example .

**Example (2.4):**

Let  $R$  be a ring ,  $t = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer and  $\sigma, \tau$  are endomorphism of  $R$  define  $R_1 = \{(x, x) : x \in R\}$ ,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\tau_1$  are endomorphism of  $R_1$  defined by  $\sigma_1^i(x, x) = (\sigma_i(x), \sigma_i(x))$  and  $\tau_1^i(x, x) = (\tau_i(x), \tau_i(x))$  such that  $x_1x_2 \neq x_2x_1$  but  $x_1x_3 = x_3x_1$  for all  $x_1x_2x_3 \in R$ . Let the operation of addition and multiplication on  $R$  defined by

$$(x_1, x_1) + (x_2, x_2) = (x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2)$$

$(x_1, x_1)(x_2, x_2) = (x_1x_2, x_1x_2)$  , for every  $x_1, x_2 \in R$ . let  $t_n : R \rightarrow R$  be a higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer mapping, and let  $T_n : R_1 \rightarrow R_1$  be a higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer mapping defined as  $T_n(x, x) = (t_n(x), t_n(x))$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  . then  $T_n$  is a Jordan higher  $(\sigma_1, \tau_1)$ -centralizer which is not higher  $(\sigma_1, \tau_1)$ -centralizer of  $R_1$ .

**Definition (2.5):**

let  $R$  be a ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be endomorphism of  $R$  and  $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of additive mappings of  $R$  then  $T$  is said to be a Jordan triple higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of  $R$  if for every  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$t_n(xyx) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau_i(x)$$

Now, we give some properties of higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of  $R$ .

**lemma (2.6):**

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are endomorphism of  $R$  and  $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of  $R$  then for all  $x, y, z, m, w \in R$  and  $N$  , the following statements holds

$$(i) t_n(xy + zw) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(z)t_i(w)$$

(ii) In particular  $\sigma(R)$  is commutative

$$t_n(xy + zw) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x) + \tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x)$$

(iii) In particular  $t_i(z)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x) = \tau^i(z)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x)$

$$t_n(xyz + zyx) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(z) + \tau^i(z)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x)$$

(iv) In particular  $\sigma(R)$  is commutative

$$t_n(xym + zwx) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(m) + \tau^i(z)\sigma^i(w)t_i(x)$$

**Proof:**

(i) Replace  $x + w$  for  $x$  and  $y + m$  for  $y$  and  $z + m$  for  $z$  in definition (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & t_n((x + w)(y + m) + (z + m)(x + w)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x + w)\sigma^i(y + m) + \tau^i(z + m)t_i(x + w) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y) + t_i(x)\sigma^i(m) + t_i(w)\sigma^i(y) + t_i(w)\sigma^i(m) + \\ & \quad \tau^i(z)t_i(x) + \tau^i(z)t_i(w) + \tau^i(m)t_i(x) + \tau^i(m)t_i(w) \end{aligned} \dots(1)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 & t_n((x+w)(y+m) + (z+m)(x+w)) \\
 &= t_n(xy + xm + wy + wm + zx + zw + mx + mw) \\
 &= t_n(xy + zx) + t_n(wy + mw) + t_n(wm + mx) + t_n(xy + zw) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(z)t_i(x) + t_i(w)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(m)t_i(x) + \\
 & \quad t_i(w)\sigma^i(m) + \tau^i(m)t_i(x) + t_n(xy + zw)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Comparing (1) and (2) we get

$$t_n(xy + zw) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(z)t_i(w)$$

(ii) Replace  $xy + yx$  for  $y$  in definition (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & t_n(x(xy + yx) + (xy + yx)x) \\
 &= t_n(xxy + xyx + xyx + yxx) \\
 &= t_n(xxy + yxx) + t_n(xyx + xyx) \\
 &= t_n(x(xy) + y(xx)) + t_n(xyx + xyx) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(xy) + \tau^i(y)t_i(xx) + t_n(xyx + xyx) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(y)\sigma^i(x)t_i(x) + t_n(xyx + xyx)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 & t_n(x(xy + yx) + (xy + yx)x) \\
 &= t_n(xxy + xyx + xyx + yxx) \\
 &= t_n(xyx + yxx) + t_n(xxy + xyx) \\
 &= t_n(x(yx) + y(xx)) + t_n((xx)y + x(yx)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(yx) + \tau^i(y)t_i(xx) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(xx)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(x)t_i(yx) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\sigma^i(x) + \tau^i(y)\sigma^i(x)t_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \\
 & \quad \tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Comparing (1) and (2) we get

$$t_n(xyx + xyx) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x) + \tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x)$$

