

## On Spaces of Entire Functions Having Slow Growth Represented By Dirichlet Series

Arvind Kumar

Department of Mathematics, IMA Dehradun.

**Abstract:** In this paper spaces of entire function represented by Dirichlet Series have been considered. A norm has been introduced and a metric has been defined. Properties of this space and a characterization of continuous linear functionals have been established.

1. Let,

$$(1.1) \quad f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cdot e^{s \cdot \lambda_n}.$$

where  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n < \dots$ ,  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$  ( $\sigma, t$  being reals) and  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  any sequence of complex numbers, be a Dirichlet Series. Further, Let -

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup n/\lambda_n = D < \infty.$$

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n).$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \log \frac{|a_n|^{1/\lambda_n}}{\lambda_n}$$

Then the series in (1.1) represents an entire function  $f(s)$ . We denote by  $X$  the set of all entire functions  $f(s)$  having representation (1.1) and satisfying the conditions (1.2)-(1.4). By giving different topologies on the set  $X$ , Kamthan [4] and Hussain and Kamthan [2] have studied various topological properties of these spaces. Hence we define, for any non-decreasing sequence  $\{r_i\}$  of positive numbers,  $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ ,

(1.5)  $\|f\|_{r_i} = \sum |a_n| e^{r_i \lambda_n}$ ,  $i=1, 2, 3, \dots$  where  $f \in X$ . Then from (1.4),  $\|f\|_{r_i}$  exists for each  $i$  and is a form of  $X$ . Further,  $\|f\|_{r_i} \leq \|f\|_{r_{i+1}}$ . With these countable numbers of norms, a metric  $d$  is defined on  $X$  as :

$$(1.6) \quad d(f, g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1/2^i \|f-g\|_{r_i}}{1 + \|f-g\|_{r_i}}$$

Further, following functions are defined for each  $f \in X$ , namely

$$(1.7) \quad p(f) = \sup_{n \geq 1} |a_n|^{1/\lambda_n}.$$

$$(1.8) \quad \|f\|_{r_i} = \sup_{n \geq 1} |a_n|^{1/\lambda_n}.$$

Then  $p(f)$  and  $\|f\|_{r_i}$  are para-norms on  $X$ . Let

$$(1.9) \quad s(f, g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1/2^i \|f-g\|_{r_i}}{1 + \|f-g\|_{r_i}}, \quad f, g \in X.$$

It was shown [2, Lemma 1] that the three topologies induced by  $d$ ,  $s$  and  $p$  on  $X$  are equivalent. Many other properties of these spaces were also obtained (see [2], pp. 206-209).

For the space of entire functions of finite Ritt order [6] and type, yet another norm  $\|f\|_q$  and hence metric  $\lambda$  was introduced and the properties of this space  $X_\lambda$  were studied.

Let, for  $f \in X$ ,

$$M(\sigma, t) \equiv M(\sigma) = \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |f_{\mu}(\sigma + it)|$$

Then  $M(\sigma)$  is called the maximum modulus of  $f(s)$ . The Ritt order of  $f(s)$  is defined as

$$(1.10) \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\sigma} \frac{\log \log M(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \rho, \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq \infty.$$

For  $\rho < \infty$ , the entire function  $f$  is said to be of finite order. A function  $f(\sigma)$  is said to be proximate order [3] if

$$(1.11) \rho(\sigma) \rightarrow \rho \text{ as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty, \quad 0 < \rho < \infty,$$

$$(1.12) \sigma \rho'(\sigma) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty.$$

For  $f \in X$ , define

$$(1.13) \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \log M(\sigma)}{e^{\sigma \rho(\sigma)}} \leq A < \infty.$$

Then it was proved [3] that (1.13) holds if and only if

$$(1.14) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \phi(\lambda_n) |\lambda_n|^{1/\lambda_n} < (A \cdot e \rho)^{1/\rho}, \text{ where } \phi(t) \text{ is the unique solution of the equation } t = \exp[\sigma \cdot \rho(\sigma)].$$

(Apparently the inequality (4.1) and the definition of  $\phi(t)$  contain some misprints in [2, pp.209-210]).  
For each  $f \in X$ , define

$$\|f\|_q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| \left\{ \frac{\phi(\lambda_n)}{[(A+1/q)e]^{1/\rho}} \right\}$$

Where  $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . For  $q_1 \leq q_2$ ,  $\|f\|_{q_1} \leq \|f\|_{q_2}$ . It was proved that  $\|f\|_q$ ,  $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  induces on  $X$  a unique topology such that  $X$  becomes a convex topological vector space, where this topology is given by the metric  $\lambda$ ,

this space was denoted by  $X_\lambda$ . Various properties of this space were studied [2, pp. 209-216].