(iii) Replace  $x + z$  for  $x$  in definition (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_n((x+z)y(x+z)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x+z)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x+z) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x) + t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(z) + \\
 & \quad t_i(z)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x) + t_i(z)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_n((x+z)y(x+z)) &= t_n(xyx + xyz + zyx + zyz) \\
 &= t_n(xyx) + t_n(zyz) + t_n(xyz + zyx) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x) + t_i(z)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(z) + \\
 & \quad t_n(xyz + zyx)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Comparing (1) and (2) and since  $t_i(z)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x) = \tau^i(z)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x)$  we get

$$t_n(xyz + zyx) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x) + \tau^i(z)\sigma^i(y)t_i(z)$$

(iv) Replace  $ym + my$  for  $y$  and  $zw + wz$  for  $z$  in definition (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & t_n(x(ym + my) + (zw + wz)x) \\ &= t_n(xym + xmy + zwx + wzx) \\ &= t_n(xym + wzx) + t_n(xmy + zwx) \\ &= t_n(x(ym) + w(zx)) + t_n((xm)y + z(wx)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(ym) + \tau^i(w)t_i(zx) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(xm)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(z)t_i(wx) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\sigma^i(m) + \tau^i(w)\sigma^i(z)t_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\tau^i(m)\sigma^i(y) + \\ & \quad \tau^i(z)\sigma^i(w)t_i(x) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & t_n(x(ym + my) + (zw + wz)x) \\ &= t_n(xym + xmy + zwx + wzx) \\ &= t_n(xmy + wzx) + t_n(xym + zwx) \\ &= t_n(x(my) + w(zx)) + t_n(xym + zwx) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(my) + \tau^i(w)t_i(zx) + t_n(xym + zwx) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(m)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(w)\sigma^i(z)t_i(x) + t_n(xym + zwx) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Comparing (1) and (2) we get

$$t_n(xym + zwx) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(m) + \tau^i(z)\sigma^i(w)t_i(x)$$

**Remark (2.7):**

let  $R$  be a ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are endomorphism from  $R$  into  $R$ , and let  $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of  $R$ , we define  $\delta_n: R \times R \rightarrow R$  by

$$\delta_n(x, y) = t_n(xy + yx) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(y)t_i(x)$$

for every  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Now, we introduce in the following lemma the properties of  $\delta_n(x, y)$

**Lemma (2.8):**

let  $R$  be a ring  $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of  $R$ , then for all  $x, y, z \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}$

- (i)  $\delta_n(x + y, z) = \delta_n(x, z) + \delta_n(y, z)$
- (ii)  $\delta_n(x, y + z) = \delta_n(x, y) + \delta_n(x, z)$

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \delta_n(x + y, z) &= t_n((x + y)z + z(x + y)) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x + y)\sigma^i(z) + \\ & \quad \tau^i(z)t_i(x + z) \\ &= t_n(xz + yz + zx + zy) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(z) + t_i(y)\sigma^i(z) \\ & \quad + \tau^i(z)t_i(x) + \tau^i(z)t_i(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= t_n(xz + zx) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(z) + \tau^i(z)t_i(x) + \\
 &\quad t_n(yz + zy) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(y)\sigma^i(z) + \tau^i(z)t_i(y) \\
 &= \delta_n(x, z) + \delta_n(y, z) \\
 \text{(ii) } \delta_n(x, y + z) &= t_n(x(y + z) + (y + z)x) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y + z) \\
 &\quad + \tau^i(y + z)t_i(x) \\
 &= t_n(xy + xz + yx + zx) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y) + t_i(x)\sigma^i(z) \\
 &\quad + \tau^i(y)t_i(x) + \tau^i(z)t_i(x) \\
 &= t_n(xy + yx) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(y)t_i(x) + \\
 &\quad t_n(xz + zx) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(z) + \tau^i(z)t_i(x) \\
 &= \delta_n(x, y) + \delta_n(x, z)
 \end{aligned}$$

**Remark (2.9):**

Note that  $T = (t_i)_{i \in N}$  is higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of a ring  $R$  if and only if  $\delta_n(x, y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ .

**3) The Main Results**

In this section, we introduce our main results. We have prove that every Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of prime ring is higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of  $R$  and we prove that Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of 2-torsion free ring  $R$  is Jordan triple higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer.

**Theorem (3.1):**

Let  $R$  be a prime ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are commuting endomorphism from  $R$  into  $R$  and  $T = (t_i)_{i \in N}$  be a Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer from  $R$  into  $R$ , then  $\delta_n(x, y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \in N$ .

**Proof:**

Replace  $2yx$  for  $z$  in lemma (2.6)(iii) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &t_n(xy(2yx) + (2yx)yx) \\
 &= t_n(xyyx + xyyx + yxyx + yxyx) \\
 &= t_n(xyyx + xyxy) + t_n(xyyx + xyxy) \\
 &= t_n((xy)yx + (yx)yx) + t_n((xy)(yx) + (yx)(yx)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(xy)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x) + \tau^i(yx)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(xy)\sigma^i(yx) \\
 &\quad + \tau^i(yx)t_i(yx) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x) + \tau^i(y)\tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\tau^i(y)\sigma^i(y)\sigma^i(x) + \tau^i(y)\tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x) \\
 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(y)t_i(x) \right) \cdot \sigma^n(y)\tau^n(x) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\tau^i(y)\sigma^i(y)\sigma^i(x) + \tau^i(y)\tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x) \\
 &= t_n(xy + yx) \cdot \sigma^n(y)\tau^n(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\tau^i(y)\sigma^i(y)\sigma^i(x) + \\
 &\quad \tau^i(y)\tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

...(1)

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 & t_n(xy(2yx) + (2yx)yx) \\
 &= t_n(xyyx + xyyx + yxyx + yxyx) \\
 &= t_n(xyyx + xyyx) + t_n(xyyx + xyyx) \\
 &= t_n(x(yy)x + (yx)yx) + t_n((xy)(yx) + (yx)(yx)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(yy)\tau^i(x) + \tau^i(yx)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(xy)\sigma^i(yx) + \tau^i(yx)t_i(yx) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x) + \tau^i(y)\tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\tau^i(y)\sigma^i(y)\sigma^i(x) + \tau^i(y)\tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Comparing (1) and (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_n(xy + yx) \cdot \sigma^n(y)\tau^n(x) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(y)t_i(x) \right) \cdot \sigma^n(y)\tau^n(x) \\
 \rightarrow \left( t_n(xy + yx) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(y)t_i(x) \right) \cdot \sigma^n(y)\tau^n(x) &= 0 \\
 \rightarrow \delta_n(x, y) \cdot \sigma^n(y)\tau^n(x) &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

And since  $R$  is prime ring then

$$\delta_n(x, y) = 0$$

**Corollary (3.2):**

Every Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of prime ring  $R$  is higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of  $R$ .

**Proof:**

By theorem (3.1) and Remark (2.9) We obtain the required result.

**Proposition (3.3):**

Let  $R$  be 2-torsion free ring,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are commuting endomorphism of  $R$  then every Jordan higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer is a Jordan triple higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer.

**Proof:**

Let  $(t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a higher  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -centralizer of a ring  
 Replace  $(xy + yx)$  for  $y$  in definition (2.3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & t_n(x(xy + yx) + (xy + yx)x) \\
 &= t_n(xxy + xyx + xyx + yxx) \\
 &= t_n(xxy + yxx) + t_n(xyx + xyx) \\
 &= t_n(x(xy) + y(xx)) + t_n(2xyx) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(xy) + \tau^i(y)t_i(xx) + 2t_n(xyx) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(y)\sigma^i(x)t_i(x) + 2t_n(xyx)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 & t_n(x(xy + yx) + (xy + yx)x) \\
 &= t_n(xxy + xyx + xyx + yxx) \\
 &= t_n(xyx + yxx) + t_n(xxy + xyx) \\
 &= t_n(x(yx) + y(xx)) + t_n((xx)y + x(yx)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(yx) + \tau^i(y)t_i(xx) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(xx)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(x)t_i(yx)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\sigma^i(x) + \tau^i(y)\sigma^i(x)t_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y) + \tau^i(x)\sigma^i(y)t_i(x) \quad \dots(2)$$

Comparing (1) and (2) we get

$$2t_n(xy x) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x)$$

Since  $R$  is 2-torsion free then we get

$$t_n(xy x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x)\sigma^i(y)\tau^i(x)$$

### References

- [1] E.Albas, "On  $\tau$ -Centralizers of Semiprime Rings", Siberian Mathematical Journal, Vol.48, No.2, pp.191-196, 2007.
- [2] W.Cortes and C.Haetinger, " On Lie Ideals Left Jordan  $\sigma$ -centralizers of 2-torsion Free Rings", Math.J.Okayama Univ., Vol.51, No.1, pp.111-119, 2009.
- [3] J.Vukman, "Centralizers in Prime and Semiprime Rings", Comment. Math.Univ. Carolinae, pp.231-240, 38(1997).
- [4] J.Vakman, "An Identity Related to Centralizers in Semiprime Rings", Comment. Math.Univ.Carolinae, 40, pp.447-456, 3(1999).
- [5] J.Vakman, "Centralizers on Semiprime Rings", Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 42, pp.237-245, 2(2001).
- [6] B.Zalar, "On Centralizers of Semiprime Ring", Comment. Math. Univ. Carol.32, pp.609-614, 1991.