It is evident that if  $\rho = 0$ , then the definition of the norm  $\|f\|_q$  and proximate order  $\rho(\sigma)$  is not possible. It is the aim of this paper to give a metric on the space of entire functions of zero order thereby studying some properties of this space.

**2.** For an entire function  $f(s)$  represented by (1.1), for which  $\rho$  defined by (1.10) is equal to zero, we define following Rahman [5].

$$(2.1) \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log \log M(\sigma)}{\log \sigma} = \rho^*, \quad 1 \leq \rho^* \leq \infty.$$

Then  $\rho^*$  is said to be the logarithmic order of  $f(s)$ . For  $1 < \rho^* < \infty$ , we define the logarithmic proximate order [1]  $\rho^*(\sigma)$  as a continuous piecewise differentiable function for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  such that

$$(2.2) \rho^*(\sigma) \rightarrow \rho^* \text{ as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.3) \sigma \cdot \log \sigma \cdot \rho^*(\sigma) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the logarithmic type  $T^*$  of  $\{f\}$  with respect to proximate order  $\rho^*(\sigma)$  is defined as [7]:

$$(2.4) \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{\sigma \rho^*(\sigma)} = T^*, \quad 0 < T^* < \infty.$$

It was proved by one of the authors [7] that  $f(s)$  is of logarithmic order  $\rho^*$ ,  $1 < \rho^* < \infty$  and logarithmic type  $T^*$ ,  $0 < T^* < \infty$  if and only if

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\lambda_n \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\log |\lambda_n|^{T^*} \rho^{*-1}} = \rho^* \frac{(\rho^* T^*)^{1/(\rho^*-1)}}{\rho^{*-1}},$$

where  $\Phi(t)$  is the unique solution of the equation  $t = \sigma^{\rho^*(\sigma)-1}$ . We now denote by  $X$  the set of all entire functions  $f(s)$  given by (1.1), satisfying (1.2) to (1.4), for which

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{\sigma \rho^*(\sigma)} \leq T^* < \infty, \quad 1 < \rho^* < \infty.$$

Then from (2.5), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\lambda_n \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\log |\lambda_n|^{T^*} \rho^{*-1}} \leq \rho^* \frac{(\rho^* T^*)^{1/(\rho^*-1)}}{\rho^{*-1}}.$$

In all our further discussion, we shall denote  $(\rho^* / \rho^{*-1})^{1/(\rho^*-1)}$  by the constant  $K$ . Then from (2.7) we have

$$(2.8) \quad |\lambda_n| < \exp \left[ - \frac{\lambda_n \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K \rho^* (T^* + \epsilon)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} \right],$$

Where  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary and  $n > n_0$ . Now for each  $f \in X$ , let us define

$$\|f\|_q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|a_n|) \exp \left[ - \frac{\lambda_n \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K \rho^* (T^* + 1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} \right]}{\{K \rho^* (T^* + 1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}},$$

Where  $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . In view of (2.8),  $\|f\|_q$  exists and for  $q_1 \leq q_2$ ,  $\|f\|_{q_1} \leq \|f\|_{q_2}$ . This norm induces a metric topology on  $X$ .

We define

$$\lambda(f, g) = \frac{\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^q} \|f-g\|_q}{1 + \|f-g\|_q}.$$

The space  $X$  with the above metric  $\lambda$  will be denoted by  $X_\lambda$ . Now we prove

**Theorem 1** –: The space  $X_\lambda$  is a Fre'chet space.

**Proof** –: It is sufficient to show that  $X_\lambda$  is complete. Hence, let  $\{f_\alpha\}$  be a  $\lambda$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Therefore, for any given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon)$  such that –:

$$\|f_\alpha - f_\beta\|_q < \epsilon \quad \forall \alpha, \beta > n_0, q \geq 1.$$

Denoting  $f_\alpha(s) = \sum_1^\infty a_n(\alpha) e^{s \lambda_n}$ ,  $f_\beta(s) = \sum_1^\infty a_n(\beta) e^{s \lambda_n}$ , we have therefore

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_1^\infty (|a_n(\alpha) - a_n(\beta)|) \exp \left[ \frac{\lambda_n \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K \rho^* (T^* + 1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} \right] < \epsilon$$

For  $\alpha, \beta > n_0$ ,  $q \geq 1$ . Hence we obviously have

$|a_n(\alpha) - a_n(\beta)| < \epsilon \quad \forall \alpha, \beta > n_0$ , i.e.  $\{a_n(\alpha)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence of

Complex Numbers for each fixed  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

Now letting  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.9), we have for  $\alpha > n_0$ ,

$$(2.10) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ( | a_n(\alpha) - a_n | ) \cdot \exp [ - \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*(T^*+1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} ] < \epsilon.$$

Taking  $\alpha = n_0$ , we get for a fixed  $q$ ,

$$| a_n | \cdot \exp [ - \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*(T^*+1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} ] \leq | a_n^{(n_0)} | \cdot \exp [ - \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*(T^*+1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} ] + \epsilon.$$

Now,  $f^{(n_0)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(n_0)} \cdot e^{s \cdot \lambda_n} \in X_\alpha$ , hence the condition (2.8) is satisfied. For

arbitrary  $p > q$ , we have

$$| a_n^{(n_0)} | < \exp [ - \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*(T^*+1/p)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} ] \text{ for arbitrarily large } n.$$

Hence we have

$$| a_n | \exp [ - \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*(T^*+1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} ] < \exp [ - \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*(T^*+1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} ] \cdot \frac{1}{\{K\rho^*\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} \left[ \frac{1}{(T^*+1/q)^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} + \frac{1}{(T^*+1/p)^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} \right] + \epsilon$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary and the first term on the R.H.S.  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we find that the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  satisfies (2.8). Then  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{s \cdot \lambda_n}$  belongs to  $X_\lambda$ .

Now, from (2.10), we have for  $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $\| f_\alpha - f \|_q < \epsilon$ . Hence,

$$\lambda(f_\alpha, f) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1/2^q \| f_\alpha - f \|_q}{1 + \| f_\alpha - f \|_q} \leq \frac{\epsilon \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} 1/2^q}{(1 + \epsilon)} = \frac{\epsilon}{(1 + \epsilon)} < \epsilon.$$

Since the above inequality holds for all  $\alpha > n_0$ , we finally get  $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$  where  $f \in X_\lambda$ . Hence  $X_\lambda$  is complete. This proves theorem 1.

**Theorem 2** –: A continuous linear functional  $\psi$  on  $X_\lambda$  is of the form

$$\psi(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n c_n \text{ if and only if}$$

$$(2.11) | c_n | \leq A \cdot \exp [ - \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*(T^*+1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} ]$$

For all  $n \geq 1, q \geq 1$ , where  $A$  is a finite, positive number,  $f = f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cdot e^{s \cdot \lambda_n}$  and  $\lambda_1$  is sufficiently large.

**Proof** –: Let  $\psi \in X'_\lambda$ . Then for any sequence  $\{ f_m \} \in X_\lambda$  such that  $f_m \rightarrow f$ , we have  $\psi(f_m) \rightarrow \psi(f)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Now let –:

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cdot e^{s \cdot \lambda_n},$$

where  $a_n$ 's satisfy (2.8). Then  $f \in X_\lambda$ . Also, let

$$f_m(s) = \sum_{n=1}^m a_n \cdot e^{s \cdot \lambda_n}$$

Then  $f_m \in X_\lambda$  for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Let  $q$  be any fixed positive integer and let  $0 < \epsilon < 1/q$ . From (2.8), we can find an integer  $m$  such that

$$| a_n | < \exp [ - \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*(T^*+\epsilon)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} ], n > m$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \sum_{n=1}^m a_n \cdot e^{s\lambda_n}\|_q &= \left\| \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n \cdot e^{s\lambda_n} \right\|_q \\ &= \sum_{n=1+m}^{\infty} (|a_n|) \exp \left[ \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*(T^*+1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} \right] \\ &< \sum_{n=1+m}^{\infty} \exp \left[ \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} \right] \frac{1}{(T^*+1/q)^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} \left[ \frac{1}{(T^*+1/p)^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} \right] \\ &< \epsilon \text{ for sufficiently large values of } m. \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda(f, f_m) = \frac{\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} 1/2^q \|f - f_m\|_q}{1 + \|f - f_m\|_q} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} < \epsilon.$$

i.e.  $f_m \rightarrow f$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in  $X_\lambda$ . Hence by assumption that  $\psi \in X'_\lambda$ , we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \psi(f_m) = \psi(f).$$

Let us denote by  $C_n = \psi(e^{s\lambda_n})$ . Then

$$\psi(f_m) = \sum_{n=1}^m a_n \psi(e^{s\lambda_n}) = \sum_{n=1}^m a_n C_n$$

Also  $\|C_n\| = \|\psi(e^{s\lambda_n})\|$ . Since  $\psi$  is continuous on  $X_\lambda$ , it is continuous on  $\|X\|_q$  for each  $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Hence there exists a positive constant  $A$  independent of  $q$  such that

$$\| \psi(e^{s\lambda_n}) \| = \| C_n \| \leq A \| \alpha \|_q, \quad q \geq 1,$$

where  $\alpha(s) = e^{s\lambda_n}$ . Now using the definition of the norm for  $\alpha(s)$ , we get

$$\| C_n \| \leq A \cdot \exp \left[ \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*(T^*+1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} \right], \quad n \geq 1, q \geq 1.$$

Hence we get  $\psi(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n C_n$ , where  $C_n$ 's satisfy (2.11).

Conversely, suppose that  $\psi(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n C_n$  and  $C_n$  satisfies (2.11). Then for  $q \geq 1$ ,

$$\| \psi(f) \| \leq A \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n|) \exp \left[ \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K\rho^*(T^*+1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^*-1)}} \right],$$

i.e.  $\| \psi(f) \| \leq A \cdot \| f \|_q, \quad q \geq 1,$

i.e.  $\psi \in \|X'\|_q, \quad q \geq 1$ . Now, since

$$\lambda(f, g) = \frac{\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} 1/2^q \|f - f_m\|_q}{1 + \|f - f_m\|_q},$$

therefore  $X'_\lambda = \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \|X'\|_q$ . Hence  $\psi \in X'_\lambda$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. Lastly, we give the construction of total sets in  $X_\lambda$ . Following [2], we give –:

**Definition –:** Let  $X$  be a locally convex topological vector space. A set  $E \subset X$  is said to be total if and only if for any  $\psi \in X'$  with  $\psi(E) = 0$ , we have  $\psi = 0$ .

Now, we prove

**Theorem 3 –:** Consider the space  $X_\lambda$  defined before and let  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (e^{s\lambda_n})$ ,

$a_n \neq 0$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $f \in X_\lambda$ . Suppose  $G$  is a subset of the complex plane having at least one limit point in the complex plane. Define, for  $\mu \in G$ ,  $f_\mu(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n e^{\mu \lambda_n}) \cdot (e^{s \lambda_n})$ . Then  $E = \{ f_\mu ; \mu \in G \}$  is total in  $X_\lambda$ .

**Proof** –: Since  $f \in X_\lambda$ , from (2.7) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\log |a_n e^{\mu \lambda_n}|^{-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\Phi(\lambda_n)}{\log |a_n|^{-1/\lambda_n} - R(\mu)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\rho^*}{\rho^* - 1} \left( \frac{\rho^* T^*}{\rho^* - 1} \right)^{1/(\rho^* - 1)}, \text{ since } R(\mu) < \infty.$$

Hence, if we denote by  $M_\mu(\sigma) = \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |f_\mu(\sigma + it)|$ , then from (2.6),

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log M_\mu(\sigma)}{P^*(\sigma)} \leq T^* < \infty.$$

Therefore,  $f_\mu \in X_\lambda$  for each  $\mu \in G$ . Thus  $E \subset X_\lambda$ . Now, let  $\psi$  be a linear continuous functional on  $X_\lambda$  and suppose that  $\psi(f_\mu) = 0$ . From Theorem 2, there exists a sequence  $\{C_n\}$  of complex numbers such that

$$\psi(g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n C_n, \quad g(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n e^{s \lambda_n} \in X_\lambda,$$

where

$$(2.12) |C_n| < A \cdot \exp \left[ \frac{\lambda_n \cdot \Phi(\lambda_n)}{\{K \rho^* (T^* + 1/q)\}^{1/(\rho^* - 1)}} \right], \quad n \geq 1, q \geq 1,$$

$A$  being a constant and  $\lambda_1$  is sufficiently large.

Hence,

$$\psi(f_\mu) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n C_n e^{\mu \lambda_n} = 0, \quad \mu \in G.$$

### References

- [1]. K.N. Awasthi : A study in the mean values and the growth of entire functions, Ph.D. Thesis, Kanpur University, 1969.
- [2]. T. Hussain and P.K. Kamthan: Spaces of Entire functions represented by Dirichlet Series. Collect. Math., 19(3), 1968,203-216.
- [3]. P.K. Kamthan: Proximate order (R) of Entire functions represented by Dirichlet Series. Collect. Math.,14(3), (1962),275-278.
- [4]. P.K. Kamthan: FK –spaces for entire Dirichlet functions. Collect.Math., 20(1969), 271-280.
- [5]. Q.I. Rahman : On the maximum modulus and the coefficients of a Dirichlet Series. Quart. J. Math. (2), 7(1956),96-99.
- [6]. J.F.Ritt: On certain points in the theory of Dirichlet Series. Amer. J. Maths., 50(1928), 73-86.
- [7]. G.S. Srivastava: A note on proximate order of entire functions represented by Dirichlet Series. Bull De L' Academie polonaise Des Sci 19(3)(1971),199-202.